```
H1o5gam1
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 4
                                             15 Cr. 588 (ER)
                 V.
5
     AHMED MOHAMMED EL GAMMAL,
6
                    Defendant.
 7
           ----x
 8
                                              New York, N.Y.
                                              January 24, 2017
9
                                              9:20 a.m.
10
     Before:
11
                           HON. EDGARDO RAMOS,
12
                                              District Judge
13
14
                                APPEARANCES
15
     PREET BHARARA
          United States Attorney for the
16
           Southern District of New York
     BRENDAN F. QUIGLEY
17
     NEGAR TEKEEI
     ANDREW J. DeFILIPPIS
18
           Assistant United States Attorneys
     FEDERAL DEFENDERS OF NEW YORK, INC.
19
           Attorneys for Defendant
20
     BY: SABRINA SHROFF
          ANNALISA MIRÓN
21
          DANIEL G. HABIB
22
23
24
25
```

(Trial resumed;

THE COURT: Okay, folks. I only got a proposed verdict sheet from the government. It looks like what I would have put together if it were me but if you guys have any comments, let me know. And, I have also have had handed to you folks my proposed jury charge on the First Amendment issue.

Is there anything that the parties wish to raise with me before we bring out the jury? Is Professor March here?

MS. MIRÓN: Yes.

THE COURT: Welcome, sir.

MR. QUIGLEY: Your Honor, we have one issue to raise.

THE COURT: I'm sorry?

MR. QUIGLEY: We do have one issue to raise.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. QUIGLEY: We just got handed a translation by

Ms. Shroff that she intends to admit. Apparently this was

something the government had produced earlier in the case when

it was a draft translation but we don't -- I mean, this is an

Arabic language document, it refers to -- so, we don't think

it's -- I mean they've already called their translator so if

there is an issue with the translation; and number two, it is

also coming in, it is a hearsay document because it is a

message to Attiya from somebody. It says the International

Union -- I can hand it up but International Union of Muslim

Scholars sends their highest regards --

1 THE COURT: Slow down, please.

MR. QUIGLEY: Sorry.

As per your request, we are pleased to inform you that you have been accepted in the Union and we ask God, to whom we ascribe all perfection and majesty, to grant you success as an active member.

So, it is coming in for the truth of the matter asserted that Attiya has been accepted into the International Union of Muslim Scholars and I also think --

THE COURT: What is that?

MR. QUIGLEY: I have no idea. That's part of the issue, your Honor. This was also not — if they're going to have Mr. March testify about this, this was not in their expert disclosure. Given the translation, hearsay issue, and given the fact that this is not something they put in expert disclosures, we don't think it should be admissible.

I am happy to hand it up.

THE COURT: Ms. Shroff?

MS. SHROFF: Your Honor --

THE COURT: Mr. Habib?

MS. SHROFF: It is a document that was produced to us by the government. The translation is also by the government. We are happy to have the government put in a refreshed -- I don't know, modified, a more polished translation. The translation is not an issue for us. It is a document that

Dr. March reviewed and it forms his expert opinion on this case. It is also -- it is an honorific given to someone. It is a certificate, no different than any honorific given to anyone else.

THE COURT: So, address the hearsay issue then.

MS. SHROFF: Okay. Just give me one second.

MR. QUIGLEY: Do you want my copy, your Honor?

THE COURT: Sure.

MS. SHROFF: Your Honor, there is one of the documents that Dr. March was shown. It is one of the documents on which his expert testimony was based and we are entitled to examine him on that point.

MR. HABIB: And I think, your Honor, pursuant to Rule 703, Dr. March can base his opinion on facts that he has been made aware of, they need not be --

Your Honor, under Rule 703 an expert may base an opinion on the facts that the expert has been made aware of. If the experts in A particular field would reasonably rely on those facts in forming an opinion they need not be admissible for the opinion to be admitted. If the facts would otherwise be inadmissible, proponent may disclose them to the jury only if their probative value helping the jury evaluate the opinion substantially outweighs their prejudicial effect.

So, I think that even assuming that the government is correct in that the material is not offered for its truth and

it does not satisfy the hearsay exception, under 703 it is proper in that it forms a part of the basis for Dr. March's opinion. I don't detect any prejudice to the government in presentation of this information and its probative value as to who Mr. Aboualala is; it will assist the jury in evaluating Dr. March's opinion testimony.

THE COURT: That all, obviously, is correct since I was reading along with you but it raises the question, what opinion did Dr. March reach as a result of reviewing this document?

MS. SHROFF: Your Honor, I have asked Dr. March to step out.

What opinion did Dr. March reach? Is that the Court's question?

THE COURT: Yes. What is he going to say about it? How did this document inform his opinion?

MS. SHROFF: It informed his opinion as to whether or not Mr. Attiya Aboualala was in fact and is in fact still part of the Muslim Brotherhood and recognized as a scholar in the Muslim Brotherhood.

MR. QUIGLEY: Your Honor, then we would object on expert notice grounds because this is the last day of trial. This person was retained in December sometime. We had two rounds of briefing on the expert notice. This was not -- this is late, late notice at the end of the defense case. Nothing

about in their notice -- I mean, I am happy to hand up their supplemental notice. Again, nothing in their notice says anything. There are some general comments about whether Attiya was -- sorry, some general comments about the background on the Muslim Brotherhood but then there is nothing at all remotely talking about Attiya in the Muslim Brotherhood, the International Association of Muslim Scholars or anything like that. And I also think this testimony would run close to Rule 704 which, although Attiya is not on trial here, prevents an expert from opining on the mental state in a criminal case.

THE COURT: Yes. I'm not going to let it in. So.

MR. QUIGLEY: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

MS. SHROFF: Your Honor, may I just flesh out, because I don't want to have him on the witness stand —— I want to understand. We gave the government ample notice that Dr. March was testifying about the Muslim Brotherhood. We supplemented over and over again to make sure that was clear because their expert notice did not cover Muslim Brotherhood and even then they had leeway to elicit testimony about the Muslim Brotherhood from Mr. Zelin. We are not seeking, here, to introduce testimony that is any surprise to Mr. Quigley. The entire case has been about whether or not Attiya Aboualala was in fact openly and notoriously a member of the Muslim Brotherhood.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That's what this trial has been about? THE COURT: MS. SHROFF: It seems to be. They have introduced chat after chat, your Honor, including in their summary exhibit, about whether or not Attiya and Mr. El-Gammal had exchanges about whether or not he supported the Islamic State. Mr. Quigley introduced evidence that said -- that tried to convince the jury that Mr. El-Gammal and his co-conspirator Mr. Attiya Aboualala in fact were pro-ISIS. That's been their whole theory. If you look at their summary chart which includes documents where they're saying: I'm going to join the State; the State is good; I may have to go join the State, they introduced that. They introduced that into evidence. Are they going to stand up in summation and say Attiya is Muslim Brotherhood? No, they're not. Are they going to say Attiya and Mr. El-Gammal exchanged videos about ISIS? Yes. conversations about ISIS? Yes. Supported ISIS? Yes.

That's what their theory is.

THE COURT: Mr. Quigley?

MR. QUIGLEY: Your Honor, I completely disagree, number one, on what the trial has been about. That's been a —but, moreover they have had notice after notice. They had one notice in which they attached Mr. March's resume. They said he would opine about the ideology of the Muslim Brotherhood and then, in their supplemental notice, which was filed four days before the start of trial they said, they talk about the Arab

```
H1o5gam1
      Spring, they talk about some of the history of the Muslim
1
2
      Brotherhood, it is bylaws, the Rabaa Square protests.
 3
               THE COURT: I am not allowing it in on the basis of
      late notice.
 4
               MS. SHROFF: Your Honor, could I just direct --
5
6
               THE COURT: No. The jury is here. I will let you
 7
     make your record later.
8
               (Pause)
9
               MS. SHROFF: Your Honor, the record should reflect --
10
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.
11
               (Continued on next page)
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

H1o5gam1 March - direct

(Jury present)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. The storm, apparently, has passed. We are continuing now with the defense case.

Will the defense please call your next witness?

MS. SHROFF: The defense calls Dr. Andrew March.

ANDREW MARCH,

called as a witness by the Defendant,

having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

THE COURT: Sir, you may be seated. Please begin by stating your full name and spelling your last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: My name is Andrew March. M-A-R-C-H.

THE COURT: Ms. Shroff?

MS. SHROFF: Thank you, your Honor.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

17 BY MS. SHROFF:

- Q. Good morning, Dr. March.
- 19 A. Good morning.
- 20 | Q. Dr. March, could you tell the jury where you work?
- 21 A. I'm an associate professor of political science and an
- 22 | associate professor adjunct at Yale university.
- 23 | Q. And what is Yale University?
- 24 | A. Yale University is a very prestigious University about two
- 25 hours up the road on the MetroNorth in New Haven, Connecticut.

- 1 Q. And how long have you been an associate professor at Yale?
- 2 A. About six years, and I have been there nine years in total.
- 3 Q. And prior to the six years, what did you do at Yale?
- 4 A. I was an assistant professor.
- 5 Q. At Yale?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And prior to working at Yale, where did you work?
- 8 A. I was at Michigan State University.
- 9 Q. Now, let me back track a bit, if I may, and ask you where
- 10 | did you attend school?
- 11 A. I went to high school in -- near Portland, Maine. I was
- 12 and undergraduate at University of Pennsylvania and then I did
- 13 | my graduate work at Oxford University in the UK.
- 14 Q. Dr. March, I am going to ask you, for the court reporter,
- 15 | to speak a little more slowly if you may. Okay?
- Now, did you write your Ph.D?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. And what was your Ph.D -- a Ph.D is a doctorate?
- 19 | A. Yes, ma'am.
- 20 | Q. What did you write your doctorate in?
- 21 A. My doctorate was in political science and the topic of my
- 22 | dissertation was on Islamic legal thought pertaining to Muslims
- 23 | living in non-Muslim countries.
- 24 | Q. And did your dissertation receive any honors, Dr. March?
- 25 A. Yes. The dissertation won an award from the American

8

9

- Political Science Association and then the published book won an award from the American Academy of Religion.
- Q. And, since your employment at Michigan and then later at
- 4 | Yale, did you write articles that were reviewed by your peers?
- 5 A. Yes. I published, I think, roughly 20 peer-reviewed articles.
- 7 | Q. And have you published articles on the Muslim Brotherhood?
 - A. I have published articles on the thought of thinkers who are associated with or friendly to the Muslim Brotherhood, as
- 10 | well as to other kinds of Islamist political thought.
- Q. What other kinds of Islamist political thought have you written on?
- 13 A. I have written on the political thought of ISIS and their 14 legal system and their relationship to the thought of other
- 15 groups like the Muslim Brotherhood.
- Q. And the jury has heard some testimony about peer-to-peer
- 17 articles but essentially, in two lines or less, could you
- 18 refresh their memory?
- 19 A. If you publish a peer-reviewed article it is an article
- 20 that has been submitted to a journal or a press and then the
- 21 press asks somewhere between three and five people that don't
- 22 know who the author of the piece, to review it and see if it is
- 23 worthy of publication.
- Q. Now, Dr. March, have you written any books?
- 25 A. Yes. I have written one book.

- 1 | Q. And what is the title of that book?
- 2 A. Islam and Liberal Citizenship.
- 3 Q. And are you in the process of writing another book right
- 4 now?
- 5 A. Yes. I have a book under contract that is probably two
- 6 thirds complete, and it is on modern doctrines of sovereignty
- 7 | and democracy in Islamic thought with particular focus on the
- 8 | thought of thinkers who have been influential in the Muslim
- 9 | Brotherhood.
- 10 | Q. And who are you under contract with to publish that book?
- 11 A. Harvard University Press.
- 12 | Q. Now, Dr. March, have you studied the Arabic language?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. And are you fluent in it?
- 15 | A. I wouldn't claim full fluency. I read Arabic texts related
- 16 | to political and legal thought at a very high level of
- 17 proficiency.
- 18 | Q. So, is it fair to say you are not a native speaker but you
- 19 read the language?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 | Q. And you are not a native speaker but you speak the
- 22 | language?
- 23 | A. I speak it less than I read it but I speak various dialects
- 24 | with pretty good proficiency.
- 25 | Q. And what are the dialects?

March - direct

- A. I spent some time in Syria, I have spent some time in

 Jordan, Egypt, and so with those dialects I have a high degree
- Q. And, do you speak any other languages other than Arabic and
- of course English, in which you are testifying?

of comfort, as well as modern standard Arabic.

6 A. Yes.

3

7

- Q. And what other languages do you speak?
- 8 A. I speak a view Slavic languages; Slovak, Russian,
- 9 | Serbo-Croatian, some Spanish.
- 10 | Q. Let me hand to you what is marked as Defendant's Exhibit A.
- 11 Do you recognize that document, Dr. March?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Could you tell me what that document is?
- 14 A. This is my resume or CV.
- MS. SHROFF: Your Honor, we would ask that Dr. March's resume be received into evidence as Defendant's Exhibit A.
- MR. QUIGLEY: Objection. Hearsay, cumulative.
- 18 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 19 BY MS. SHROFF:
- 20 | Q. Now, Dr. March, have you been qualified as an expert
- 21 | before?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | O. And when was that?
- 24 A. That was, I believe in 2010 in the case of U.S. versus
- 25 Tarek Mehanna.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

March - direct

- Q. And where was that?
- 2 A. That was Boston, Massachusetts.

MS. SHROFF: Your Honor, at this time I would ask the Court to recognize Dr. March as an expert in modern Islamic political and legal thought, and modern Islamist and jihadi movements.

MR. QUIGLEY: No objection.

THE COURT: Very well. His testimony will be received as expert testimony in those areas.

BY MS. SHROFF:

- Q. Now, Dr. March, could you explain to the jury the phrase "Islamist movement"?
- 13 | A. Sure.

Islamist movement is a very general term to refer to groups or movements that, one, the Islamic religion as they understand it, to have a very strong role in government, politics, and organizing society.

- Q. And through what means would they organize a society?
- A. So, Islamist movements by and large are used to refer to groups that either are organized into political parties that compete in democratic elections or that organize themselves through various kinds of social organizations, charity organizations, or educational organizations.
- Q. And could you give the jury an example of a group that would fit within the Islamist movement?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

25

- A. So, the Egyptian Muslim Brotherhood is the oldest Islamist organization in the modern Middle East and is, by and large, considered to be the best example of that kind of movement.
 - Q. And has the Muslim Brotherhood in Egypt then spawned other Muslim Brotherhood movements in other countries?
 - A. Yes. The Egyptian Muslim Brotherhood is the inspiration for a kind of global movement that has branches in many countries and has some organizational connection to the Egyptian Muslim Brotherhood but are very often independent from it in terms of its own leadership.
 - Q. And could you give the jury an example of some countries that have -- where the Muslim Brotherhood has a presence?

 A. Well, Syria traditionally had a fairly strong Muslim Brotherhood presence until it was destroyed and kicked out in
 - the early 1980s. There are wings or parties that claim some kind of affinity with the Muslim Brotherhood in Kuwait, in Jordan, in Palestine, in Tunisia, in Morocco. Most countries that have a strong Sunni Muslim population have some movement
- 19 that is inspired by the Muslim Brotherhood.
 - Q. And, did there come a time when the Muslim Brotherhood in Egypt or the members of the Muslim Brotherhood in Egypt were at fear of persecution in Egypt?
- 23 MR. QUIGLEY: Objection.
- 24 THE COURT: Overruled.
 - A. For the vast majority of their history, the Muslim

March - direct

Brotherhood has been first of all illegal, so membership in it in Egypt, according to Egyptian law is illegal. And so many of the leadership of the Muslim Brotherhood have spent decades in prison and have had to organize themselves through other kinds

of charitable or business or educational organizations.

- Q. Is one of the countries that Muslim Brotherhood in Egypt emigrated to Turkey?
- A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Is that true for the time period of 2014, 2015, 2016, and even to this day?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- Q. Now, could you please explain to the jury what the phrase "jihadi movement" means?
 - A. So, I am sure the jury has heard testimony about the meaning of the word jihad which can mean struggle or fighting in Arabic but very often is associated with militant or violent forms of struggle or fighting. And so, jihadi movements have just become a way of referring to groups that primarily seek to advance their aims through violence as opposed to democratic participation.
 - Q. Now, could you give the jury, please, an example of an organization, perhaps more than one, that would fit within the phrase "a jihadi movement"?
 - A. So, al Qaeda and ISIS are two of the best known organizations that are referred to as jihadi movements.

March - direct

- Q. And would it be fair to say that you would not characterize the Muslim Brotherhood as a jihadi movement?
 - A. Not in its present organization, no.
 - Q. How about in the organization in 2014 and 2015?
 - A. No.

3

4

5

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Now, not to be repetitive, but could you just tell the jury
 briefly what the differences are between a group that is an
- 8 Islamist movement and a group that is a jihadi movement?
- A. So, Islamist movements, that's a broad category to refer to groups that seek to have Islam as the guiding ideology of a society and they, by and large, seek to advance their aims

through peaceful and very often through electoral means.

Jihadi groups tend to be organizations that see all other non-extreme Islamist or jihadi groups as worth working with at all and usually see them as objects -- legitimate objects of violence.

- Q. Would it be fair to say then that the Islamist movement is more theoretical and more intellectual than a jihadi movement?
- A. It is possible to generalize in that way, although I think it is important to remember that jihadi movements also have their own ideologs and doctrinal expressions.
- Q. And would ISIS be any different or would ISIS also have its own ideology and its own doctrinal rituals?
- A. ISIS has a lots of its own doctrine and propaganda and legal justifications for its behavior.

Q.

Sure.

March - direct

- Q. We will get to that in a minute but let's just focus, Dr. March, if we can, on the Muslim Brotherhood.
 - Could you give the jury a very succinct background on the Muslim Brotherhood in Egypt during the time period of 2014 and 2015?
 - A. Would it be okay to start a little bit before that in 2011?
 - A. So, as is well known in January and February of 2011, there were movements in many countries of the Middle East that is often referred to as the Arab Spring. These were broad-based popular demonstrations that sought the removal of long-standing dictators and they were successful, particularly in Egypt and in Tunisia, where the long-standing dictators of those countries were forced to be removed from power.

After that, in Egypt and Tunisia there were elections that were — the first free and fair elections in those countries since their independence. In Egypt, the Muslim Brotherhood or the party that the Muslim Brotherhood formed out of its membership won a series of elections to parliament, to the assembly that wrote the Constitution, and then eventually for the presidency. So, between 2011 and 2013 the political wing of the Muslim Brotherhood won a series of elections, won the presidency, and was by and large in charge of the electoral side of the government.

In the summer of 2013, after a series of political

March - direct

- disappointments and popular disapproval of the Brotherhood, the
 Egyptian Army stepped in, removed the Brotherhood from power,
 arrested the president of the country and almost all of the
 brotherhood's top leadership and jailed tens of thousands of
 its members and killed hundreds of its members in August of
- 7 Q. Now, you said -- and I hate to correct my own expert,
- 8 but --

6

- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. -- you said that the military stepped in?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Did it step in in any way that was less than horrific,
 13 correct?
- 14 MR. QUIGLEY: Objection.

2014 -- excuse me, 2013.

- 15 THE COURT: Sustained as to the form.
- 16 Rephrase, Ms. Shroff. Rephrase it.
- 17 MS. SHROFF: Sure.
- 18 BY MS. SHROFF:
- Q. Could you explain to the jury what you meant by "the military stepped in"?
- A. So, the military, after a number of days of popular
 demonstrations, used force to arrest the president and arrest
 hundreds of members of the top leadership of the Muslim
- 24 Brotherhood. In response to this --
- 25 Q. Let me just stop you there.

H1o5gam1 March - direct

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. What do you mean by when you say used force?
- 3 A. They used tanks, that used guns, they used various sorts of
- 4 aggressive physical force to remove them from power and put
- 5 | them in jail.
- 6 Q. And when you say the President of Egypt at that time --
- 7 A. Mohammed Morsi, who is a long-standing top leader of the
- 8 | Muslim Brotherhood.
- 9 Q. And who did they put in his place?
- 10 A. So, the person that stepped in and has been leading Egypt
- 11 | since then was the defense minister and head of the Army, goes
- 12 by the last name Sisi.
- 13 | Q. And just staying with this time period, when you spoke
- 14 about hundreds of people being killed, were they killed in one
- 15 particular area that has now become famous?
- 16 A. Yes.
- So, in response to the coup, Muslim Brotherhood
- 18 organized peaceful -- by and large peaceful demonstrations in a
- 19 square that -- that congregated in a square of Egypt known as
- 20 Rabaa Square and remained there for a lengthy period of time
- 21 until it was violently cleared by -- I think it was a
- 22 | combination of the police and the military; and I believe up to
- 23 | 800 people died just during the clearing of the square.
- 24 | Q. And could you tell the jury, please, if you know, from what
- 25 parts of the world people came to show support for the

- democratically-elected Egyptian government during that time? 1
- 2 It was a very, very popular site of protest and it was
- 3 relatively open so people could have traveled to Egypt during
- 4 that time with some risk but not necessarily impossibly to join
- 5 these protests and lend support.
- 6 Q. And would it be fair to -- actually, you know what? Let me
- 7 ask you more open-endedly.
- 8 Was Rabaa Square covered by journalists?
- 9 Yes. Quite extensively. Α.
- 10 And were there Egyptian journalists covering Rabaa Square? Q.
- 11 Α. Yes.
- 12 Foreign correspondents covering Rabaa Square?
- 13 Α. Yes.
- And of course American correspondents covering Rabaa 14
- 15 Square?
- 16 Yes. Α.
- 17 And is it fair to say that the massacre at Rabaa Square was
- 18 particularly painful to the people of Egypt?
- 19 MR. QUIGLEY: Objection to the leading.
- 20 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 21 To whom was the Rabaa Square massacre particularly painful? Q.
- 22 MR. QUIGLEY: Same objection.
- 23 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 24 The massacre was an enormously traumatic experience for
- 25 Egyptian people who, up to that point, had seen two or more

- years of democratic transformation only to see hundreds and hundreds of their fellow citizens massacred.
- Q. Now, after the military took power and Sisi was in place,
 what was the state of affairs of the Muslim Brotherhood members
- 5 | in Egypt?
- 6 A. The Muslim Brotherhood membership was completely persecuted
- 7 | in Egypt. The Muslim Brotherhood had -- was declared a
- 8 | terrorist group by the Egyptian government. There were massive
- 9 show trials in which hundreds of people would be prosecuted and
- 10 | found guilty all at once for acts that perhaps one or two
- 11 people had participated in. And so, I think at some point
- 12 | various international human rights organizations reported up to
- 13 | 30,000 people were in jail at some point since the July 2014
- 14 coup. So, to be an activist or a member of the Muslim
- 15 | Brotherhood during this period since 2013 has been extremely
- 16 dangerous within Egypt.
- 17 Q. And were journalists included in the people prosecuted at
- 18 | that time?
- 19 A. Yes. There were a number of high profile cases in which
- 20 | international, not even Egyptian journalists were held in
- 21 prison for a number of years simply for covering the Muslim
- 22 | Brotherhood and purportedly being sympathetic to them.
- 23 \parallel Q. And as a result of the coup, was there an impact on
- 24 | migration of Muslim Brotherhood members out of Egypt?
- 25 A. Yes. Many Muslim Brotherhood members, in order to survive

March - direct

- 1 or to avoid being arrested, had to flee the country.
- 2 | Q. And what was the most popular country they fled to?
- 3 A. I believe that the most popular single country was Turkey,
- 4 although a number of European countries were also popular.
- 5 Q. Now, as a result of Rabaa Square, Dr. March, did they
- 6 develop or even prior to that, a physical hand symbol for the
- 7 | Muslim Brotherhood?

8

9

- A. Well, not for the Muslim Brotherhood but for the Rabaa massacre.
- 10 So, Rabaa is related to the word for "four" in Arabic
- 11 and so it was actually, it was the name of a woman, sort of
- 12 | like a saintly, holy woman a long time ago but it also meant
- 13 | the word "four." So, in order to symbolize sympathy with the
- 14 | victims of the Rabaa massacre, it was very common to hold up
- 15 | the four fingers symbol.
- 16 | Q. So, if somebody was sitting in a restaurant or in a movie
- 17 | theater or a park and held up these fingers --
- 18 A. It could be very dangerous.
- 19 Q. Okay, but what would it also tell the person receiving the
- 20 symbol?
- 21 A. That they share a sympathy for the victims of the Rabaa
- 22 massacre.
- 23 | Q. Now, what does the word ikhwan mean?
- 24 A. Ikhwan is the plural word for "brother" or "brotherhood" in
- 25 Arabic.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

March - direct

- Q. Now, let me just shift to another group and that is the group that is called Dawla al Islamiyya. Could you tell the jury what Dawla al Islamiyya is?
 - A. So, the word Dawla al Islamiyya simply means these Islamic states and it is the name that what we, in English, often refer to as ISIS or ISIL. It refers to itself simply as the Islamic State because they regard themselves as the only legitimate Islamic State in the world.
- Q. Now, what are the basic beliefs of the Islamic State?
- A. So, the Islamic State has a series of political and legal justifications for its own existence and it also has an official interpretation of Islamic theology or creed that it seeks to impose on everybody who lives within its own territory. Would you like me to focus on one or either of
- 15 | those?
- 16 | Q. Sure. You can tell the jury, but very briefly --
- 17 | A. Yes.
 - Q. -- what the belief system is of the Islamic State

 vis-a-vis other groups. Why don't we start there so that you

 are more directed.
- 21 | A. Right.

So the Islamic State, as I said, regards itself as the only legitimate Islamic State on earth. It claims, since 2014, to have reestablished something called the caliphate which is the supreme political authority for all Muslims on the planet.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

19

20

- So, they feel because they liberated territory in Iraq and Syria as they understand it and that they have the only righteous leadership of Muslims on the planet, that they are entitled to declare themselves the global leadership for all Muslims and anybody that rejects that claim is potentially even no longer a Muslim because they're rejecting a basic aspect of theology and loyalty to the Muslim community.
- Q. So how would ISIS regard al Qaeda, for example?
 - A. ISIS and al Qaeda were actually at war for a significant period of time in that period between late 2013 and 2014 or 2015. So, they regard al Qaeda as not sufficiently dedicated to the project of recreating an Islamic State.
- Q. And how about ISIS' view of the Muslim Brotherhood?
- A. ISIS has actually declared the Muslim Brotherhood to be
 non-Muslims so they have declared them to be an Arabic murtad,
 which means apostates or no longer part of the Muslim
 community, which mean that they regard them as legitimate for
 killing without a trial.
 - Q. Now, Dr. March, are you familiar with a document that is called Dabiq?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And what is Dabiq, by the way?
- A. Dabiq is a town in -- it is a small town in Syria in the northwest part of Syria, and there was a famous prophecy that the final battle between good and evil, sort of the apocalypse

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

24

25

- will happen when the armies of Islam face off against the
 armies of the anti-Christ in this small town in Syria, of all
 places.
 - Q. Has ISIS adopted the word "Dabiq"?
- A. Yes. ISIS actually seized control of this town and so when they began to issue their English language glossy PDF magazine, they named it after this to symbolize their belief that they were bringing about a prophecy of returning the Muslim community to righteous leadership.
 - Q. And, did ISIS have an opinion as to the Muslim Brotherhood that they published in Dabiq?
 - A. Yes, they did. They published a large, sort of a cover story in which they even, after the massacre, that they referred to the Muslim Brotherhood as apostates and not part of the Muslim communities.
 - Q. Was there a particular disease that referred to the Muslim Brotherhood by?
 - A. Possibly, but I don't remember at the moment.
- MS. SHROFF: Your Honor, may I refresh Dr. March's recollection?
- 21 THE COURT: You may.
- MS. SHROFF: Thank you.
 - Q. You know what? I will come back to the question because the article is somewhat dense, but could you just take a look at the top page of that magazine, please?

H1o5gam1 March - direct

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. And, do you recognize the top page?
- 3 | A. Yes, I do.
- 4 Q. And do you recognize that to be a magazine published by the
- 5 | Islamic State?
- 6 A. Yes. This is Dabiq, their main English language
- 7 publication.
- 8 Q. And let's just stay with that for a minute, even though it
- 9 | is a little bit out of my order.
- 10 Was that magazine prepared by the Islamic State?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. And did they -- by "they" I mean the Islamic State -- put
- 13 | out magazines in different languages?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. And fair to say that Dabiq is their English version,
- 16 correct?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. And it is a recruitment tool; is that right?
- 19 A. Recruitment, propaganda, yes.
- 20 | Q. And could you tell the jury if they put out the magazine in
- 21 | different languages?
- 22 | A. So I think they have different ones in different -- with
- 23 different titles, so there was a Russian language one that I
- 24 | believe is called Constantinople but I could be wrong about
- 25 | that; they have an Italian language one; they have a French

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

March - direct

- 1 language one; of course they have Arabic language. So, they
 2 all have slightly different titles.
 - Q. And what do you think, as an expert, is the point of the Islamic State when they publish these magazines in different languages?
 - A. There is a couple of points.

One, they want to project to the global Muslim community that they are thriving, that they are expanding, that they are strong, that that's the center of action, that's where it's all happening. They also want to make it seem appealing, they also want to make it seem like a place where young Muslims who might want to experience the glory of fighting for their religion will experience that sort of success and glory and they want to give information about what the Islamic State sees as the basis of its own success in fighting back against its enemies.

- Q. Is it also a recruitment tool for ISIS?
- A. Yes. They explicitly call for people to join them, to
 engage in what is sometimes referred to as hijrah or migration
 to the Islamic State.
- 21 | Q. And it is available online, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. In fact, have you ever heard of a website called jihadology.net?
- 25 A. Yes, I have.

Is it available on jihadology.net? 1 2 This is frequently available there. Α. Yes. 3 Q. Let me show you another document off the jihadology.net website which is called Hijrah to the Islamic State. It is 4 5 marked Defendant's Exhibit 704. Do you recognize that document, Dr. March? 6 7 A. Yes, I do. 8 MS. SHROFF: Your Honor, at this time we move 9 Defendant's Exhibit 704 in evidence. 10 MR. QUIGLEY: Objection. Hearsay. 11 MS. SHROFF: Your Honor, may we approach? 12 THE COURT: Yes. 13 MS. SHROFF: Thank you. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

25

H1o5gam1 March - direct

1 (At side bar) 2 THE COURT: First tell me what is being offered. 3 MS. SHROFF: It is an article that is put out -- it 4 was an exhibit in the Pugh trial that Mr. Quigley provided 5 notice of. 6 MS. TEKEEI: It's not --7 THE COURT: Sorry. Tell me what it is. 8 MS. SHROFF: Sure. 9 It is an article put out by the Islamic State, it is 10 like a magazine, it is a quide by the Islamic State telling 11 people here is how you get to us. These are the --MR. HABIB: The Court may recall that the government's 12 13 expert, Mr. Zelin, was questioned about this, this very 14 document, and agreed that it was issued by the Islamic State 15 for the purpose of providing guidance to individuals in the west as to how they would make hijrah or a migration to the 16 17 Islamic state. MR. QUIGLEY: Your Honor, it is a 49-page document. 18 It contains numerous pieces of hearsay about different fighters 19 20 recounting how they got to Syria and Turkey. It's not -- it 21 shouldn't be admitted. It contains Twitter accounts of 22 people --23

MS. SHROFF: Exactly.

24

25

MR. QUIGLEY: -- closely associated with the Islamic This is coming in for the truth of the matter asserted. State.

24

25

March - direct

1 These are Twitter accounts of people associated with the State. This is some quy, some person's --2 3 MS. SHROFF: Some person. 4 MR. QUIGLEY: Sorry, a British jihadist. 5 MS. SHROFF: Ah. 6 MR. QUIGLEY: -- five page account of how he got 7 There is all coming in for the truth of the matter there. asserted and it is actually how he got over there. 8 9 MS. TEKEEI: And there is no evidence that anyone 10 involved, any of the conspirators involved in this case had 11 this document. MS. SHROFF: Oh. We -- that's not our point at all 12 13 but let Mr. Habib address it. 14 MR. HABIB: I was also going to add that under Rule 15 803.18 a statement containing a pamphlet meets a hearsay exception if it is called to the attention of an expert witness 16 17 on cross-examination -- which it was -- and the publication is 18 established as a reliable authority by the expert's admission 19 or testimony -- which it also was, through Mr. Zelin. 20 MS. SHROFF: Mr. Zelin testified to it extensively --21 MR. QUIGLEY: No. 22 MS. SHROFF: -- and adopted it, in fact. Mr. Zelin 23 has noted it as an Islamic State-published publication.

don't care. We don't want the whole document in. If, after

look, here it is: We are open to redaction of stories.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

March - direct

the break, the Court wants to produce it and cut out the narrative, we are perfectly happy with that. We would like to introduce it, though, as a pamphlet because it certainly goes to also the state of mind of several people who are interested in the Islamic State. It is fair. That's why his expert testified to it. His expert has testified to it twice and adopted it twice. THE COURT: I'm sorry. When you say twice, you mean in another trial? MS. SHROFF: In another trial United States v. Pugh before Judge Garaufis in the Eastern District of New York. THE COURT: Okay. MS. SHROFF: It is a proper -- well, he has already said it but it is not -- it is a pamphlet specifically put out for a very specific purpose by an organization and they had their expert testify to those points -- exactly to those

points.

MR. QUIGLEY: It is not a pamphlet, your Honor, it is a 50-page document. And also, it doesn't go -- absent the showing, that anyone in this case received it. It doesn't go to anyone's state of mind.

MS. SHROFF: They can argue all of that. That goes to weight, not admissibility. They can feel free to sum up. Ms. Tekeei can incorporate it right into her two-hour main submission but it is admissible under 803.

24

25

March - direct

1 MR. QUIGLEY: There has got to be a basis on which we could find that it was seen by someone and there is none here. 2 3 THE COURT: 803.18 you were saying could be admissible 4 if an expert on cross-examination is examined with respect to 5 it. 6 MR. HABIB: So, as I am now continuing to read the 7 rule I do have to acknowledge, the Court can read the rule. do have to -- I didn't finish reading the rule but it does say 8 9 that the statement may be read into evidence but not received 10 as an exhibit so I have to acknowledge that. 11 MS. SHROFF: You want me to read parts of that. 12 MR. HABIB: But what it does say is a statement 13 contained in a treatise, article, or pamphlet if it is (a) 14 called to the attention of expert witness on cross -- which it 15 was, Mr. Zelin -- and is established as a reliable authority by the expert's admission or testimony -- he said, yes, that's an 16 ISIS magazine, I have reviewed it, it is guidance for people in 17 the west who want to travel to the Islamic State -- so, again, 18 it may be read into evidence. 19 20 THE COURT: Okay. So you can read from it. 21 MS. SHROFF: Okay. Thanks. 22 MR. HABIB: Thanks. 23

H1o5gam1 March - direct

1 (In open court)

- 2 BY MS. SHROFF:
- 3 Q. Could you take a look at that for me, please?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. I want you to turn, if you would, to page 47 of that
- 6 document. Do you see a list of Twitter accounts --
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. -- listed in the documents?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. And those are Twitter accounts, are they not?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. For ISIS supporters, correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. And they list them, correct?
- MR. QUIGLEY: Objection to the leading.
- 16 THE COURT: Yes. Don't lead.
- 17 MS. SHROFF: Okay.
- 18 BY MS. SHROFF:
- 19 Q. Tell me, are there Twitter accounts listed?
- 20 | A. So, this is a list of Twitter accounts that is said to be
- 21 people who live in the Islamic State and can be accessed
- 22 | through various sorts of private message apps as well as
- 23 | Twitter.
- 24 | Q. And they give you -- it is a list, right?
- 25 A. Yes, it is a list of multiple, different Twitter accounts.

- Q. And let's just do this. Does the list give you a name for each Twitter account?
- 3 A. It gives you the Twitter name.
- $4 \parallel Q$. Right?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Right.
- 7 So, it tells you how to contact the person on Twitter,
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 | Q. So, there is Abdul_Aliy_4, correct?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. FarisBritani, correct?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- MR. QUIGLEY: Objection to the leading.
- 15 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 16 | Q. Lover_of_Hoor1, correct?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. AbuKambozz2, correct?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 | Q. And why don't you just read the rest for the jury.
- 21 A. Abuismaelak, AllAlfarsiii, abu_dharda34, dujana99lives,
- 22 hudheyfa_99.
- 23 THE COURT: Sorry, Professor. Could you slow it down
- 24 | a little bit?
- 25 A. Yes. Of course. I apologize. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

- AbuHussain1337_, magnetgas1, hudheyfa_99, Abumalik04, FatherOfDuust, and AbuHafsa. That's just the men. There is also a list of women.
- Q. It's okay.
- A. All right.
- Q. So, it gives you people -- let me go back.
- 7 What do you conclude this reference list to be for?
- A. People who live in the Islamic State and can be contacted directly for information about how to travel into the Islamic
- 10 State.
- 11 Q. Okay. Now, take a look, would you, on page 6 of that
- 12 | article, because I want to make sure that a person who is just
- on page 6 of that pamphlet would actually take the time to go
- 14 | in the back. Does it say useful Twitter contacts who are in IS
- 15 | to private direct message, correct?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 | Q. Do you see that? And then it tells you there is a long
- 18 | list at the end pages of the book, right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. All right.
- 21 Now, go to page 9 -- actually, go to page 8. Does the
- 22 | ISIS pamphlet give the person who wants to do hijrah their way
- 23 | a list of things they should bring with them?
- 24 A. Yes. It is a packing list.
- 25 | Q. And the suggested setup for packing is a satchel-type bag,

H1o5gam1 March - direct

- 1 | correct?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. A backpack?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Suit case?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. It tells you to add as vital belongings: Handkerchief?
- 8 A. Amongst other things, yes.
- 9 Q. Wet wipes, tissue paper, flashlight; correct?
- 10 A. Yep.
- 11 | Q. Tells you to bring a mobile phone, correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. A plane ticket, correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. Now, let's just turn to the backpack, right?
- 16 A. The backpack.
- 17 | Q. ISIS is pretty specific, is it not, when it tells what you
- 18 | kind of backpack they should carry.
- 19 A. Yes, they are.
- 20 | Q. And they tell you that it should be a sturdy one, the one
- 21 you get should be padded, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. It should be tough?
- 24 | A. Tough.
- 25 | Q. Help you with the running and roughing it around, correct?

- 1 A. And lots of pockets. Lots of different pockets.
- 2 Q. Lots of different pockets.
- Now, going to the bottom, right, it tells you to
- 4 | bring, if possible, a tablet, correct?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. A charger, correct?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 Q. They're very specific. They say, if possible, bring a
- 9 | solar charger, correct?
- 10 A. Right. Very commendable.
- 11 | Q. Now, flip over to the next page. You see the penultimate
- 12 paragraph: Footwear?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. If you can get your hands on military-style boots, correct?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. Also tells you to bring a pair of trainers or what we call
- 17 | regular sneakers, correct?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Tells you to bring socks, correct?
- 20 | A. Socks.
- 21 | Q. Tells you to bring a jacket, correct?
- 22 A. Yup.
- Q. And then it gives you a list of others which I am going to
- 24 skip reading because there are far too many.
- 25 It is quite specific, is it not?

- 1 | A. Yes; very thorough packing list.
- Q. Does it also tell you to bring a bottle? It says bottle,
- 3 and sports water bottle would do as well, correct?
- 4 A. Correct.
- $5 \parallel Q$. And that was on page 11.
- Turn the page, please, on page 12 it says: Your
 trousers should be hard-wearing and even military grade if you
- 8 | can afford, correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 | Q. Camping grade or hunting grade would also be useful,
- 11 | correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 | Q. And then it says trousers with multiple side pockets are
- 14 | very useful, correct?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. And sports t-shirts are good too, correct?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Could you put up for me, please, the photograph of Mr. Samy
- 19 | El- Goarany? The one Mr. Quigley introduced.
- 20 While they're doing that, Dr. March, could you focus
- 21 | your attention to page 15? Does it read: abdul_Aliy_4,
- 22 correct?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Another Twitter account, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 | Q. And then it gives you a website, does it not, that says:
- 2 | Http://justpasteit.it/HijrahAdvice2; correct?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 | Q. What is hijrah, by the way?
- 5 A. Hijrah is the Arabic word for migration which suggests
- 6 | migration to the Islamic State. It has very symbolic meaning
- 7 | in Islamic religious contexts because it refers to the
- 8 | migration of Mohammed from Mecca to Medina during his lifetime
- 9 | with all of his followers.
- 10 | Q. Let me show you what is Government Exhibit 903. Do you see
- 11 | a pant with pockets on there?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Do you see his shoes over there?
- 14 A. Adidas.
- 15 | Q. And you see the undershirt and the socks on his feet?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And, it basically comports with the list given in Hijrah to
- 18 | the Islamic State, correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. Thank you. You can take it down.
- Now, let me direct your attention to the views of the
- 22 | Islamic State on people wanting to join them. It is fair to
- 23 | say the Islamic State was a welcoming organization?
- 24 A. For people that would support their activities, yes.
- 25 | Q. And what happened when people wanted to leave them?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

March - direct

- 1 | A. They were not allowed to do so without their permission.
- Q. And what was ISIS' view of people who wanted to leave the Islamic State?
- A. They could be deserters and even apostates because it could be interpreted as rejecting the legitimacy of the caliphate and

And did they call that un-Islamic to want to leave?

- 6 the obligation to live under the authority of Islam.
- 8 A. Yes, absolutely. It could potentially be apostasy or 9 departure from Islam.
 - Q. Let me show you, again going back to the website of jihadology, have you reviewed articles on that website?

 Do you know who it is run by, by the way?
 - A. I believe it is run by Aaron Zelin.
 - Q. And does Mr. Zelin publish articles on how the Islamic State views individuals who want to flee the Islamic State and no longer live in Syria?
 - A. Usually that website posts propaganda and other articles from Jihadi and other organizations and it sometimes has analysis articles by him and some other colleagues in the field.
 - Q. And is he well respected, right?
- A. The website is very well respected as a resource, as a primary source site for getting Jihadi propaganda. My understanding is that Aaron Zelin is still completing his Ph.D and is still looking to develop his own personal reputation in

H1o5gam1 March - direct

1 | the field.

2

3

4

5

12

13

14

MR. QUIGLEY: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled.

- Q. Now, Dr. March, I handed you a document and can you take a look at it and see if I am correct in that there are articles
- 6 on that website --
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. -- that speak to what happens to people who want to leave
 Syria?
- 10 A. Yes. This is an article that he wrote for the Washington
 11 Institute that is referred to as a policy analysis document.
 - Q. And is it fair to say that he agrees with your analysis,

 does he not, that it would be very against ISIS' beliefs to let

 refugees leave Syria?
- MR. QUIGLEY: Objection to leading and hearsay.
- 16 THE COURT: Yes. Don't lead. Rephrase, Ms. Shroff.
- 17 MS. SHROFF: Sure.
- Q. Dr. March, is your opinion and Mr. Zelin's opinion the same on the issue of refugees wanting to leave the Islamic State?
- 20 A. Yes.
- So, Mr. Zelin says the Islamic State loathes that
 individuals are fleeing Syria for Europe and I would agree with
 that.
- Q. And that's because they think the caliphate is the ultimate place for all Muslims, correct?

3

4

5

6

8

15

17

22

March - direct

- 1 If you're a good Muslim you should want to live under the 2 caliphate.
 - And that is the belief of the Islamic State now and that was the belief always, correct?
 - That was the purpose of establishing the caliphate.
- Going back one step in terms of ISIS' ability to recruit, I 7 am not going to go too far but would you say that their level of recruitment -- how would you compare their level of
- 9 recruitment to other organizations?
- 10 A. From the period from early 2014 until sometime in mid to late 2016 they were the most popular destination for Muslims 11 12 living in other countries that wanted to go fight. So, they 13 were much better at recruiting people to join them than rival 14 groups like al Qaeda.
 - 0. And they had several Twitter accounts, correct?
- Countless Twitter accounts. 16 Α.
 - What do you mean by countless? Ο.
- 18 A. Well, they would just set up -- I mean not countless in a literal sense but they would have hundreds and hundreds of 19 20 accounts because Twitter accounts would get shut down by Twitter, by the government, and so they were constantly 21
- They also use other apps, correct? They also use Surespot, 23

disseminating their views through various Twitter accounts.

- 24 correct?
- 25 Yes. Α.

H1o5gam1 March - direct Q. And they also used -- what are the other apps they used do you remember? A. I'm not sure what apps for communication, I am more familiar with websites they would use for disseminating their views and propaganda. (Continued on next page)

- 1 | Q. What were the websites?
- 2 A. Justpaste.it, the one you mentioned is a very, very common
- 3 one. And you may often find documents that were just listed
- 4 there.
- 5 Q. What about justask.com?
- 6 A. I'm not familiar with that.
- 7 | Q. Did they disseminate documents and magazines through
- 8 | al-Furgan?
- 9 A. Furgan? Is it maybe Furgan?
- 10 | Q. Yes.
- 11 A. That's the one, yes.
- 12 | Q. How about al Hayat?
- 13 A. I believe so.
- 14 | Q. And how about Adnani, do you recall that one?
- 15 | A. I'm not sure. I'd have to see it written down. Possibly.
- 16 Q. Of course we've already gone through the other two
- 17 documents.
- Now, Dr. March, I'm going to shift topics for a minute
- 19 and would you agree with the statement that to recruit ISIS,
- 20 | ISIS uses people as a force multiplier online?
- 21 A. So, I'm not a huge expert on that kind of technological
- 22 stuff. I think that --
- 23 MR. QUIGLEY: Objection, your Honor. He just said
- he's not an expert.
- MS. SHROFF: He said he's not a huge expert.

So the term "force multiplier" is ambiguous to me. 1 could mean something like an automatic sort of bot that sends 2 3 things out in many directions, or it could refer to people that 4 are just sharing propaganda. But the term to me is very 5 ambiguous because perhaps the Jihadology website is a force 6 multiplier because it makes these things easier to access. 7 Q. What is a force multiplier? MR. QUIGLEY: Objection. Asked and answered. 8 9 THE COURT: Overruled. 10 My understanding is that a force multiplier refers to 11 multiple sources of social media or other media propagation 12 where files or data can be sent out in many directions. 13 Q. Let me show you what is marked as Defense Exhibit 120-AA-T. 14 Actually I'm going to start with a different one. 15 Let me start with Defense Exhibit 124-AA. Do you have it, Mr. Quigley? 16 17 Α. Yes. 18 MS. SHROFF: Do you have an objection? 19 MR. QUIGLEY: Are you going to offer it? 20 MS. SHROFF: Yes. 21 MR. QUIGLEY: We have no objection. 22 THE COURT: Very well. 23 MS. SHROFF: So could you publish Defense Exhibit 24 124-AA for me. 25

(Defendant's Exhibit 124-AA received in evidence)

- 1 MS. SHROFF: Let's just focus that a little bit.
- 2 | Q. Dr. March, do you recognize the individuals in this photo?
- 3 A. So, yes. I recognize the person standing, who is I believe
- 4 | the former head of the Muslim Brotherhood, who is now presently
- 5 | in jail in Egypt.
- 6 Q. Given the documents that you reviewed for this case, do you
- 7 | recognize who the younger man with the big smile on his face on
- 8 | the front is?
- 9 A. Is that Attiya Aboualala?
- 10 | Q. Could you pronounce that last name for me?
- 11 A. I believe it is Aboualala. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Do you recognize the third person sitting there?
- 13 A. Well, where you see -- you don't see it here, but there is
- 14 | an Arabic post by I believe Attiya that says who the people
- 15 | are.
- MR. QUIGLEY: Objection. He's not a translator.
- 17 | THE COURT: Objection sustained.
- 18 Q. Just yes or no, do you know who that person is?
- 19 A. I have access to their names, yes.
- 20 MR. QUIGLEY: Objection.
- 21 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 22 | Q. He's not a member of ISIS, right?
- 23 A. Certainly not.
- 24 | Q. Okay. You can take that down. Let me show you what is
- 25 marked as 120-AA-T.

```
H103GAM2
                                March - direct
               MS. SHROFF: Your Honor, the defense would move to
1
      admit 120-AA-T.
 2
 3
               MR. QUIGLEY: Objection. Hearsay.
 4
               MS. SHROFF: May we approach?
 5
               THE COURT: Yes.
 6
               (Continued on next page)
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

H1O3GAM2

March - direct

1 (At the sidebar).

THE COURT: What is it?

MR. HABIB: This is a public Facebook post by Attiya on this date, which the Court will recall is the day that is alleged that he met with Samy El-Goarany in Istanbul. Same day. Just that sentence.

THE COURT: And the objection is?

MR. QUIGLEY: Hearsay, your Honor. It is being offered for the truth that that statement was made by John McCain.

MR. HABIB: It's being offered not for its truth and we don't object to a limiting instruction so advising the jury. It's being offered to demonstrate Attiya's state of mind and we expect the witness will testify that the substance of the Tweet that is the mental impression or belief that Attiya is conveying is hostile to ISIS or unsympathetic to ISIS. So it is highly probative. That was at the exact time when he's supposed to be sending Samy to ISIS, the government's theory, he's publicly posting on Facebook a message that is in substance hostile to ISIS's actions.

MR. QUIGLEY: Then we'd object on expert notice grounds. They never noticed that he would opine on Attiya's Facebook posts. I can bring the notice up to your Honor. This is beyond the scope of the notice.

MS. SHROFF: It is beyond the scope of his notice that

March - direct

somebody who sends that post would be for the Muslim

Brotherhood or against ISIS. Or that it's beyond the scope of
our notice that we think that ISIS is not -- is an organization
that other people in the world would ignore.

THE COURT: Who is Davutoglu?

MR. HABIB: The former prime minister of Turkey.

MR. QUIGLEY: There was nothing in their notice that he would opine about Attiya's Facebook posts.

MS. SHROFF: He is not opining about Attiya's Facebook posts. He's opining about something posted on Attiya's Facebook. It is irrelevant where it's posted for his expert opinion. It is irrelevant where it's posted. We gave him notice that he reviewed Attiya's Facebook page.

MR. HABIB: He can explain to the jury on modern Middle Eastern jihadi movements to explain what his position was on Syria. He's not going to testify about what Attiya's opinion was, that's something we can argue. He's just going to explain to the jury right --

THE COURT: You can do that without using this document though.

MS. SHROFF: But the document is properly in evidence, your Honor. It's properly in evidence. It is a document published to show Attiya's state of mind at that time. And Attiya's state of mind impacted, especially since the United States attorney's office has said that Attiya and Samy were so

25

March - direct

close and speaking to each other over Facebook. It is 1 important that that document, which was on Attiya's website, 2 3 also influences Samy El-Goarany's mental state at the time, at 4 the very same day that they are arguing that in Samy 5 El-Goarany's head all he knows is Attiva is ISIS. 6 THE COURT: What is this exactly? Is this something 7 that Attiva wrote? MR. HABIB: Yes. 8 9 MS. MIRON: I would remind the Court that through 10 Aaron Zelin, the government was permitted to play laptop videos that were argument that was relevant to Mr. Gammal's state of 11 12 mind. Mr. Gammal and Attiya communicated via Facebook on this 13 date. 14 MR. QUIGLEY: Our notice specifically said he would 15 comment -- that Zelin would testify about those videos. They said nothing about their expert commenting on Attiva's Facebook 16 17 posts. 18 MS. SHROFF: He's not commenting on Attiya's Facebook He's commenting on an article, and the article happens 19 posts. 20 to be on Attiya's Facebook post. 21 MR. HABIB: He won't give any testimony about what 22 Attiya might have thought. That's all for argument. MR. QUIGLEY: It is irrelevant. 23 24 THE COURT: You're saying different things.

saying he is not commenting on Attiya's post, he's commenting

on the article. 1 2 MS. SHROFF: They put in a thousand articles that were 3 on Attiva --4 MR. HABIB: Let me be more precise. He's not going to 5 opine on Attiya's state of mind. He is not going to opine on 6 Attiya's state of mind. I expect what Ms. Shroff will do is 7 publish this exhibit, ask the witness who is Davutoglu, what was his plan regarding Syria, which is well within the scope of 8 matters in which he's been qualified as an expert and that's 9 10 it. 11 THE COURT: You can do that without putting the 12 document into evidence. So I'm not going to allow it. 13 MS. SHROFF: Your Honor, it goes to the state of mind 14 on the very date --15 THE COURT: Now you're making conflicting arguments. 16 (Continued on next page) 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

1 (In open court)

- 2 Q. Dr. March, do you recall in January 19, 2015, who John
- 3 McCain was?
- 4 A. John McCain has been a senator from the the state of
- 5 Arizona for a very long time.
- 6 \parallel O. Who was O-G-L-U?
- 7 A. The O-G-L-U is a very common ending for Turkish names. So
- 8 | it means son of, so it is a very common way for Turkish last
- 9 names to end.
- But during this period, this was also the ending of
- 11 the last name of the Turkish foreign minister, Ahmet Davutoglu.
- 12 | Q. Let me correct that date. It was January 29, 2015.
- 13 And do you recall reviewing a notation that John
- 14 McCain regrets and says he should have listened to Oglu
- 15 | regarding Syria?
- 16 MR. QUIGLEY: Objection.
- 17 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 18 | Q. Do you have an opinion as an expert in modern Islamic
- 19 | thought as to John McCain's regret regarding Syria on
- 20 | January 29, 2015?
- 21 MR. QUIGLEY: Objection.
- 22 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 23 | 0. Go ahead.
- 24 A. John McCain has been very active in the Senate in trying to
- 25 | formulate policy, U.S. policy on Syria. And the two main

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

- issues at stake were how to fight ISIS once they arose, and whether U.S. policy should be aimed at toppling the government of Bashar al-Assad in Syria. And it was the policy of the Turkish government that bore most of the burdens of the refugee crisis, and ISIS terrorism, that a solution to the problem of terrorism had to include toppling of the Assad government and replacing it with an inclusive democratic government. And John McCain has been on record saying that the U.S. should have developed a more aggressive stance against the Assad government, in addition to being against ISIS.
 - Q. On January 29, McCain posted his regret, did he not?

 MR. QUIGLEY: Objection.
- 13 A. I believe --
 - Q. What was his regret exactly?
 - MR. QUIGLEY: Objection.
- 16 THE COURT: Sustained.
- Q. Subsequent to this time period, are you familiar with John McCain's continued position on this issue?
- 19 A. Not particularly well.
- Q. As part of your review of this case, did you review the government's summary exhibits which I gave you which were Government Exhibit 1201, all the way to 1206?
- 23 A. Yes, I have seen these.
- 24 | Q. These were Facebook interactions between people, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

H103GAM2 March - direct Q. You reviewed them as part of the evidence in this case, correct? A. Yes. MR. QUIGLEY: Your Honor, I'm going to object on notice grounds. THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen. Let's take our morning break. 15 minutes. (Jury excused) (Continued on next page)

March - direct

1 THE COURT: Professor March, you may step down. Actually, Professor March, could you step 2 MS. SHROFF: 3 out? 4 THE WITNESS: Of course. 5 (Witness not present) 6 THE COURT: If might have been helpful if all this had 7 been fronted before today. MR. QUIGLEY: Your Honor, that's our point, that it 8 9 wasn't fronted before today. We got an expert notice, a very 10 minimal expert notice in late December. We asked the defense 11 to supplement. They did through a motion. And we have been hit over the head repeatedly in this case from the defense with 12 13 the idea that expert notice requires disclosure of the expert's 14 opinions and the bases of those opinions, and the topics of his 15 testimony. And we are now far, far -- and I think we have been frankly conservative in objecting to some of these things, but 16 17 we have been far, far, far beyond the scope of the notice for Mr. March or Dr. March right now. 18 19 When Mr. Zelin was testifying, even though we 20 explicitly noticed him that he was going to give some 21 background about the Muslim Brotherhood, our ability to examine 22 him about that was particularly constrained. And that's fine. 23 But the point is we're far, far beyond the portions of 24 Mr. March's notice right now.

I realize the summary exhibits were produced during

March - direct

the government's case, but that was over a week ago now. If he had some opinion on them, they should have been produced. We got exactly two pieces of 3500 material for Mr. March. It's kind of hard to believe there is not more out there.

MS. SHROFF: That's not true.

MR. QUIGLEY: If we had gotten adequate notice, we could have addressed this. That's the reason we're raising it now, is we don't have adequate notice. That's precisely the issue.

THE COURT: Ms. Shroff, what do you intend to ask and how is it within the scope of the notice that was provided?

MS. SHROFF: First of all, we gave them the exhibits. Okay. They may have had two pieces of 3500 material, which we told them over and over again that the 3500 material was actually limited. But the exhibits were provided to them. These are also government exhibits. I mean, there is — when there is nothing about a summary exhibit that they spent hours and hours putting together, that should come as any surprise to them when somebody is asked to give testimony just because it's not coming out of their spoonfed witness's mouth.

I'm not really clear. Should I give them my entire direct of every witness? It's their evidence. Surely they know that an expert being called from the other side is going to review their evidence. That's precisely why you have another expert.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But putting that aside, all I simply wanted to show through this witness is that he had in fact reviewed the government's exhibits and it did not change his opinion one way or the other on his testimony about Muslim Brotherhood, and its relationship to the Islamic State, or his impression of any of the other pieces of evidence he's reviewed in this case, including his opinion on whether or not Attiva Aboualala was part of the Muslim Brotherhood.

I'm not clear as to what is Mr. Quigley claiming some kind of surprise? Some kind of surprise that is not cured by him having 15 more minutes to cross-examine? Is that really what the government is saying? That they are so surprised that the defense would put in the government's own evidence, that their only remedy is preclusion?

MR. QUIGLEY: Your Honor, at this stage it is. issue is, as Ms. Shroff repeatedly emphasized to the government both in written submissions and over the phone during the fall, expert notice must include the expert's opinions, and the bases for those opinions. Dumping a bunch of exhibits on the government the night before or a couple of days before the witness is supposed to testify is not expert notice.

MS. SHROFF: Your Honor, there were three exhibits. I don't think you can dump three exhibits. They gave us a 302 dated May 2016 on January --

THE COURT: We're talking about a specific topic right

March - direct

1 now, Ms. Shroff.

MR. QUIGLEY: So, the law is very clear, and again I think we've been, I know we've objected, but we've allowed a significant amount of leeway with the spirit of notice.

Opining on summary charts, opining on some of the other issues we've objected to, is well beyond the scope of the expert notice.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. SHROFF: Your Honor, may I just complete the record on two grounds because I also did not have the opportunity to complete the record on the translation that was previously precluded.

THE COURT: Absolutely.

MS. SHROFF: Okay. So, your Honor, just on that exhibit, it is also a --

THE COURT: Just so the record is clear, just on what exhibit?

MS. SHROFF: Going back to what I had marked in evidence as Defense Exhibit 405, which is a document that is a certificate issued to Mr. Attiya Aboualala inducting him into the International Union for Muslim Scholars. That was a document produced to us by the government. Not only was the Facebook production included in discovery, it was the government also actually translated and we adopted without any revision their translation. I don't need to tell Mr. Quigley

March - direct

every single piece of paper I'm going to introduce as evidence. I don't think that's what the law calls for. It is a document that was the basis of Dr. March's testimony, and we should be allowed to at least refer to it, if not admit it into evidence. That's one.

Your Honor, I am assuming that the Court's ruling was

Your Honor, I am assuming that the Court's ruling was that not only would the defense not be able to admit it, also we would not be able to refer to it. Is that right or did I misunderstand the ruling?

THE COURT: That's the ruling with respect to that.

MS. SHROFF: Thank you.

Your Honor, as to Defense Exhibit 120-A-T, again, this document was provided to the government as a possible exhibit. They had it. It's their document. It is a highly probative document. And I just wanted the record to be clear that it is in fact a document that they also had translated and they produced it to us in their discovery production.

THE COURT: All of that doesn't necessarily add up to relevance.

MS. SHROFF: No, no, it adds up to notice, your Honor. That's right. It is not about the relevance. That's absolutely correct.

The summary exhibits, those are the government's own exhibits. We objected to them. They were put into evidence over our objection. The paralegal testified as to the hours he

23

24

25

March - direct

worked closely, in fact he said the most amount of time he 1 2 spent preparing those summary exhibits were with Mr. Quigley. 3 So I'm not really sure how preclusion is the appropriate remedy here. But if that's the Court's ruling, that's the Court's 4 5 ruling. Thank you. 6 THE COURT: Mr. Quigley. 7 MR. QUIGLEY: Your Honor, just briefly. To make clear, we've received no notice, and I think it is clear, but 8 9 in an abundance of caution, either that the witness would be opining on the summary exhibits or he would be issuing any kind 10 11 of opinion of Attiya personally, as to the Muslim Brotherhood 12 personally, or as to the Muslim Brotherhood generally, so we 13 would move to preclude both of those opinions. 14 THE COURT: That is the Court's ruling. Period. We 15 have 10 minutes. Just to be clear, the defense cannot inquire of 16 17 Professor March including the summary charts. MS. SHROFF: Your Honor, the same ruling holds then 18 for the government if they call Mr. Zelin in rebuttal, right? 19 20 THE COURT: If he doesn't go into it on direct, he 21 can't go into it on rebuttal. 22

I don't want you to take too much away from what I'm saying. The subject matter that Professor March is testifying to would be appropriate fodder for a rebuttal case.

MS. SHROFF: Of course.

March - direct

MR. QUIGLEY: Your Honor, just we would also object to any testimony, any opinion that Attiya was a member of the Muslim Brotherhood because that again was clearly not included in the expert notice.

THE COURT: Do you intend to elicit that from him?

How can he possibly give an opinion of whether or not a person was a member of an organization?

MS. SHROFF: All he can do is testify as to the documents reviewed and what conclusions he drew. That's proper for somebody who reviewed the government's documents that we told him that the expert reviewed.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. SHROFF: But I also want to make sure in terms of Mr. Zelin's testimony, right, that Mr. Zelin's testimony is limited to what the testimony was from Dr. March, right? Is that the general parameter?

THE COURT: I would think that his testimony would be limited to the defense case in the areas for which notice was given.

MS. SHROFF: You are saying they can put Mr. Zelin on the witness stand and review with him anything they want, as long as it was part of the defense case originally or just limited to Dr. March's testimony?

THE COURT: It is not typical for me to sit here and figure out what would be appropriate and not, and I don't know

March - direct

that Mr. Zelin will be called or that the government will have 1 2 a rebuttal case. 3 MS. SHROFF: I want to make sure the record is clear, 4 we received from the government no documents about Mr. Zelin's 5 rebuttal testimony, no exhibits for Mr. Zelin's rebuttal testimony, nothing in addition, especially given their very 6 7 limited notice on Muslim Brotherhood, and I just want to make sure that what's good for the goose is good for the gander. 8 9 Right? 10 MR. OUIGLEY: That's fine. Rule 16 discusses the 11 expert notice with respect to the government in the case in 12 chief. 13 I can say we have not made a decision, Mr. Zelin is 14 here. We have not made a decision as to whether we will call him. Whether we're going to put on a rebuttal case, whether 15 Mr. Zelin will be part of that rebuttal case, or what, if 16 17 anything, he would testify about in a rebuttal case. So as soon as we know, we'll let the defense know. 18

But just on the issue of Attiya's membership in the Muslim Brotherhood, Ms. Shroff said the witness would be stating a conclusion. I think a conclusion is another name for opinion, so we would still move to preclude that.

THE COURT: I will not allow you to have him testify as to Aboualala's membership in the Muslim Brotherhood. Okay.

(Recess)

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

March - direct

THE COURT: Sir, you can step forward. 1 2 (Jury present) 3 THE COURT: Ms. Shroff. 4 MS. SHROFF: Thank you, your Honor. BY MS. SHROFF: 5 Q. Now, Dr. March, the last we left off, you were testifying 6 7 about ISIS's position on people who want to leave Syria, 8 correct? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Let's just talk, and this is your last topic on direct, 11 about the border between Turkey and Syria during the relevant timeframe of 2014 and 2015. 12 13 Do you have that timeframe in your mind? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. Could you tell the jury how many local militia groups would 16 be on that border? 17 So, the Syrian civil war was notorious for having dozens 18 and dozens of various rebel groups on the antigovernment side, 19 sometimes grouped together in larger sort of forces and 20 sometimes not. 21 So, the border between northern Syrian, southern 22 Turkey, there was a couple of points of exit and entry, which 23 is where all refugees would have to flow, all humanitarian 24 access would have to go in and out, and all supplies of

personnel and weapons would have to go for various rebel

- 1 groups. But it was many.
- 2 Q. Now, in your opinion, would these exit and entry points
- 3 remain stable at all times?
- 4 A. No. The Turkish government had the capacity to close and
- 5 monitor them, depending on the development of their policy.
- 6 Q. Would it be fair to say that certain points that were open
- 7 on Monday would be closed on Tuesday?
- 8 A. Very possible.
- 9 Q. Now, were there any NGOs or non-governmental organizations
- 10 | also on the border of Turkey and Syria?
- 11 | A. Many.
- 12 | Q. An NGO, by definition, is not an entity or an organization
- or a company sponsored by a government, correct?
- 14 A. Non-government organization.
- 15 | Q. There were also many ad hoc organizations, were there not,
- 16 | right around the border?
- 17 A. Charity groups and so forth, yes.
- 18 | Q. These were not listed charity groups, correct?
- 19 A. There could be many, so a charity might decide I want to go
- 20 | help Syrian refugees and bring some supplies, and they would
- 21 | not necessarily be registered or have a long-standing
- 22 affiliation.
- 23 MR. QUIGLEY: Objection. Non-responsive.
- 24 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 25 | Q. Let's just talk about Gaziantep. Are you familiar with

- 1 | that place in Turkey?
- 2 A. Yes. It is the largest city close to the Syrian border in southern Turkey.
- 4 | Q. Could you tell the jury how one could get to Gaziantep?
- 5 A. From Istanbul it would be fairly straightforward to get 6 there by land.
- 7 Q. It's information that's available on tourist sites,
 8 correct?
 - A. Tourist sites, Google Maps, bus schedules and so forth.
- 10 Q. Could you explain to the jury what the concept of tazkiya
 11 is?
- 12 A. Tazkiya is an Arabic term meaning a kind of verification or 13 recommendation, vouching for one person -- vouching of one
- 14 person for another.

9

20

- 15 | Q. Has the Islamic State somehow coopted that word?
- A. Yes. It is very common in organizations like that, that
 you want to know who is coming and that there is somebody that
 you can trust, so as things got more dangerous for them, they
 would want to be able to verify who people are that are coming
- 21 Q. By "they" and "them" you mean --

and claiming to want to join them.

- 22 A. The Islamic State.
- 23 Q. Or ISIL, correct?
- 24 A. Or ISIS, correct.
- 25 | Q. I just want to make sure I understand the process. If I

March - cross

- wanted to join ISIS, I would need a tazkiya from somebody that is within ISIS, correct?
- 3 A. Most likely.
- 4 Q. Right. And the person within ISIS would be in essence
- 5 | vouching for me, correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. And ISIS would refer to that word tazkiya almost as a
- 8 | purification of me, correct?
- 9 A. That's right.
- 10 | Q. And I would need that to be received into their company,
- 11 | correct?
- 12 | A. Somebody like you certainly, but yes, most people as well.
- Q. I won't be insulted by the "somebody like you" comment, but
- 14 | I have nothing further.
- Thank you, Dr. March.
- 16 THE COURT: Cross-examination.
- 17 MR. QUIGLEY: Yes, your Honor.
- 18 | CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 19 BY MR. QUIGLEY:
- 20 | Q. Sir, how are you?
- 21 A. Good morning.
- 22 | Q. So just beginning where we left off, the concept of
- 23 | tazkiya, that has changed in ISIS over time, correct?
- 24 A. So, yeah, there are periods in which they're more open to
- 25 people coming and there are periods where they need to be more

H103GAM2 March - cross

- 1 suspicious, yes.
- Q. Early on, particularly 2013, 2014, early 2015, they were
- 3 | less suspicious, correct?
- 4 A. Can you repeat the dates?
- 5 Q. Sorry. Early on --
- 6 MS. SHROFF: Could he break down the dates.
- 7 A. I'm sorry. Am I allowed to know your name?
- 8 Q. Brendan Quigley?
- 9 A. Thank you.
- 10 | Q. Over time, ISIS has become more suspicious, correct?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 Q. There are times when you didn't need tazkiya at all to get
- 13 | into ISIS, correct?
- MS. SHROFF: Your Honor, could we have a date, please?
- 15 | THE COURT: Can you focus him on a particular time
- 16 period, Mr. Quigley.
- Q. 2014, 2015, there were times when you did not need tazkiya
- 18 | at all to get into ISIS, correct?
- 19 | A. ISIS would always want to put you through some kind of
- 20 process of verification and training.
- 21 | Q. Sir, can you just answer my question?
- 22 | A. So I don't know about those particular periods when you
- 23 | wouldn't have -- definitively not needed a tazkiya.
- 24 | Q. Fair to say, though, it changed over time and it changed
- 25 over time --

March - cross

- 1 MS. SHROFF: Objection to "over time."
- THE COURT: Overruled.
 - A. Possibly.

- 4 | Q. So, I want to talk about the Muslim Brotherhood for a
- 5 second. You said the Muslim Brotherhood is not a jihadi
- 6 movement, correct?
- 7 A. Not the way that we've come to use the term jihadi
- 8 movement.
- 9 | Q. But, it's fair to say that people have, from the Muslim
- 10 | Brotherhood, have become jihadis over the years?
- 11 MS. SHROFF: Objection.
- 12 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 13 A. There have been people that have been activists or members
- 14 of the Muslim Brotherhood that have joined wars throughout the
- 15 | years, that's correct.
- 16 | Q. So let's talk about Ayman al-Zawahiri.
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. He is the leader of al Qaeda, correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. And he was the number two person in al Qaeda for many
- 21 | years, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | O. Behind Osama bin Laden?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 \parallel Q. He was directly involved in the 9/11 -- in the planning of

March - cross

- the 9/11 attacks, correct? 1
- I think so. A lot of that evidence -- I thought it was 2 Α.
- 3 Sheik Mohammed, but yes.
- We'll get to him in a second. But Ayman al-Zawahiri was in 4 0.
- 5 the Muslim Brotherhood before he joined al Qaeda?
- A. My understanding is he was in a group called al-Gama'a 6
- 7 al-Islamiyaa, or the Egyptian Islamic group that participated
- in the assassination of Anwar al-Sadat. My understanding is he 8
- 9 doesn't come out of Muslim Brotherhood, but comes out of the
- 10 more radical group called the Islamic group.
- 11 Which came out of the Muslim Brotherhood, correct?
- 12 Not particularly, no. I wouldn't say it came out of the
- 13 Muslim Brotherhood. It was a group that was formed I believe
- 14 in the 1970s as a more radical alternative in opposition to the
- Muslim Brotherhood. 15
- So, Khaled Sheik Mohammed, planner of 9/11. Correct? 16
- 17 So they say. Α.
- 18 Q. He was also a Muslim Brotherhood member at one time,
- 19 correct?
- 20 That, I don't know. He's from Kuwait, right?
- 21 MS. SHROFF: Your Honor, could the witness be allowed
- 22 to finish his answer, please.
- 23 THE COURT: He's allowed to finish his answers.
- 24 Abdullah Azzam, are you familiar with him?
- 25 Α. Yes.

March - cross

- 1 Q. He was the one of the founders of al Qaeda, correct?
- 2 A. I -- actually, my understanding is it was his assassination
- 3 by groups around the Afghan jihad that allowed for the
- 4 formation of al Qaeda.
- 5 | Q. He was a jihadist though?
- A. He was somebody that fought in the Afghan jihad against the Soviets, supported by the United States.
 - Q. Sounds like he was a jihadist.
 - MS. SHROFF: Objection.
- 10 | THE COURT: Sustained.
- 11 Q. He was a member of the Muslim Brotherhood at one point,
- 12 | too, correct?

8

- 13 A. I don't remember his organizational affiliation.
- Q. Would it refresh your recollection to know that his wiki
- 15 page says that --
- MS. SHROFF: Objection. If he wants to show him a
- 17 page, he may.
- 18 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 19 Q. That in the mid 1950s Azzam joined the Muslim Brotherhood
- 20 | after being influenced by Shafiq Asad `Abd al-Hadi, a local
- 21 | teacher who was a member of the Brotherhood?
- 22 MS. SHROFF: Objection, your Honor, to Wikipedia.
- 23 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 24 A. So, I don't have the Wikipedia site in front of me. I
- 25 don't remember Azzam's biography at the moment, but it's

H103GAM2 March - cross

1 | plausible. What you're saying is plausible.

- Q. Okay. Abu Bakr al-Baghdadi, are you familiar with him?
- 3 | A. Yes.

- 4 | Q. He is the current leader of ISIS, right?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. When he was in graduate school he joined the Muslim
- 7 | Brotherhood in Iraq, correct?
- 8 A. Is that true? I thought he was -- I thought he was an
- 9 official government paid sort of prayer leader under Saddam.
- 10 | Q. So you're familiar with William McCants?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. You've written articles with him, correct?
- 13 A. Published articles with his organization, yes.
- 14 Q. So, if he said in an article in the BBC that during
- 15 | Baghdadi's time in graduate school, his uncle persuaded him to
- 16 join the Muslim Brotherhood, would you find that likely
- 17 | accurate?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Let's talk about Osama bin Laden. Probably the most famous
- 20 terrorist in the world, correct?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- MS. SHROFF: Objection to the characterization. He
- 23 can ask a question if he wishes.
- 24 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 25 | Q. Responsible for deaths of thousands of American, correct?

March - cross

H103GAM2

- 1 MS. SHROFF: Objection.
- 2 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 3 A. I am aware of Osama bin Laden.
- Q. So you're saying he wasn't responsible for the deaths of
- 5 | thousands of Americans?
- A. No, no, I'm saying he was, and I know who Osama bin Laden
 was.
- 8 | Q. He was a Muslim Brotherhood member also, correct?
- 9 A. In his youth I believe that he -- I don't know if he was a
- 10 member, but I believe in his youth he was affiliated with some
- 11 | teachers from the Muslim Brotherhood.
- 12 | Q. Sheik is an honorific title in Arabic, correct?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 THE COURT: Mr. Quigley, could you get closer to the
- 15 | microphone.
- 16 MR. QUIGLEY: Sorry.
- 17 | Q. Bin Laden was killed in 2011, right?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And at the time of his death, the Muslim Brotherhood issued
- 20 | a statement honoring him with the title Sheik, correct?
- 21 A. Is that in evidence? I don't remember --
- 22 | Q. If you don't remember, I'm happy to show you a document.
- 23 | A. Yeah.
- MS. SHROFF: May we approach for a moment, please?
- 25 THE COURT: Sure.

```
H103GAM2
                                   March - cross
                 (Continued on next page)
 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

25

March - cross

1 (At the sidebar) MS. SHROFF: I just want to understand. Mr. Quigley 2 3 objected when I asked him if Attiya Aboualala was part of the Muslim Brotherhood. But he can ask if all of these individuals 4 5 were originally in some way, shape or form associated with the 6 Muslim Brotherhood. I just want to make sure I understand the 7 Court's ruling. And number two, Mr. Quigley is misstating testimony including William McCants' book. William McCants' 8 9 book makes clear --10 THE COURT: Is that in evidence? 11 MS. SHROFF: He cited to it. 12 THE COURT: In this trial? 13 MS. SHROFF: He just --14 THE COURT: Before. 15 MS. SHROFF: No, not before. So William McCants wrote a book in which he said that the guy was kicked out of the 16 17 Muslim Brotherhood for his violence. 18 THE COURT: Which guy? 19 MS. SHROFF: Baghdadi. 20 MR. QUIGLEY: It was a direct quote. MS. SHROFF: I'm not finished. 21 THE COURT: Go ahead, Ms. Shroff. 22 23 MS. SHROFF: So that misstates actually what is 24 written in McCants' book. Number one, it misstates it, okay.

So I want to point out the misstatement, just in case it is a

H1O3GAM2

March - cross

mistake by Mr. Quigley, he can correct that if he wants. If not, I can clean it up on redirect.

But my objection here is asking if other people were Muslim Brotherhood or not certainly opens the door in our opinion as to whether or not Attiya Aboualala was Muslim Brotherhood.

MR. QUIGLEY: It does not. They have gone to great lengths in this trial to say that the Muslim Brotherhood is a peace loving --

THE COURT: Is a?

MR. QUIGLEY: Is a peace loving democratic organization that would have nothing to do with violence, and this they've asked numerous witnesses about that, including this witness, including Mohammed El-Goarany, including the case agent. And it is well within the scope of cross of their expert to cast doubt on that.

The Attiya issue is one of relevance. But also two of notice. They did not notice that this expert would be opining in any way, shape or form that he was a member of the Muslim Brotherhood, so it is two completely different issues.

THE COURT: I'm happy to hear Mr. Habib.

MR. HABIB: I don't want to offer a conflicting opinion with my colleague who always knows better. I think on the question of membership in the Muslim Brotherhood, so what they're doing is eliciting evidence that at various, in some

H103GAM2

March - cross

cases distant points in history, individuals today or in the recent past associated with terrorism may or may not have had loose affiliation or affiliation of some unspecified type with the organization.

It would be equivalent to asking Timothy McVeigh wasn't he a Young Republican. Something like that. And so, it's quite attenuated.

But if the government is going to pursue this line of argument about asking the membership of particular people in the Brotherhood, we think that this opens it up on redirect asking him about Attiya. And if the problem is notice, the fact that they've opened it up on redirect I think excuses any notice deficiency.

THE COURT: I disagree. I think that one of the issues that has been raised in this trial is the nature of the Muslim Brotherhood, and Professor March testified that is essentially a peaceful organization. You contrasted it specifically, explicitly to jihadist movements and indicated that the Muslim Brotherhood was an Islamist movement. And what Mr. Quigley is doing by mentioning particular individuals whose names have come up during the course of this trial, although I don't know whether Osama bin Laden's name has come up.

MS. SHROFF: No.

THE COURT: But certainly individuals who exercised, I think there would be no dispute, leadership roles in these

H103GAM2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

March - cross

various movements, individuals whose names have been mentioned or would be known to the jury. I am sure all members of the jury has heard of Osama bin Laden, to contrast Professor March's testimony that the Muslim Brotherhood is and accepts essentially peace loving individuals, I think it is perfectly appropriate impeachment, and does not in my mind open up the issue of whether an individual who I assume Mr. March has never heard of and who I assume has never had a leadership role in any of these organizations was a member.

MS. SHROFF: That's not true. Dr. March has heard of Attiya Aboualala. He has in fact reviewed all of Attiya Aboualala's public posts, and certainly Attiya Aboualala's membership in the Muslim Brotherhood has been a huge issue before this jury. In fact, the government has tried to insinuate repeatedly, and I direct the Court to one of the government's chats that they put in their summary exhibit and they will certainly use at summation, "I am thinking of joining the state." That is the government's, they introduced that post. Right. So the government has interjected whether or not Attiya Aboualala, they planted that seed in the jury's mind. Is Attiya Aboualala actually with the state? They planted that. They just don't want -- they are arguing notice on somebody wanting to clean that up when they have introduced it themselves.

Osama bin Laden was never any part of this trial.

H103GAM2 March - cross And, to the extent Attiya's name has been mentioned, it has been mentioned I would say at least 100 times more than Osama bin Laden, so certainly the jury is familiar with him. THE COURT: I understand that. MR. QUIGLEY: I have a few more questions on this area. (Continued on next page)

H103GAM2 March - cross

1 (In open court)

- 2 BY MR. QUIGLEY:
- 3 Q. When we left off, you had said you didn't remember whether
- 4 | the Muslim Brotherhood issued is a statement after Mr. bin
- 5 | Laden's death.
- 6 A. Correct.
 - Q. So, just take a look at that, that paragraph.
- 8 A. Hmm-hmm.
- 9 Q. And tell me whether that refreshes your recollection
- 10 | that --

- MS. SHROFF: Does the government have a copy for the
- 12 defense?
- 13 MR. QUIGLEY: I'll bring you a copy.
- 14 THE COURT: After Professor March reviews it, you can
- 15 | review it.
- 16 | Q. Sir, does that refresh your recollection that shortly after
- 17 | Osama bin Laden's death, the Muslim Brotherhood issued a
- 18 | statement honoring him with the title Sheik?
- 19 MS. SHROFF: Your Honor, I have not reviewed the
- 20 exhibit. Thank you.
- 21 | THE WITNESS: Should I answer or wait?
- 22 MS. SHROFF: Your Honor, I just need one second. Let
- 23 me return it to you.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Thank you, yes.
- 25 A. So, this is an oral from --

H103GAM2 March - cross

- THE COURT: I'm sorry, Professor March.
- THE WITNESS: I beg your pardon?
- 3 THE COURT: Professor March, the answer is a yes or no
- 4 question. And then if depending on what the answer is,
- 5 Mr. Quigley will go forward or he'll move on.
- 6 THE WITNESS: I apologize.
- 7 A. This claims that they have a site where they do that, and
- 8 | it wouldn't surprise me if that were true.
- 9 Q. Thank you. So, you said the Muslim Brotherhood has
- 10 | branches throughout the Sunni world, correct?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 Q. One of those branches is in Palestine, correct?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And that is called Hamas, correct?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And Hamas is a designated foreign terrorist organization,
- 17 || right?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. Hamas has killed civilians?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. And the Egyptian Muslim Brotherhood has funded Hamas,
- 22 | correct that?
- 23 A. Is -- I don't know. I think it is very difficult for them
- 24 | to do that when they were illegal in Egypt, so I couldn't tell
- 25 you whether they as an organization funded them.

March - cross

- Q. You said that you are working on a book or worked on a book
 about influential Muslim Brotherhood figures. Do you recall
- 4 A. Yes.

that?

3

7

8

- 5 | Q. Are you familiar with Sayyid Qtub?
- 6 A. Yes, I've written an article about him.
 - Q. Fair to say he is an influential Muslim Brotherhood figure?
 - A. He was, particularly in the 1950s and '60s.
 - Q. Yes or no?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- In order to answer truthfully, I'd like to say that he
 was extremely influential in the '50s and '60s, but the
 Brotherhood formally renounced his ideas after his death.
- 14 Q. He promoted something called takfiri, correct?
- 15 A. That's not quite true.
- 16 Q. He has become influential in other groups, correct?
- 17 A. Sayyid Qutb is an important figure in 20th century Islamist
 18 thought, and he's read by many, many different people and
- 19 groups across a wide spectrum.
- Q. Would it surprise you to know that his book *Milestones* is distributed in ISIS training camps?
- A. It would surprise me mildly, because they have serious
 theological problems with him, and they have their own list of
 scholars. So, it would surprise me mildly, but I wouldn't say
 that it's not possible.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

24

25

March - cross

- Q. You testified about Islamist movements, right. Just how would you define an Islamist movement?
 - A. I believe the way I defined it earlier is as a group that is organized to advance the ruling role of Islam over the public social and educational sphere.
 - Q. The Muslim Brotherhood is an Islamist movement, correct?
 - A. It is the fountainhead of most 20th century Islamist groups.
 - Q. Meaning they want a society based on Islamist laws, correct?
- 11 A. They want a society -- the Muslim Brotherhood's ideology is
 12 very complicated.
 - Q. It is a yes or no question.
 - MS. SHROFF: Objection, your Honor, it is not --
- 15 THE COURT: It was a yes or no question. If Professor
 16 March can't answer it yes or no, he should say so.
- 17 THE WITNESS: It's complicated.
- 18 | Q. They want a society based on sharia law, correct?
- 19 A. That's also complicated. They want a society in which the
- 20 Muslims are faithful to their religion, and the government
- 21 | tries in some way to adapt Islamic law to modern needs.
- Q. Let's talk about kind of the current or the 2013 Muslim
 Brotherhood in Egypt.
 - Just prior to the coup, the Muslim Brotherhood called for jihad or a Muslim Brotherhood cleric called for jihad in

H103GAM2 March - cross

- 1 | Syria, correct?
- 2 A. Yes. Everybody was calling for war against Assad in Syria,
- 3 | including John McCain.
- 4 | Q. But also including the Muslim Brotherhood, correct?
- 5 A. Yes, they opposed the Assad government.
- 6 Q. They were they treated -- sir, are you familiar with the
- 7 | motto of the Muslim Brotherhood?
- 8 A. The model?
- 9 \mathbb{Q} . The motto.
- 10 A. Oh, the motto. Yes, right.
- 11 | Q. Is it "Allah is our goal, the messenger is our role model,
- 12 | the Koran is our Constitution, jihad is our path, death for
- 13 | Allah's causes is our greatest aspiration"?
- 14 A. That was the traditional motto, yes.
- 15 | Q. So, let's talk briefly about -- sorry. Couple of last
- 16 questions on the Muslim Brotherhood.
- 17 | Very large organization, right?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Hundreds of thousands of members throughout the world?
- 20 A. I'm not trying to be evasive, but membership in the group
- 21 | is sometimes hard to pinpoint. But I would say activists,
- 22 | affiliates, associates, you don't necessarily get a membership
- 23 card.
- 24 | Q. That would be in the hundreds of thousands though?
- 25 A. I would guess, I would surmise.

March - cross

- Q. So let's talk about just briefly about the coup in Egypt and the lead up to it. Muslim Brotherhood Morsi government came into power in 2011, 2012, correct?
 - A. Yeah. Yes.

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. And they got in power and they enacted a Constitution,
 6 correct?
 - A. Not just the Muslim Brotherhood. There was a constitutional assembly that was elected directly by the people of Egypt that developed a Constitution over the course of late 2011, up until 2012.
 - Q. And they quickly became unpopular, correct, the ruling government?
 - A. So, Egypt is a very, very divided country. It is a very, very divided country. Many people did not want the Muslim Brotherhood to come to power, and so they opposed it from the very beginning. So, yes, it is not surprising that a large number of Egyptians did not like them and opposed them.
 - Q. So, I'm going to read you something and I want you to let me know if you wrote this.
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 Q. The shared -- referring to the shared failure of the 22 Brotherhood and the front to resolve their mutual mistrust.
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. This was an article you wrote in the Boston Review?
- 25 A. Yes, a short article in the Boston Review.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

March - cross

- Q. You said "This failure in turn led vast numbers of Egyptians, including the non-Islamist political elites who participated in elections and the constituent assembly to decide that a political system dominated by the Brotherhood was more threatening to them than a return to military rule."
 - You wrote that, correct, sir?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
 - Q. And there were protests against the Brotherhood or against the government which was run by the Brotherhood in June of 2013?
- 11 | A. Yeah, end of June 2013, yes.
- 12 Q. These were millions of people were protesting.
- A. The numbers are heavily disputed and politicized, sort of
 like our inauguration, but it wouldn't surprise me if the
 numbers went into the millions.
- 16 Q. So, shifting topics again, you testified that one area that
- a lot of disaffected Muslim Brotherhood people went was Turkey,
- 18 | correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Turkey, in fact, the government there is like an offshoot of the Muslim Brotherhood, correct?
- A. I wouldn't characterize them in that way. They are a
 government that's led by a party that is regarded as Islamist
 and is friendly to the cause of the Muslim Brotherhood, but
 Turkey has its own political development. So I would not refer

H1O3GAM2

March - cross

- 1 to the AKP as an offshoot of the Muslim Brotherhood.
- 2 Q. Nonetheless, it is an Islamist party.
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. You talked about how they had different controls of the
- 5 | borders at various times, correct?
- 6 A. So, they always had control of the border. They had policy
- 7 decisions on whether to let people go into Syria from their
- 8 border.
- 9 | Q. Okay. Those policies changed over time, correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. You were asked some questions on direct about this book The
- 12 Road to Hijrah, correct?
- 13 A. Yes, a pamphlet I believe.
- 14 Q. You still have it up there?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. It's about 50 pages, correct?
- 17 A. Yeah, exactly 50 pages.
- 18 | Q. If you can look at the Twitter accounts. First, you don't
- 19 | know when this document was published, correct?
- 20 A. It has 2015 on the cover. I don't know if there was
- 21 | information that's part of this that was released before this.
- 22 | Q. You don't know either way?
- 23 | A. No. I don't.
- 24 | Q. If you can go to page 38. There is some Twitter posts
- 25 there from January 20, 2015, correct?

H103GAM2

March - cross

- 1 Α. That's correct, yeah.
- So this document, based on that, could not have been 2 Q.
- 3 published before January 20, correct?
- Yes. As assembled. 4 Α.
- 5 If you go to page 47.
- Actually, I'm sorry, there is a post here from January 17, 6
- 7 yes.

- Q. January 20 is the latest date on that page.
- 9 MS. SHROFF: Objection, your Honor. Could he let the 10 witness answer, please.
- 11 THE COURT: They're helping each other out.
- 12 No, I agree with you.
- 13 If you go to page 47, correct? 0.
- 14 Yes, this is a list of the Twitter handles. Α.
- 15 Q. Right. You said ISIS and at another point in your direct
- has ISIS followers and supporters. There are many, many 16
- 17 Twitter accounts out there for them, correct?
- That's correct. 18 Α.
- 19 Not all those people are in the Islamic State, correct?
- 20 Well, that's part of the problem with Twitter, is you don't
- 21 really know who is on the other end, right.
- 22 Q. Right. So fair to say they're --
- 23 Not everybody is in Syria or Iraq under the control of the
- 24 Islamic State.
- 25 Right. And not everyone who is on Twitter supporting the

H103GAM2

March - cross

- 1 Islamic State is a member of the Islamic State, correct?
- 2 A. It is not really a group that has membership. You give
- 3 | your oath of loyalty which could be located, but I'm sure it is
- 4 | logically true that not everybody has done this.
- 5 | Q. You also testified about how Twitter routinely shuts down
- 6 many of the more pro ISIS Twitter accounts, correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 | Q. Sitting here today, you don't know how long any of those
- 9 accounts on page 47 were active for, correct?
- 10 | A. I don't.
- 11 | Q. Sir, you were asked some questions about ISIS and leaving
- 12 | the caliphate, correct?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Generally, that's looked down upon, correct?
- 15 A. Absolutely.
- 16 Q. Fair to say ISIS has voiced support of people who never
- 17 | made it to Syria, correct?
- 18 A. They voice support of people that have -- like people that
- 19 | support them but have not been able to make it to Syria?
- 20 | Q. Right.
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. They've endorsed their actions?
- 23 A. If they are actions that are in line with the goals of the
- 24 | Islamic State, yes.
- 25 Q. Right. Like, for example, the Orlando nightclub shooter,

H103GAM2 March - redirect

1 correct?

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

I believe. Α.

your Honor.

3 MS. SHROFF: Objection. Objection to the time period, 4

THE COURT: I didn't hear what you said.

MS. SHROFF: We object, the questions that are being asked are outside of the time period in this case. He's asking about something that happened in 2017 or '16.

THE COURT: Overruled.

Q. Like the Orlando nightclub shooter, correct?

MS. SHROFF: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled.

- 13 It's very common for ISIS to express support for violent
- 14 actions.
- 15 Q. So the answer is yes, correct?
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 The San Bernardino shooters, correct? Q.
- 18 I believe they expressed support for that. Α.
- 19 MR. QUIGLEY: One moment, your Honor. I have nothing

20 further.

25

21 MS. SHROFF: Your Honor, may I?

22 THE COURT: Yes, absolutely.

23 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

24 BY MS. SHROFF:

> Hi again, Dr. March. Q.

- 1 A. Hello.
- 2 | Q. Let me show you this book. ISIS -- actually, why don't you
- 3 | tell the jury what the title is.
- 4 A. The ISIS Apocalypse. It is written by William McCants,
- 5 which was mentioned by Mr. Quigley.
- 6 Q. And he asked you if you read the book, correct?
- 7 A. I have read this book.
- 8 Q. So have I. So let me direct you to page 75 of that book,
- 9 | correct?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Who is Mr. McCants talking about on that page?
- 12 A. He's talking about the man that was to become known as Abu
- 13 | Bakr Al Baghdadi, the head of ISIS.
- 14 | Q. What was his real name?
- 15 | A. Ibrahim al-Badri I believe is his birth name.
- 16 Q. Does Mr. McCants speak about that person when he was not
- 17 | yet the caliphate of ISIS?
- 18 A. Yes, he's referring to the period of 1999 to 2000.
- 19 \parallel Q. Is that person the person that was thrown out of the Muslim
- 20 | Brotherhood?
- 21 MR. QUIGLEY: Objection, hearsay.
- 22 | THE COURT: Overruled, if he knows.
- 23 A. This book quotes Badri, later Baghdadi, as saying the
- 24 | Muslim Brotherhood does not embrace me as he left the
- 25 organization. He was radicalized in a different direction that

- 1 was not compatible with being in the Muslim Brotherhood.
- 2 | Q. He was thrown out of the Muslim Brotherhood, correct?
- 3 A. It says here he left the organization. But it's possible
- 4 | that it was a mutual divorce.
- 5 | Q. Could you take a look at the citation for me.
- 6 A. Page 20, that's to a Washington Post article.
- 7 | Q. Is it fair to say, Dr. March, that there is no literature
- 8 | whatsoever of the Muslim Brotherhood saying, please, please,
- 9 Mr. Bakri, stay with us, correct?
- 10 | A. I'm not aware of that.
- 11 | Q. Right. And in fact, there is no support whatsoever from
- 12 | the Muslim Brotherhood about Mr. Bakri when he was just Ibrahim
- 13 Bakri, correct, when he left the Muslim Brotherhood?
- 14 A. I'm not aware of any support for him.
- 15 | Q. When he became the caliph, the Muslim Brotherhood could
- 16 have, could they not, have embraced that caliph, right?
- 17 A. They could have given the oath of loyalty known as the
- 18 Bayat to him, and they defiantly did not.
- 19 | Q. What do you mean when you say defiantly did not?
- 20 | A. Meaning that ISIS or the Islamic State demanded all Muslim
- 21 organizations to join them and to give their loyalty, and the
- 22 | Brotherhood publicly rejected their methods, and in turn ISIS
- 23 | declared them to be apostates, so not even Muslims, and people
- 24 | you can kill indiscriminately.
- 25 Q. ISIS considered Muslim Brotherhood apostates in 2013,

1 | correct?

- 2 A. ISIS always regarded participation in democratic election
- 3 as un-Islamic, and the Brotherhood has always sought influence
- 4 | through participation in elections, so that's always been
- 5 regarded as incompatible with Islam by groups like ISIS.
- 6 Q. That continued in 2014, correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 | Q. And 2015, correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. Now, Mr. Quigley also talked to you, did he not, about the
- 11 positions of the Muslim Brotherhood vis-a-vis Assad, correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Did members of the United States Congress vote in
- 14 opposition to the tyranny of Assad?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. How about president Obama, what was his position regarding
- 17 | Assad?
- 18 MR. QUIGLEY: Objection, relevance.
- 19 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 20 | A. Obama from the beginning of the civil war in Syria tried to
- 21 declare that if Assad crossed certain red lines, that the
- 22 | international community might intervene on humanitarian grounds
- 23 | to remove Assad. But, that policy was never realized.
- Q. Would it be fair to say he was not a fan?
- 25 A. He said Assad must go.

- Q. Thank you. Let me direct you, sir, to Mr. Quigley's questions about the Brotherhood.
 - A. Yes.

Q. He lumped together members of the Muslim Brotherhood, affiliates of the Muslim Brotherhood, and supporters of the Muslim Brotherhood.

7 MR. QUIGLEY: Objection to the characterization.

THE COURT: Overruled.

- Q. Tell the jury, sir, what is a member of the Muslim Brotherhood?
- A. So I can speak with a little bit more familiarity about Egypt, which is where the Muslim Brotherhood is traditionally strongest. And the Brotherhood is known for having sort of concentric circles of membership.

So at the widest level you may be an affiliate or a brother, and you may have a small group of people that you socialize with and do study circles with, but you may go through many years before you are let into a closer circle.

So they have sort of ranks of membership, kind of like a police force or a military or any other kind of organization where you ascend or you get closer and closer based on the years of membership and the amount of trust and the way in which you've built your life around membership in the Brotherhood.

Q. You could be born into the Muslim Brotherhood, correct?

- A. As a metaphor, so Brotherhood, the Brotherhood is known for having people try to marry within it. You may marry somebody whose father and mother are members of the Brotherhood. So yes, it is very common for people to have as much of their lives as possible happen within Brotherhood socialization.
- 7 Q. You could be a supporter of the Muslim Brotherhood from the

Businesses and families and things like that.

United States, could you not?

A. Yes.

6

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. You could be a supporter or an affiliate of the Muslim
 Brotherhood from the United States, could you not?
 - A. So, I've always been a little bit unclear if you're not there, what your formal relationship is. You may maintain ties, you may maintain an emotional or a kind of a moral support.

I don't believe that you are expected to pay dues or that you are expected to go to any kind of meetings or anything like that. It is mostly a matter of your social network and the feelings that you have and the things that you read.

- Q. The Muslim Brotherhood has its own media, correct? It runs
 TV channels in Egypt and in Turkey, correct?
- A. Well, not in Egypt certainly now.
- 23 Q. I'm sorry. Turkey.
- A. Yes, there may be satellite channels that are run by people that were associated with the Brotherhood.

- If you're running a TV channel that's run by the 1 2 Brotherhood, to work there, you're Brotherhood, correct? 3 MR. QUIGLEY: Objection. And also beyond the scope. THE COURT: Sustained.
 - Q. Does the Brotherhood have employment and business opportunities for its constituents?
 - Α. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

15

- A TV channel is a business opportunity, correct?
- Α. Yes.
- 10 Now, Mr. Quigley asked you questions about many other 11 people whom he described as the bases for various jihadi 12 organizations. Let's start with Sayyid Qutb.
 - When did Sayyid Qutb die, by the way?
- 1966. 14 Α.
 - Is it fair to say that when Sayyid Qutb was alive, he did Q. not have any position on the Islamic State, correct?
- 17 That's correct. Α.
- 18 Who knows what is Sayyid Qutb would have to say about the 19 Islamic State, correct?
- That's correct. There would be only speculation. 20
- 21 Sayyid Qutb, by the time he passed away, his basic 22 teachings lent themselves to many different offshoots, correct?
- 23 There are many people that read Qutb and read this as 24 a call for warfare, and there are many people that read it as a 25 call for social transformation through education. So it is

- sort of, you know, it's sort of like you read into it what you want to get out of it.
- 3 | Q. Right. So you can, just like the United States
- 4 Constitution. You can read into it severe or you can read into
- 5 | it conservative or you can read into it liberal, correct?
- 6 A. I wouldn't compare it to the Constitution. But like many
- 7 | texts he's not -- his main mission is to say that Muslims
- 8 | should be faithful to God, and direct all of their loyalty to
- 9 God. But he doesn't outline whom you have to fight against
- 10 here or there or anything like that.
- 11 | Q. Now, Mr. Quigley also went over with you the motto?
- 12 A. Yes, the motto.
- 13 | Q. Could you take us through that motto very slowly, please.
- 14 Do you remember it?
- 15 | A. So --
- 16 | O. Because I don't.
- 17 A. Perhaps Mr. Quigley could remind us. The Koran is our
- 18 Constitution.
- 19 | Q. Right.
- 20 | A. Is that correct?
- 21 | Q. Let me just stop you there. What is the Koran by the way?
- 22 | A. The Koran is the Muslim holy book thought by Muslims to be
- 23 | directly revealed by God to the Prophet Mohammed.
- Q. Kind of like the Bible?
- 25 A. Not really like the Bible, actually kind of like Jesus.

4

5

9

10

11

17

18

19

March - redirect

- Q. Okay. So, that's one. What was the other thing that he said? And I honestly don't recall it. Something about Allah being one God. Is that part of it?
 - A. Yes, of course Muslims --
 - Q. Oh wait, I think I have. "Allah is our objective."
- A. Allah God is our objective, is our goal. That to which we direct all of our desires and energies.
- 8 | Q. Do you see anything militant about that?
 - A. Not inherently. It is a statement of monotheism. I think any Jew or Christian that takes the Abrahamic tradition seriously would agree with that.
- 12 | O. "Prophet is our leader."
- A. So the Muslims believe that the Koran was revealed infallibly to the Prophet Mohammed, and his actions and guidance remain exemplary for Muslims today.
- 16 | O. "The Koran is our law."
 - A. That's pretty self-explanatory. It says in the Koran, because it is the revealed word of God, that that is the ultimate authority on matters of belief and religious practice.
- 20 | Q. "Jihad is our way."
- A. So, I think it is important to spend some time talking
 about jihad. As I mentioned earlier, "jihad" means struggle.
 So, when you asked me earlier about jihadi movements, I tried
 to specify that this is a term that we use to refer to some
 specific groups today, that are using violence in a kind of

March - redirect

wanton way. But "jihad" is a term that is part of Islam and that every Muslim in some way believes in struggle on behalf of God. And so, if a group or an individual is referring to jihad, it doesn't make them a jihadi in the sense of al Qaeda or ISIS. It is the way that when after 9/11, when President Bush called it a crusade against terrorism, nobody really thought that he meant trying to turn the Holy Land Christian again -- or some Muslims thought that. But we didn't hear it that way. We heard it as a righteous struggle against terrorism.

It is a term that is very common in Arabic. You might refer to a jihad for education or a jihad for women's rights. So it is not surprising at all to find Muslim groups using this term.

Q. In fact there was a jihad for women's rights on the day after Donald Trump was elected, right? That would actually be

MR. QUIGLEY: Objection. Relevance.

MS. SHROFF: He covered the same time period with other events, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

a jihad for women's rights, correct?

- Q. Correct?
- A. If you wanted to call the women's march a jihad for women's rights, then that would certainly not be incompatible with the meaning of the word.

- Q. Thank you. And "dying in the way of Allah is our highest hope" is a Koranic phrase, correct?
- 3 A. I don't think that itself is literally a Koranic phrase,
- 4 | but the idea is that it's sort of like many Americans believe
- 5 is that they would be happy to die for America. And so you
- 6 have your ultimate loyalty to your religion and to God, and
- 7 | there is nothing greater than that.
- 8 Q. And there is nothing about any of these lines that a
- 9 | law-abiding Muslim person would in any way shy away from,
- 10 | correct?
- 11 MR. QUIGLEY: Objection. Calls for speculation.
- 12 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 13 A. Abiding of which law?
- 14 | Q. No, just these words. If an average Muslim person said
- 15 | these words, they would be perfectly in keeping with their
- 16 | lawful daily existence, correct?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 MS. SHROFF: I have nothing further, your Honor.
- 19 | Thank you.
- 20 MR. QUIGLEY: No recross, your Honor.
- 21 | THE COURT: Professor March, you may step down.
- 22 | THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 23 (Witness excused)
- MS. SHROFF: Your Honor, can we have a five-minute
- 25 break?

```
H103GAM2
 1
                THE COURT: We can have a five-minute break. Let's
 2
      make it 10 minutes. Don't discuss the case.
 3
                (Jury excused)
 4
                (Continued on next page)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 H103GAM2

THE COURT: Folks, will there be another witness?

MS. MIRON: Yes, your Honor. Sarah Howard, our

investigator.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. MIRON: I anticipate introducing several exhibits which have been provided to the government. I believe there might be a better practice for us to hash out some of our arguments about them.

THE COURT: Maybe objected to?

MR. DeFILIPPIS: Some will, your Honor.

THE COURT: Talk about those. If you can let me see the copies of the ones that are going to be controversial.

MS. MIRON: Could you give us a few minutes to confer?

THE COURT: Okay.

I'll be in the back, just let me know.

(Recess)

(Continued on next page)

THE COURT: So, what areas of disagreement are there?

MR. DEFILIPPIS: Your Honor, just a few exhibits that
we were provided by the defense and if you don't mind, I will
just refresh my own recollection and then hand each up to your
Honor; we only have one copy.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DEFILIPPIS: So, there is an exhibit which depicts a screenshot of a YouTube video that depicts what looks like Mr. Aboualala making a Muslim Brotherhood sign, and then the caption of the video which has been translated says: October 4th, 2014 Erdogan -- who I understand is the president of Turkey -- raises Rabaa sign with one of the Egyptians after the Eid prayers.

So, essentially this is a screenshot of a video, your Honor, of Attiya Aboualala with the president of Turkey making a Muslim Brotherhood sign. And the government's contention is that this is, one, impermissible hearsay because it is being offered, the translated caption is being offered for the very truth of the fact that Mr. Aboualala made a Muslim Brotherhood sign with the president of Turkey and so we think it is inadmissible on those grounds. And I would note that this is being offered, I understand, through the Federal Defenders' investigator who I presume has no first-hand knowledge of that exchange or of the Turkish president.

MS. MIRÓN: I can speak to how Ms. Howard obtained

this screenshot.

The video is publicly available on YouTube, I believe to this day. The government can review it. It was posted and uploaded by Attiya with the date of October 4th, 2014, which is a few days before Mr. El-Gammal contacted Attiya regarding Samy and so relevant in terms of his state of mind about Attiya's Muslim Brotherhood affiliation. I think it falls squarely in the exception to hearsay which covers views or statements of belief. Plenty of exhibits have come in to this trial under that exception.

THE COURT: Mr. DeFilippis?

MR. DEFILIPPIS: Your Honor, I think that, number one, the witness has no first-hand knowledge, as I said, of what is depicted in the video and even if the video were to come in under state of mind, the caption itself, which we don't know who wrote, who translated it, certainly the investigator can't testify as to the translation, that caption itself which explains what is going on in the video is being offered solely and primarily for its truth which is to describe what is going on.

THE COURT: Haven't we already seen in this trial photos of Mr. Aboualala making this sign?

MR. DEFILIPPIS: We have, your Honor, and that was offered by a witness who was also depicted in the video. I mean, if they wanted to call the Turkish president I might not

object but Mohammed El Goarany testified that he, while at a dinner with Mr. Aboualala, observed him make that sign and so, in that instance, it was perfectly natural for him to authenticate that video but, in addition, and perhaps this is what your Honor is getting at, it is not relevant because it is cumulative. The government isn't disputing that Mr. Aboualala, on one or more occasions, made that sign.

THE COURT: Well, I mean, I will let you put in the image but not the caption.

MS. MIRÓN: Okay.

Could we put in the date, your Honor?

THE COURT: No.

MR. HABIB: So we are just going to -- we are going to redact what is within the red box?

THE COURT: Yes, although the date is on top of that as well and I don't know whether any of the jurors, I don't believe any of them do, speak Arabic or can read Arabic, but only the image should be shown.

MR. DEFILIPPIS: Your Honor, the next exhibit is a Facebook posting which has been translated, it is a posting of Mr. Aboualala that reads, or the translation reads:

Attiya Aboualala added five new photos. There is a photo of a gentleman wearing an orange jumpsuit with what looks like an ISIS flag behind it.

It says: Dean of Al-Imam al-A'zam College in Baiji

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and Professor of jurisprudence, a Noble Quran memorizer, a man well known for his cordiality, Dr. Ahmed Ali Saleh Arjan al-Qaisi, after he was detained in the Islamic State organization for a period of time, for refusing to give fealty to the organization.

Again, your Honor, this appears to be a purported event that Mr. Attiya has posted about and, again, it seems the defense is trying to establish the fact of that event through the posting and so it similarly would be hearsay.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HABIB: Your Honor, this material is offered not for its truth but to show the speaker's state of mind, that is, Attiya's state of mind. It is, in substance, identical to any number of exhibits the government has introduced as evidence of Mr. El-Gammal's state of mind. The Court will recall the government, through Special Agent Collie and other witnesses, introduced numerous articles that Mr. El-Gammal posted to Facebook, articles concerning things like Mossad spy captured by ISIS, ISIS captures down of Daraya in Syria. We asked the Court for a limiting instruction that those headlines were not admitted for their truth, the Court declined to give that instruction, but sauce for the goose. The government has introduced exactly this kind of evidence to prove Mr. El-Gammal's mental state down to the forum where it was posted.

MR. DEFILIPPIS: Your Honor, unfortunately the rules of evidence aren't exactly goose gander on this issue. The government is, as defense notes, permitted to offer the party opponent statements and that the defense is expressly not permitted to do that. These are statements not of Mr. El-Gammal but of Mr. Aboualala and, again, the fact that he posted this --

THE COURT: But he is an alleged co-conspirator, is he not?

MR. DEFILIPPIS: He is, your Honor but, again, number one, the defense is not permitted to offer co-conspirator statements so they have to do it under state of mind theory and here it doesn't show anything about his state of mind. He is simply transmitting an article, the facts of which it seems the defense wants to establish as truth but which is not permitted by the hearsay rules.

MR. HABIB: Judge, if an individual post of a Facebook article isn't probative of state of mind what is the relevance of Mr. El-Gammal's posts?

MR. DEFILIPPIS: Your Honor, they're permitted as statements of the party opponent. That's what the rule says.

THE COURT: This could be admitted under the state of mind exception within with an appropriate instruction to the jury.

MR. DEFILIPPIS: And then, your Honor, there are two

additional exhibits which I will just let your Honor read but just to characterize them, these are from the Facebook posts of Samy El- Goarany, I believe, one referencing ousted Egyptian president Mohamed Morsi chanting during a trial session in support of the embattled Gaza strip, and a second posting discusses the need to do most in cases of the Syrian revolution is to listen to the people who participated in it and discusses abhorrent violence.

Again, your Honor, same objection. I will hand these up.

THE COURT: These are both Samy El- Goarany posts?

MS. MIRÓN: Yes. All three are.

Are you only objecting to two?

MR. DEFILIPPIS: Sorry, I only had two there.

THE COURT: And the defense theory?

MR. HABIB: Your Honor, the analysis is identical to the analysis the Court has just employed in admitting evidence of Mr. Aboualala's state of mind. This is exactly the same type of evidence. These are news media articles and videos posted by Samy during the time of the alleged conspiracy and they're offered as evidence of his state of mind. It is the same analysis.

THE COURT: It will be allowed.

MS. MIRÓN: I think we are ready then.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's get the jury.

```
H1o5gam3
               How long will this be, Ms. Mirón? If you know.
1
2
               MS. MIRÓN: I would say half an hour.
3
               THE COURT: Okay. I will look at you around 12:30 and
 4
      you left me know if there is going to be substantially more or
5
      not much more.
6
               MS. MIRÓN: Okay.
 7
               (Continued on next page)
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

H1o5gam3 Howard - direct 1 (Jury present) THE COURT: Everyone, please, be seated. 2 3 Will the defense please call its next witness? MS. MIRÓN: Thank you, your Honor. Defense calls 4 5 Sarah Howard. 6 SARAH HOWARD, 7 called as a witness by the Defendant, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 8 9 THE COURT: You can be seated. Pull your seat up to 10 the microphone and begin by stating your full name and spelling 11 your last name for the record. 12 THE WITNESS: My name is Sarah Howard. 13 THE COURT: Ms. Mirón? 14 MS. MIRÓN: Thank you. 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. MIRÓN: 16 17 Good afternoon, Ms. Howard. Where do you work? I work at the Federal Defenders. 18 Α. 19 In what capacity? Q. 20 I'm a criminal defense investigator. 21 How long have you been an investigator with the Federal 22 Defenders? 23 Just about three years. 24 And before that, what did you do?

I was also a criminal defense investigator at a different

Ο.

H1o5gam3 Howard - direct

- 1 office.
- 2 | Q. And what are your duties as a federal defender
- 3 | investigator?
- 4 A. I interview witnesses, find them, I take photographs,
- 5 measurements, go to scenes. Things like this.
- 6 Q. Do you subpoena records?
- 7 | A. I do.
- 8 Q. And review those records?
- 9 | A. I do, yes.
- 10 \parallel Q. And do you do training on a regular basis as an
- 11 | investigator?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 Q. What types of training?
- 14 A. There is a yearly national training put on for the federal
- 15 criminal defense investigators, and a periodic New York State
- 16 | training as well.
- 17 | Q. Okay.
- 18 Are you familiar with this case?
- 19 | A. I am. Yes.
- 20 | Q. And, have you reviewed records relating to this case?
- 21 | A. Yes, I have.
- 22 | Q. I would like to direct your attention to some Facebook
- 23 records.
- 24 A. Okay.
- MS. MIRÓN: I ask that Defendant's Exhibit 113-DD,

```
H1o5gam3
```

Howard - direct

- 1 | 113-CC and 113-00 be admitted.
- 2 MR. DEFILIPPIS: No objection, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Those exhibits will be admitted.
- 4 MS. MIRÓN: Thank you.
- 5 (Defendant's Exhibits 113-DD, 113-CC and 113-00
- 6 received in evidence)
- 7 BY MS. MIRÓN:

- Q. Let's start with 113-DD; what is that?
- 9 A. This is a public shared post from a Facebook record.
- 10 | Q. And whose record?
- 11 A. This is related to Samy El- Goarany.
- 12 Q. I would ask that that be published for the jury, 113-DD.
- 13 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, this will be
- 14 | published, however it is not being offered for its truth but
- 15 | simply for whatever effect you believe it may have had on
- 16 Mr. El- Goarany -- Samy El- Goarany's state of mind.
- 17 | Q. Could you please highlight the text?
- 18 Ms. Howard, please read the date on the top?
- 19 A. It is July 15th, 2014.
- 20 | O. And whose Facebook account?
- 21 A. This is Samy El- Goarany.
- 22 | Q. And please read the text, the first text?
- 23 A. The text line says: Gaza solidarity protest from Yarmouk
- 24 refugee camp in Syria.
- 25 | Q. And what about the following date? What is that?

- 1 | A. Below that is July 14, 2014.
- 2 Q. Okay. And what about the summary?
- 3 A. The summary says: Ousted Egyptian President Mohamed Morsi
- 4 chanted, during a trial session on Sunday, in support of the
- 5 | embattled Gaza strip where at least 166 people have been killed
- 6 | in Israeli air strikes since Monday. Morsi appeared in court
- 7 | for a session in his trial... Daily Sabah.
- 8 Q. You can put that aside. Do you have 113-00 in front of
- 9 you?
- 10 | A. I do.
- 11 | 0. 00?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- MS. MIRÓN: Let's publish that, with permission of the
- 14 Court.
- 15 THE COURT: This exhibit is also subject to the same
- 16 instruction. It is not being offered for its truth.
- 17 | Q. Could you focus on the text? Is this also from Samy
- 18 | El- Goarany's Facebook account?
- 19 A. Yes, it is.
- 20 | Q. What is the date of this post?
- 21 A. This is September 21st, 2014.
- 22 | Q. And is this a public post?
- 23 | A. It is.
- Q. What about the one that you just read?
- 25 A. Yes; both are shared publicly.

- 1 | Q. So friends who are on Facebook could read it?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 | Q. What does the summary say?
- 4 A. The summary says: Possibly what we need to do most in the
- 5 case of the Syrian revolution is listen to people who
- 6 | participated in it and those who are facing the abhorrent
- 7 | viole... and then it is cut off.
- 8 | Q. What about the text?
- 9 A. The text reads: Palestinian refugees from Syria speaking
- 10 | out about the oppression they've suffered under Bashar al
- 11 Assad's dictatorship. Press "cc" for English subtitles.
- 12 | Q. Do you have 113-CC in front of you?
- 13 | A. I do not.
- 14 MS. MIRÓN: Okay. I would ask that this be published
- 15 \parallel as well.
- 16 THE COURT: Very well.
- 17 Q. And the text highlighted.
- Is this also from Samy El- Goarany's Facebook account?
- 19 A. Yes, it is.
- 20 | O. What is the date?
- 21 | A. The date here reads September 24th, 2014.
- Q. And the status?
- 23 | A. The status reads: There is only two things the U.S. can do
- 24 | to help the people of Syria, Iraq, or any other conflict zone
- 25 | in the world. And that is: 1) to completely withdraw from

H1o5gam3 Howard - direct

these countries and never come back; 2) to accept all the refugees who've been forced to flee from the violence.

Q. Thank you.

In the course of your investigation, have you subpoenaed PayPal records for Samy El- Goarany?

- A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Let me show you those records.
- A. Okay.

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

- 9 Q. Is that a formatted version of the PayPal records that you 10 received?
- 11 | A. Yes.
 - MS. MIRÓN: I would ask that that, along with the full set of PayPal records which are self-authenticating and certified business record be admitted into evidence?
 - MR. DEFILIPPIS: No objection, your Honor.
- 16 | THE COURT: What is the exhibit number?
- 17 MS. MIRÓN: 802.
- 18 THE COURT: 802 will be received.
- 19 MS. MIRÓN: Thank you.
- 20 (Defendant's Exhibit 804 received in evidence)
- 21 BY MS. MIRÓN:
- Q. So, I am not sure if this will project but let's give it a try, with the Court's permission?
- 24 THE COURT: Certainly.
- MS. MIRÓN: I'm sorry, 804 is the reformatted

Howard - direct

- 1 | spreadsheet.
- Q. Are you able to highlight the left column? No. So, we will read to the jury.
- So, just so the jury is aware, how did you find out
 whose PayPal account this is? How do you know it is Samy
- 6 | El- Goarany's PayPal account?
- 7 A. Oh, in the subpoena we addressed to PayPal based on the 8 e-mail associated with Samy El- Goarany.
 - Q. And that's samybey1@gmail.com?
- 10 | A. Yes.

- 11 Q. Are you familiar with the types of donations reflected in
- 12 | this document?
- 13 | A. I am. Yes.
- 14 | Q. So, what are certain types of money sent and received?
- 15 A. In the first column they are different types of payments
- 16 for services or goods that the user is paying for.
- 17 | Q. Okay.
- 18 A. The funding source would be the type of account it is
- 19 coming from where the money is directed from.
- 20 Q. Let's stop there for a moment --
- 21 | A. Sure.
- 22 | Q. -- because on the screen you can see a donation was sent to
- 23 | the Syrian Association of Ottawa?
- 24 A. Yes, I see that.
- 25 | Q. What, if you know, what exactly does that relate to?

Howard - direct

- 1 A. The Syrian Association of Ottawa?
 - Q. Yes.

2

14

16

- 3 A. In another column in the spreadsheet it refers to a
- 4 campaign that they had run to elicit donations and such for
- 5 | their aid effort.
- 6 Q. And do you recall, as you sit here today, exactly the type
- 7 of campaign where it was posted and the type of campaign for
- 8 | the Syrian Association of Ottawa?
- 9 | A. Sure.

The website for the campaign was included in this
document so when I did, through my investigation, I came to

realize that it no longer was active, the website, so then I

went to a -- it is called the Wayback Machine, it is like an

archive for websites that are no longer active or quite old so

15 | that one could see how it was back then.

- MR. DEFILIPPIS: Objection, your Honor; hearsay.
- 17 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 18 | Q. So, I would like to show you what's being marked as
- 19 Defendant's Exhibit 805. Is that what you found when you
- 20 conducted a search for the web page that was associated with
- 21 | the Syrian Association of Ottawa?
- 22 A. That's right.
- MS. MIRÓN: I would ask that this be admitted into
- 24 | evidence.
- 25 MR. DEFILIPPIS: Objection, your Honor. Authenticity

```
H1o5gam3
                                  Howard - direct
      and hearsay.
 1
 2
                THE COURT: Can I see that?
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

H1o5gam3 Howard - direct

1 (At side bar)

THE COURT: Can I see it?

MS. MIRÓN: It is hard to read, yes.

THE COURT: It is impossible for me to read. What is

this?

MS. MIRÓN: This is a document that is a copy of the web page available on the Wayback Machine which is a publicly available website which allows users to search for archived web pages.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. MIRÓN: It is very similar to the database that the government introduced about the Facebook records without any foundation.

THE COURT: What is the difference between this document and 804 which is the one --

MR. DEFILIPPIS: 804 were self-authenticating business records of PayPal, this is apparently a now defunct website that another website claims existed and attempted to retrieve and portray in some way but, you know, there are any levels where this could be inauthentic or garbled or amended. We have no way to authenticate this.

THE COURT: As I understand Wayback you can go to way back and it gives you essentially screen shots of what various websites looked like once upon a time.

MR. DEFILIPPIS: Right.

Howard - direct

THE COURT: Strictly speaking, the objection is well-founded. I don't know whether Ms. Howard, through her training -- I mean, is she going to be able to authenticate this in some way if you were to inquire?

MS. MIRÓN: She is going to say that she did a search for that URL and this came up and it matches precisely the URL in the PayPal document. We could subpoen a witness to authenticate it but I don't think it is necessary.

MR. QUIGLEY: It is not a business record.

THE COURT: It is not PayPal-specific. I am going to sustain.

MR. HABIB: Your Honor, for the record, I knew that I had come across this earlier but there is a -- I can provide the Court citations to District Court cases in which Courts have taken judicial notice of sites from the Internet archives, from the Wayback Machine. Specifically there is -- I will just provide the Westlaw number, but it is an S.D.N.Y. case, 2013 Westlaw 6869410 at star 4 note 65, S.D.N.Y. December 30th, 2013. There are a number of citations also from the federal circuit -- Northern District of California, Eastern District of Michigan, District of Massachusetts. I can provide them to the Court in a letter if the Court wants.

THE COURT: Substantively the difference between the information here and information in 804?

MS. MIRÓN: The information in 804 just provides the

H1o5gam3 Howard - direct

name Syrian Association of Ottawa. This information says that while over 400,000 Syrians are living in makeshift camps and the harsh winter is up ahead — it just describes exactly the reason the funding was being solicited.

MR. DEFILIPPIS: Your Honor, even if defense could get over the authentication hurdle it would then just be an out-of-court statement offered to prove what the organization does.

MS. MIRÓN: State of mind as to --

THE COURT: I'm not going to allow it.

H1o5gam3 Howard - direct

1 (In open court)

- 2 BY MS. MIRÓN:
- 3 Q. Are there other transactions reflected in this document
- 4 related to Turkey?
- 5 A. Yes, there is.
- 6 Q. And could you point out a date?
- 7 A. Yes; at the very bottom it's January 28, 2015.
- 8 Q. What does that entry say?
- 9 A. In the name column it says Expedia.com.
- 10 | Q. How much money was transferred?
- 11 A. In the gross column it says \$33.41 USD.
- 12 | Q. Are there other Expedia entries in the PayPal account?
- 13 A. There are, yes.
- 14 | Q. Could you indicate where in the chart they are?
- 15 | A. Two columns above that there is another entry.
- 16 | Q. Okay.
- 17 \parallel A. For January 1, 2015. The gross amount is \$38.71 USD.
- 18 | Q. And what is the type of -- Jason, if you could highlight
- 19 | the bottom three rows as much as possible?
- 20 What is the type?
- 21 | A. The type here indicated is express checkout payment sent.
- 22 | Q. And amount of?
- 23 A. \$38.71.
- 24 | Q. And was there also withdrawal funds to a bank account? In
- 25 | January?

- 1 A. Yes, there was.
- 2 | Q. For January 17th, 2015 in the amount of \$10.29?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Okay. You can put that aside.
- 5 Are you familiar with CARE, the organization CARE?
- 6 A. Yes. Yeah.
- 7 | Q. What is it? C-A-R-E; that organization.
- 8 A. It is a humanitarian aid organization that operates
- 9 globally.
- 10 | Q. And did you have the opportunity to subpoena records from
- 11 | Care, C-A-R-E?
- 12 | A. Yes, I did.
- 13 | Q. Let me show you what's being marked as 801. Are those the
- 14 records that you subpoenaed from CARE related to the samybey
- 15 | e-mail account?
- 16 A. Yes, they are.
- MS. MIRÓN: I would ask these be admitted as
- 18 self-authenticated business records.
- 19 MR. DEFILIPPIS: No objection, your Honor.
- 20 | THE COURT: 801 will be received.
- 21 MS. MIRÓN: Thank you.
- 22 | (Defendant's Exhibit 801 received in evidence)
- 23 BY MS. MIRÓN:
- 24 | Q. Could you publish the second page?
- 25 Where is the user, the account holder information? Is

- 1 | that on the second page?
- 2 | A. Yes. It is on the left-hand side of the page.
- 3 | Q. What does it say?
- 4 A. It says: Primary name, Mr. Samy El- Goarany. Below that
- 5 | there is a phone number indicated, an e-mail address, and
- 6 preferences related to his donation account.
- 7 | Q. And when was this donation set up, as far as you know?
- 8 A. I don't recall the date just now, it is in this record.
- 9 Q. Let me see if I can help. What page are you on? You might
- 10 want to count backwards.
- 11 A. Okay. It's the sixth page from the end.
- 12 | Q. Great.
- 13 And when was the first donation from Samy El- Goarany
- 14 to CARE?
- 15 A. The first date indicated in this, on this page for
- 16 donations given is January 27, 2014.
- 17 | Q. And were these regular monthly recurring donations?
- 18 A. They do appear to be recurring, yes.
- 19 Q. And the amount, how much?
- 20 | A. \$30 and \$20, depending.
- 21 | Q. Okay. You can put that aside.
- 22 Did you have the opportunity to review Attiya
- 23 | Aboualala's Facebook account?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. And his YouTube account?

Howard - direct

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. So, I am going to show you what's been previously marked as
- 3 Defendant's Exhibit 402-A and ask you to look at it. Without
- 4 reading any text on the document, can you just explain to the
- 5 | jury what this document is?
- 6 A. Sure.

7

9

10

- Q. How you found it? Let me put it that way.
- 8 A. Sure.
 - In my investigation I viewed Attiya Aboualala's

 YouTube channel which is open to the public for videos and this
 is a screenshot of one of those videos I found.
- MS. MIRÓN: And I would ask that this be admitted subject to the ruling by the Court.
- MR. DEFILIPPIS: No objection, your Honor.
- 15 | THE COURT: Very well. That exhibit will be admitted.
- 16 | (Defendant's Exhibit 402-A received in evidence)
- 17 BY MS. MIRÓN:
- 18 Q. Based on your viewing of Attiya Aboualala's Facebook
- 19 | account, is this the same man at the front of the image?
- 20 A. At the front right; yes, that appears to be.
- 21 | Q. Do you know who the other gentleman is?
- 22 | A. I don't know him personally but by view, yes, that's the
- 23 president of Turkey.
- 24 | Q. Erdogan?
- 25 A. Erdogan, yes.

- 1 | Q. Thank you. You can put that aside.
- 2 MS. MIRÓN: I am going to ask you to look at 122-CC-T
- 3 and ask that that be admitted, subject to the Court's ruling?
- 4 MR. DEFILIPPIS: No objection, your Honor.
- 5 THE COURT: That will be admitted.
- 6 (Defendant's Exhibit 122-CC-T received in evidence)
- 7 BY MS. MIRÓN:
- 8 Q. Mr. Fisher, would you please publish that?
- 9 This is from Attiva Aboualala's Facebook account,
- 10 | right?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. And it is dated October 31st, 2015?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 | Q. Is this a direct message to Attiya and someone else?
- 15 | A. It is.
- 16 | Q. And who is the other person?
- 17 A. Alaa Ibn Eljebbah.
- 18 Q. So, if you can read that author and I will read Attiya
- 19 | Aboualala's entry.
- 20 A. Starting October 31st, 2015:
- 21 "ELJEBBAH: The state claims responsibility for
- 22 | bringing the plane down. Did you see the news?
- 23 \parallel Q. We can turn to the next page and highlight the first half?
- 24 A. This message continues:
- 25 "ELJEBBAH: Breaking: A Russian aircraft was brought

```
down killing more than 220 Russian crusader that were on board.
1
 2
      The State of Sinai. The soldiers of the caliphate were
 3
      successful in bringing down a Russian aircraft on the State of
 4
      Sinai that was carrying on board more than 220 Russian
 5
      crusader, all of whom were killed, thank God, and may you know
6
      you Russians and all your allies that you have no safety on the
 7
      Muslims' lands or their air, and that the killing of the tens
      daily on the land by the bombardment of your aircrafts will
8
9
      only bring misery on you, and that you kill and shall be killed
10
      by God's will and God is the victor but most people do not
11
      know. Allah God is the greatest and the mightiest is to God
12
      and that is the biggest evidence that
13
      #TheIslamicStateisaRussianagent."
14
          #TheIslamicStateisaRussianagent?
      Q.
15
      Α.
          Yes.
16
      Ο.
          And Attiya's response to this post is:
17
               "ATTIYA: That's a disaster."
18
               Right?
19
      Α.
          Correct.
20
               "ATTIYA:
                        You happy?
21
               "ELJEBBAH: Man, I am just spreading the news to you.
22
               "ATTIYA:
                         Hhhhhhh."
23
      Α.
          It continues:
24
               "ELJEBBAH: What's the disaster?
25
               "ATTIYA: Russia will send aircrafts to bombard Sinai.
```

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

H1o5gam3 Howard - direct

"ELJEBBAH: Bombard who? 1

2 "ATTIYA: Sinai's people.

> "ELJEBBAH: Damn. It's going to hit Sinai's people like that, just like that.

> > "ATTIYA: Hmm. Yes.

"ELJEBBAH: That way then if we follow the same principle, if ISIL hits Israel, we'd be upset and say it will come and hit our families in Sinai."

Q. Attiya writes:

"ATTIYA: The aftermath of the State of Sinai claiming responsibility for bringing down of the Russian aircraft. State of Sinai claiming responsibility for bringing down the Russian aircraft is a sad event that will cause many negative consequences for the following reasons:

"First: The bringing down of a civilian aircraft has nothing to do with the principles or the morals and it does not express any kind of moral victory. It is condemned incident on all levels. There is no explanation that could justify the attack of a civilian aircraft, even if the Russian airstrikes kill innocent lives in Syria. That is not a moral justification to kill innocent Russians (do not condemn by other sin).

THE COURT: Ms. Mirón, can you slow down a little bit?

MS. MIRÓN: Yes.

"ATTIYA: Secondly: Committing the State of Sinai,

Howard - direct

this horrible crime will open the door to toughen the security in Sinai and perhaps in Egypt, the causes of democracies and freedoms will take a back stand, and the name of Sinai will go on the list of the Arabic regions that have a dangerous ISIL presence which will call for a stronger international focus to eradicate ISIL in Sinai instead of focusing on finding a solution to the Egyptian crisis.

"Thirdly: The incident may open the door to additional intervention and military support to the regime from Russia's part and Israel in specific, and the incident will push the west world on a similar path.

"Fourth: Egypt is in a difficult economical and unstable state. This incident is of an international scale and it will deepen the image of Egypt as a country that's undergoing a serious crisis and all this will not help the cause of the political change and the transformation towards democracy. The security discourse will surface to the front more and more and the political discourse will take a back stand."

- Q. Okay. You can just read the final entry.
- A. Still continued on October 31st, 2015:

"ELJEBBAH: We don't disagree that they are civilians but you bring up the point that this will open an intervention in Sinai, then on this premise it's allowed to hit someone and crush its edges in Sinai? And on the premise that Syria had no

1 intervention in it?"

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MIRÓN: Thank you.

Pursuant to the Court's ruling, I would ask that 100-BB-T be admitted.

THE COURT: Very well.

MR. DEFILIPPIS: No objection, your Honor.

MS. MIRÓN: Thank you.

THE COURT: 100-BB-T will be received and it may be published.

(Defendant's Exhibit 100-BB-T received in evidence)
BY MS. MIRÓN:

- Q. Just for a moment we have switched to Mr. El-Gammal's
- 13 | Facebook account.
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 | Q. And what is the date of this post?
- 16 A. January 18, 2014.
- 17 | Q. If you could read Gammal, I will read Waleed Nazii.
- 18 "EL-GAMMAL: Look. Infidelity in Egypt is worse than
 19 that of the Americans.

"NAZII: It is true that Americans are infidels, but at least they have ethics and principles but (those have) no faith, principles or ethics (I mean) but in Egypt.

"EL-GAMMAL: To me, a prostitute here is a million times more honorable than those women wearing hijab who dance for el-Sisi.

H1o5gam3 Howard - direct

1 "NAZII: Very true.

"EL-GAMMAL: They hate lying here, and average Americans are good people.

"NAZII: God grants victory to a diligent and ethical infidel and not to a believer without ethics.

"EL-GAMMAL: Even if a whore who doesn't hate Islam but those Muslims in Egypt hate Islam. Exactly."

MS. MIRÓN: Thank you.

I would ask that 122-BB-T be admitted pursuant to the Court's ruling.

MR. DEFILIPPIS: No objection.

THE COURT: It will be received.

(Defendant's Exhibit 122-BB-T received in evidence)

14 BY MS. MIRÓN:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 15 | Q. This is January 24, 2016, right?
- 16 A. March 14th, 2016?
- 17 | Q. Sorry, March 14th, 2016.
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. I will ask you to read the entry from -- this is Attiya's
- 20 | Facebook account, right?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 | Q. Could you read Attiya's notes?
- 23 | A. Yes:

24 "ATTIYA: Come on, Master. I renounce and condemn severely what Turkey incurs from treacherous terroristic

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

18

19

20

21

22

25

- onslaught amid reticence, disregard, and support from some member of international committee that we witnessed rush to support France against the Paris attacks in a high protest. Worth noting in this last explosion that left behind more than 120 victims beyond injured or killed, that the American embassy had published on its website a warning to its nationals from possible explosions in the morning of the day of the explosion according to A.H.A. agency suggesting that Turkey faces a conspiracy and a real war that targets its safety and will." O. You can continue.
- 11 "ATTIYA: An Egyptian reporter who lives in Istanbul." 12 The next entry is blank.
 - Ahmed Ismail puts a question mark. Ο.
 - "ATTIYA: See, and tell me what you think.
- All right. Could you write it in the form of a 15 0. justification, not a judgment? 16
- 17 "ATTIYA: I see that it should and it's completed.
 - Q. You want an analytical opinion? Maybe the second paragraph. It works?
 - "ATTIYA: No, put first. Format it in an analytic form in a purplish form as long as you just put it.
 - Q. You can continue.
- 23 "ATTIYA: Show it to me before publishing it, and 24 after publishing, send me the link so that I could share it.
 - Man, let go of that brotherhood style of doing things.

Howard - direct

ннннн.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

25

- A. And the description: An Egyptian reporter living in Istanbul.
 - All right. Attiya Aboualala, an Egyptian reporter living 0. in Istanbul. We cannot determine the front that executed the explosion, but we could say that there are many messages from this terrorist act, the most important of which is warning Turkey from delving into the Syrian file in which it led an effective proactive role. Aboualala added to statements made to Mosr Al Arbia that despite the fact that the Kurdistan workers' party was behind many of the attacks in Istanbul and other areas in Turkey in the past period since the breach of the truce with the Turkish authority, he expects that the last explosion was on foreign hands. He further notes that there is an international conspiracy against Turkey confirmed by the fact that the American embassy had published on its website a warning to its national from potential explosions per the news agency A.H.A. which raises suspicion.
- 19 A. All right.

And then the following entry is a missed call.

Q. Attiya Aboualala, an Egyptian reporter living in Istanbul.

What Turkey incurs from treacherous terroristic attacks amid

reticence, disregard, and support from some members of

24 | international committee that we witnessed rush to support

France and against the Paris attacks. Aboualala added to

Howard - direct

statements made to Mosr al Arbia that there is an international 1 conspiracy against Turkey confirmed by the fact that the 2 3 American embassy had published on its website a warning to its 4 nationals from potential explosions the morning of the day of 5 the explosion according to A.H.A. agency which is mistrustful 6 and raises suspicion any time. 7 THE COURT: Ms. Mirón, you sped up through that reading so, please, slow down a little bit. Okay? 8 9 MS. MIRÓN: Okay. 10 Attiya responds: Great. Did you publish the report? Α. 11 Ο. I think we can stop there. 12 Then, did you have occasions to review Facebook 13 communications to Attiva Aboualala and Mohammed El Goarany? 14 Yes, I did. Α. I would ask that Defendant's Exhibit 123-AA-T be admitted. 15 Q. 16 THE COURT: Any objection? 17 MR. DEFILIPPIS: No objection, your Honor. THE COURT: 123-AA-T will be admitted. 18 (Defendant's Exhibit 123-AA-T received in evidence) 19 20 MS. MIRÓN: I will show Ms. Howard and publish to the 21 jury, your Honor? 22 THE COURT: You may. BY MS. MIRÓN:

23

24

25

Just highlight the top half.

So the jury is situated, is this from Attiya

Howard - direct

- 1 | Aboualala's Facebook account?
- $2 \parallel A$. Yes, it is.
- 3 | Q. And is it a communication between Attiya and Mohammed
- 4 | El Goarany beginning June 6 of 2015?
- 5 | A. Yes, it is.

7

8

- 6 Q. If you read Attiya I will read Mohammed El Goarany.
 - A. The first entry on June 6, 2015 is blank:
 - "EL-GOARANY: Are you going to join too?
- 9 "ATTIYA: Of course not.
- "EL-GOARANY: No news from Samy. I hope that you'd give me some reassurance about him.
- 12 "ATTIYA: I really don't know.
- "EL-GOARANY: Try. And if you are able to get in touch with him, have him call me or at least write to us. I thank you so much, really appreciate it. God bless.
- 16 "ATTIYA: If I could, I certainly will do.
- "EL-GOARANY: I'm sure you will because you're a man,
 a good one too. I hope you'll always be able to give me
 reassurances about him.
- 20 "ATTIYA: Hopefully so. God almighty willing.
- 21 "EL-GOARANY: God grant you success to accomplish
- 22 that.

- 23 "ATTIYA: Amen."
- 24 Q. A thumbs up.
 - A. And a thumbs up.

25

H1o5gam3	Howard -	direct

Attiya continues: 1 2 "ATTIYA: Greetings of peace and mercy to you." 3 The following two entries are illegible letters, then 4 continuing, now we are August 4, 2015: 5 "ATTIYA: Peace be on you." 6 Q. Call, duration 479. Call duration 55. Picture posted. 7 "EL-GOARANY: Samy's phone in America, 1-845-283-9079 may God enable you to convince him to come by you and live in 8 9 Turkey, God willing. 10 "ATTIYA: May peace, blessings and God's mercy be on 11 you. 12 "EL-GOARANY: And may peace be on you, too. 13 you're fine, thanks to God. I wonder if you've been successful 14 in contacting my son Samy. Please spare no effort in that 15 regard. God willing, you'll be able to convince him to come to Turkey and live in it. I hope to hear some good news soon. 16 17 Peace be on you. "ATTIYA: God willing. Send me your number. 18 "EL-GOARANY: 1-646-296-0666. I believe I gave you a 19 20 business card when we met. You can also talk to me any time on 21 Facebook. 22 "ATTIYA: Peace be on you." 23 The following entry is a missed call: 24 "ATTIYA: Peace be on you."

Then a thumbs up sticker, followed by a link to an ABC

24

```
H1o5gam3
                                Howard - direct
               (At side bar)
1
               MS. MIRÓN: I anticipate they're going to object and
2
3
      your Honor wanted an update. I have about 15 more minutes.
 4
               THE COURT: Let's send them to lunch.
5
               MS. MIRÓN: Okay.
6
 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
H1o5gam3
                                Howard - direct
                (In open court)
1
 2
               THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we are going to take
 3
      our lunch break now, one hour, so please be in the jury room no
      later than 1:45. Don't discuss the case.
 4
 5
                (Continued on next page)
6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
H1o5gam3
                                Howard - direct
               (Jury not present)
1
 2
               THE COURT: Be seated.
 3
               MS. MIRÓN: I would ask Ms. Howard if she can step
 4
      down.
 5
               THE COURT: I'm sorry. You can step down.
 6
               (Continued on next page)
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

MS. MIRON: So the record is clear, 10-A is the proposed defense exhibit, a very short video which was contained on this SD card seized from Mr. Gammal's home when he was arrested. And it came in through Agent Collie, the SD card did, subject to connection. This is the small segment of evidence we'd like to introduce in the SD card.

THE COURT: Came in subject to connection on direct or cross-examination?

MS. MIRON: Cross.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, what is depicted on the video?

MS. MIRON: The video is a very short video where there are two books by Jimmy Carter and autographed. It is just a video showing the book being opened with the small -- signature.

THE COURT: How long is the video?

MS. MIRON: 10 seconds.

THE COURT: Can I see it?

MS. MIRON: Of course.

(Video recording playing)

THE COURT: Connect it up.

MR. HABIB: So the idea, your Honor, is the government opened on, among other things, Mr. El Gammal's electronic devices provide a window into his state of mind, and the government has followed through on that promise by introducing from his electronic devices substantial evidence tending to

reflect his state of mind.

Testimony was elicited from Mr. Zelin, the government's expert, to the effect that ISIS would reject negotiation from Israel and to object to a negotiated disposition to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict.

Evidence that Mr. El Gammal was proudly displaying a book that advocates just such a disposition was relevant to his state of mind, and negates the inference that he had the requisite state of mind to participate in the crimes charged.

MR. DeFILIPPIS: Your Honor, I believe it was probably about a week ago we received a representation from defense counsel that they were essentially done with this kind of average American non-threatening evidence that they had put in through the smoke shop cards and the voting records.

Again, I think this is extremely marginal relevance. The fact that a video whose date we don't know, this could have been years before the charged offense, displaying a book in an odd and unexplained fashion without any commentary on the book or statement as to whose book it is, who took the video, no relevance has been established and cannot be established through this investigator, who simply is going to play a video taken from a drive. And again, she has no sense of the origins of the video. Your Honor, we just think that this has no relevance.

I will say that if the defendant's devices are truly a

window into his mindset, I think this opens the door for the government to show evidence which we I think again described to the Court more than a week ago about his telegram account.

THE COURT: What is the argument that you are going to make from this video? Let me ask this. Is this a video that was taken at his home? Are these his books? There is no evidence on the record concerning that, correct?

MR. HABIB: There is no evidence in the record concerning where this video is shot, no. All we know is the books were written around 2009. So the video must postdate that period.

THE COURT: What argument would you make from that?

MR. HABIB: I'm sorry?

THE COURT: What argument would you make from that snippet?

MR. HABIB: The argument would be that someone who proudly displays an autographed copy of a book that advocates a negotiated settlement to the Israeli-Palestinian dispute would, that video, would make it less likely that such a person would also participate in the charged offenses, because it is incompatible with the belief system that the alleged FTO has.

And as to the average American argument, I think to be fair, and I think the record will bear me out on this, that we'd said we were done with voting and the smoking, and the Court had reserved --

argument. I don't know that you can logically make that argument based on this piece of evidence when, and tell me when I say something that's wrong, we don't know who made this video, we don't know when it was made, we don't know where it was made, we don't know where it Gammal.

MS. MIRON: On that point, your Honor, there are photographs of the search of his house that depict the books in the house. And if necessary, we would ask to admit those photographs to connect it to this video.

MR. DeFILIPPIS: We also heard testimony that his ex-mother-in-law had keys to the house, keys to the garage, that he lived with someone else. He could have had friends at the house. Again, we know nothing about how this was introduced, and the investigator will add nothing.

THE COURT: The other issue that I have with the proffer that's been made is, he has these books, we know what the title of the books are. We know that they were written by Jimmy Carter. But I don't know that you can make the leap from that to the argument that these clearly show that he was open to a negotiated settlement with Israel.

Again, remind me what the title of the books are? I don't know that necessarily can be made.

MR. HABIB: I think it's --

(Video recording playing)

MR. HABIB: So, you know, I think the Court is certainly aware, as we all are, that Jimmy Carter's legacy is attempting to broker a peaceful solution to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. The book is called We Can Have Peace in the Holy Land. The Palestinian flag is on the right. Mr. El Gammal's finger is covering it, but I'm sure it is the Israeli flag on the left.

If that's the Court's concern, and we're not proposing to put in evidence the book, but the jury can infer, based on its common knowledge of who Jimmy Carter is and what he's done with regard to Israel and Palestine, and from the title of the book, and from the proud display of the book, that a certain mental state is associated with that.

Again, if the government wants to argue, doesn't mean he endorsed everything in the books, doesn't mean they're even his books, someone else could have put them in his house, proper argument, but properly addressed to weight and not admissibility.

MR. DeFILIPPIS: The fact that it's proudly displayed is something that's totally unsupported. He could have been intending to post this on Facebook next to his pro ISIS rants cursing Jimmy Carter. We just don't know.

THE COURT: I'm going to allow it in under the state of mind exception.

MR. DeFILIPPIS: Given that the defense is now taking rather wide latitude to explore the defendant's electronic devices as a window to his mindset, they've had drawn to their attention now for I think over a week what the government had mentioned in court, which is that his iPad telegram account reflects a profile picture of someone making the ISIS sign. And so we would respectfully request permission to cross-examine Ms. Howard or other witnesses about that.

MR. HABIB: This material is not at all related to that material. This is admitted for a specific relevance theory. Whether or not he had this -- so again, backing up one step.

When the government initially proposed to introduce this evidence, we objected on the ground of inadequate notice. The Court sustained the objection. Including that we would be prejudiced in our trial preparation and presentation by delayed notice. So as a result, that's been the ruling of the Court, and that has governed our continued trial preparation and presentations. The same prejudice concerns that obtained when the Court excluded this evidence apply with equal force as we stand here today.

MS. MIRON: Let me add, I did not cross Mary Horvath, we also did not call Josiah Roloff who would speak to these electronic encryption apps. We did not open that door in any way.

Τ	THE COURT: The government's second half of that
2	request is denied. I don't believe this opens up the door to
3	cross-examination on the telegraph or whatever it is. Anything
4	else?
5	MS. MIRON: No, your Honor. Thank you.
6	THE COURT: Let me ask. Is there going to be another
7	witness after Ms. Howard?
8	MS. SHROFF: Not from the defense, your Honor. I
9	don't know about the government's rebuttal case.
10	THE COURT: Does the government intend to put on a
11	rebuttal case?
12	MR. QUIGLEY: Not at this point, your Honor. I think
13	it may depend on the rest of the cross-examination or the
14	direct of Ms. Howard, but we're not intending to presently.
15	MS. SHROFF: Your Honor, can we just get a yes or no
16	from Mr. Zelin so our expert can go home?
17	THE COURT: I don't think that I can limit the
18	government in that regard. So 1:45, folks.
19	(Recess)
20	(Continued on next page)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

T	AFTERNOON SESSION
2	1:45 p.m.
3	MS. MIRON: Your Honor, we have one other evidentiary
4	disagreement.
5	THE COURT: Is that so?
6	MR. HABIB: If the Court can believe it.
7	MS. MIRON: I think Mr. Gammal is coming out, but I'll
8	pass this up.
9	THE COURT: I have what appears to be a package
10	including recommendations for drafting a cover letter, a draft
11	of a cover letter, and a résumé for Mr. Samy El-Goarany.
12	MR. HABIB: To clarify, your Honor, we would only seek
13	to admit the first page of the package, the page that begins
14	Excel tutorial, one tutorial each day.
15	THE COURT: So page one and two?
16	MR. HABIB: I'm sorry?
17	THE COURT: Page one and two or just page two.
18	MR. HABIB: Yes. Page one of the document and page
19	two of yes. One and two.
20	THE COURT: What do you want to say about this?
21	MR. HABIB: So essentially this is Samy's e-mail to
22	himself, storing what I think was fairly common to collect some
23	documents and e-mail them to oneself so one has access to them
24	in the cloud so to speak.
25	It is a to-do list and it is a series of notes on how

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to compose a cover letter. The to-do list is I think there could not be a clearer example of statements of future intent. And the notes to self on a cover letter are evidence of Samy's then existing state of mind. And the relevance again is that in December of 2014, December 9 of 2014, the heartland of the charged conspiracy, Samy is making notes that reflect his intention to apply for jobs in the United States. Not travel. THE COURT: Where does it say applying for jobs in the United States? MR. HABIB: Point taken. MS. MIRON: Not yet. We are not asking to introduce But the third page does include a cover letter to a job in New York, December 4, 2014. So, I think if that's going to be the issue, then we could include that third page. MR. HABIB: Or at a minimum, applying for legitimate jobs, jobs that ordinary college students seek.

MR. DeFILIPPIS: Your Honor, if all they want to introduce is the first two pages, then we won't contest that.

THE COURT: Very well. Okay. That's it?

MR. DeFILIPPIS: Sorry, your Honor, we had one matter.

THE COURT: Okay. What is it?

MR. DeFILIPPIS: We understand defense is going to seek to introduce several photographs of the -- maybe not.

MS. MIRON: Here's what we plan to introduce through this witness: Samy's Expedia records, this e-mail.

```
1
               THE COURT:
                          I thought the Expedia records were in.
 2
               MS. MIRON:
                           Samy's have not been admitted.
 3
     Mr. Gammal's have.
               THE COURT:
 4
                           Okay.
                          The e-mail that we just discussed. The
 5
               MS. MIRON:
6
      profile photo of Mr. Gammal that I opened on which appears to
 7
      have been taken October 8 in New York, which is relevant to
      that time period where --
8
9
               THE COURT: The one at the Empire State Building.
10
               MS. MIRON: Correct. And then a section of his
11
      American Express credit card records relating to this time
12
     period of October 2014, essentially when he was in New York.
               THE COURT:
13
                           Okay.
14
                          That's it. No other, besides the 10-A
               MS. MIRON:
15
      Jimmy Carter. We're not introducing other.
16
               MR. DeFILIPPIS: Without the Niagara Falls photos,
17
      that's fine.
18
               THE COURT:
                          Okay.
19
                          We've narrowed it, your Honor.
               MS. MIRON:
20
               THE COURT: Very well. Unless there is nothing more,
21
      Juror No. 11 I believe -- there is a reason I ask you guys to
22
      slow down when you read these things. Juror No. 11 said he
23
      could not read Exhibits 801, 802, 804.
24
               MS. MIRON: Okay. So we can either read them again or
25
      just instruct the jury that they will be available for review
```

H103GAM4 after the parties --THE COURT: Let's do that. MR. QUIGLEY: No objection. MS. MIRON: I sped up because it was getting repetitive, but I understand the Court's admonition. THE COURT: There is also the court reporter to consider. MS. MIRON: As always. If the government is the planning to call Mr. Zelin, well, we'd ask --(Continued on next page)

Howard - direct

1 (Jury present) THE COURT: Good afternoon, everyone. We did get a 2 3 note, well, we got a message from the jury that it was difficult at least to read three of the exhibits that were just 4 5 put into evidence by the defense, Exhibits 801, 802 and 804. 6 We're not going to go over those exhibits again, ladies and 7 gentlemen, but do know when you deliberate you will have copies of all of the exhibits with you. Okay? Very well. 8 9 With that, Ms. Miron. 10 MS. MIRON: Thank you. 11 BY MS. MIRON: Let me turn back for a moment to Samy El-Goarany. 12 13 Α. Okay. 14 Have you reviewed subpoenaed documents from Expedia related 15 to Samy El-Goarany? 16 Yes, I have. Α. 17 So let me show you a copy of those. MS. MIRON: I'd ask that Defense Exhibit 812 be 18 admitted as a self-authenticating business record? 19 20 MR. DeFILIPPIS: No objection. 21 THE COURT: Very well. It will be received. 22 (Defendant's Exhibit 812 received in evidence) 23 It is going to be difficult to publish so I'll ask you to 24 read the entries to the jury. First of all, how many entries

are there in this Expedia document?

- 1 A. There is six entries.
- 2 | Q. How do you know it relates to Samy El-Goarany?
- 3 A. Because it relates to the e-mail that we associate with
- 4 | him, Samybey1@Gmail.com.
- 5 Q. Does it also have his name as the traveler on each and
- 6 every entry?
- 7 \parallel A. It does.
- 8 | Q. Why don't you just read the booking date of the first
- 9 entry. At the top.
- 10 A. At the top? Yeah. It's May 16, 2013, it was a hotel
- 11 | booking in Montreal, Quebec.
- 12 | Q. Do you know how long that stay was for or the check-in and
- 13 | check-out dates?
- 14 A. Yeah. The check-in date is June 7, 2013. Check-out date
- 15 June 14, 2013.
- 16 | 0. What about the next line?
- 17 A. The next line was a hotel originally booked May 11, 2014,
- 18 | it was subsequently canceled on May 13, 2014, for a hotel in
- 19 | Montreal, Quebec. For a stay checking in May 15, 2014, until
- 20 | check-out date May 17, 2014.
- 21 \mathbb{Q} . Okay. The next entry.
- 22 | A. Following was a hotel booked July 26, 2014, again in
- 23 | Montreal, Quebec, for a check-in date August 8, 2014 until
- 24 | August 10 that same year.
- 25 | Q. Okay. Then what about the fourth entry?

12

Howard - direct

- A. The following was a hotel booked on October 30, 2014, subsequently canceled November 23, 2014, for Istanbul, Turkey.
 - Q. What's the name of the hotel?
- 4 A. Sultanahmet Suites.
- Q. What was the original check-in and check-out date of that booking?
- 7 A. November 25, 2014 until November 26, 2014.
- 8 | Q. The next entry?
- A. The following is a hotel booked January 1st, 2015,
 subsequently canceled January 27, 2015. The name of that hotel
 is Sunrise Hotel also for Istanbul, Turkey, a check-in date of
- 13 | O. The last one?
- 14 A. The last entry is a hotel booking made on January 27, 2015,
- 15 again in Istanbul, Turkey, again at the Sultanahmet Sultan

January 28 with a check-out of January 29, 2015.

- 16 Apartments, it's under the hotel column. With a check-in date
- 17 | January 28, 2015, check-out January 29, 2015.
- Q. Thank you. As part of your work on this case, did you
- 19 | review an e-mail from Samy El-Goarany's e-mail account?
- 20 | A. Yes, I did.
- 21 Q. Let me show it to you. Who is the e-mail -- what is the
- 22 e-mail address from?
- 23 A. This e-mail is from, well, the name associated with this
- 24 e-mail account would be like a vanity name, I suppose. It is
- 25 still Samybey1@Gmail.com. It's to also the same e-mail address

- 1 | Samybey1@Gmail.com with the vanity name Queensbey.
- 2 | Q. That's Defense Exhibit 602?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- 4 MS. MIRON: I ask that be admitted, the first two
- 5 pages.

3

- 6 MR. DeFILIPPIS: No objection.
- 7 | THE COURT: It will be received.
- 8 (Defendant's Exhibit 602 received in evidence)
- 9 Q. Just highlight the top box, please. So this is an e-mail
- 10 subject zippo, dated December 9, 2014, at 3:35 a.m.?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. From Sultan which has the Samybey1@Gmail.com to Queensbey
- 13 | Samybey1@Gmail.com. Right?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 | Q. And the attachments line reads résumé and cover letter zip?
- 16 A. Correct.
- Q. Please publish the second page. Could you just read these
- 18 notations for the jury.
- 19 A. Sure. The first notation says Excel tutorial, one tutorial
- 20 each day.
- 21 The next line get vit D3 5,000 pills from Costco.
- 22 Following line says hit up Garik.
- 23 Following line sample cover letter and tutorial.
- 24 Following line go to bursar office and inquire about
- 25 | the 2,149 pending financial aid.

H103GAM4 Howard - direct

1 Following line is learn to cook.

2 Following is notations related to a cover letter.

- 3 | Listing --
- 4 Q. You don't need to read all those.
- 5 A. Okay.
- 6 MS. MIRON: But I'll leave them up for the jury for a
- 7 moment. These will also be available for the jury, your Honor.
- 8 | Correct?
- 9 THE COURT: Yes.
- 10 | Q. We were discussing what was previously marked as Defense
- 11 | Exhibit 10, which is an SD card?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 | Q. That was taken from Mr. Gammal's home upon arrest?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- MS. MIRON: I would ask that Defense Exhibit 10-A be
- 16 admitted.
- 17 MR. DeFILIPPIS: No objection, your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Exhibit 10 will be admitted. Defendant's
- 19 | 10.
- 20 | Q. To be clear, this is a video from that card.
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 MS. MIRON: Okay. So I would like it played for the
- 23 | jury, please.
- 24 | (Defendant's Exhibit 10-A received in evidence)
- 25 (Video recording playing)

- 1 | Q. You don't have any personal knowledge about this video?
- 2 | A. No.
- 3 | Q. But you can say that it was taken from the SD card that was
- 4 | in Mr. Gammal's home at the time of his arrest?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 | Q. I'd like to direct your attention to Defense Exhibit 808-A.
- 7 Is that a subset of records from American Express?
- 8 A. Yes, it is.
- 9 MS. MIRON: I would ask that 808-A be admitted into evidence.
- 11 | MR. DeFILIPPIS: No objection, your Honor.
- 12 | THE COURT: It will be received.
- 13 | (Defendant's Exhibit 808-A received in evidence)
- MS. MIRON: Could you please publish the first page or the second page.
- 16 Q. Whose American Express records do these pertain to?
- 17 | A. These pertain to Ahmed M. El Gammal.
- 18 Q. I believe you're looking at two or three statements. The
- 19 | first is the closing date 9/19/14, is that right?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 MS. MIRON: Please publish page 17 and highlight the
- 22 | top half. Actually, start on the page before. 1016, sorry.
- 23 || Q. There is a ticket or a reference to Expedia on 9/21/14,
- 24 right?
- 25 A. Correct.

- 1 Q. And it says Cairo to London, Los Angeles, Phoenix, London.
- 2 Right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Passenger name Sherif/Samiya Soliman?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. You can scroll down. You can keep going.
- 7 There is an entry for October 3, 2014, Howard Johnson
- 8 | Inn, Jamaica, New York?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 | Q. Arrival date 10/3, departure date 10/3 it says?
- 11 | A. Right.
- 12 | Q. Okay. You can keep going. You can stop there. What does
- 13 | it say on October 6, 2014?
- 14 A. It indicates a purchase at MTA MVM 46th Street B line, New
- 15 York, New York for \$10.
- 16 \parallel Q. And the next entry?
- 17 A. The next entry is a purchase at the Mangal Kabob Turkish
- 18 restaurant in Sunnyside, New York for \$70.
- 19 | Q. You can scroll down and stop there. October 7, 2014?
- 20 A. October 7, 2014, a purchase made at East Side Observer,
- 21 Observatory, New York, New York, for 63.22.
- 22 | Q. Through your investigation, do you know what that relates
- 23 | to?
- 24 A. Yes, it relates to a souvenir shop related to the Empire
- 25 | State Building.

- 1 Q. October 7, 2014?
- 2 A. This is a purchase made to FA Management, New York, New
- 3 York, below that there is a description of taxi. And an amount
- 4 of 8.90.
- 5 Q. What about October 7 at the next entry?
- 6 A. The next entry reads or indicates a purchase of 71.95 at
- 7 | the Belgian Beer Cafe in New York, New York. It's a
- 8 restaurant/bar.
- 9 Q. We'll skip Walgreens and then that \$122 purchase?
- 10 A. On October 7, 2014, it indicates a purchase made at New
- 11 York Skyline, New York, New York for \$122.
- 12 | Q. Then the Albertsons, is that in Arizona in October 8?
- 13 | A. Yes, it is.
- 14 | Q. You can put that aside.
- MS. MIRON: I would ask that Defense Exhibit 100-FF be
- 16 | received into evidence.
- 17 MR. DeFILIPPIS: No objection, your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Defense Exhibit 100-FF will be received.
- 19 (Defendant's Exhibit 100-FF received in evidence)
- 20 MS. MIRON: Let me show it to Ms. Howard. Permission
- 21 | to publish, your Honor?
- 22 THE COURT: You may.
- 23 | Q. Where does this photograph come from?
- 24 A. This comes from Jimmy Gammal's Facebook record.
- 25 | Q. On the next page, does it say more about the photograph?

- A. Yes, it gives some indication about uploading dates and times specifically here.
- Q. You can publish the second page. To be clear, this page was taken from the Facebook record.
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. Okay. And what does the Facebook record indicate?
- 7 A. The Facebook record indicates that this image was uploaded
- 8 on October 12, 2014. And there is a taken or indication of a
- 9 date taken here as October 8, 2014.
- 10 | Q. What type of phone?
- 11 A. Here it indicates a Apple iPhone 3GS.
- 12 | Q. As the camera?
- 13 A. Correct.
- MS. MIRON: Just publish the first page once again. I have no further questions.
- 16 THE COURT: Cross-examination.
- MR. DeFILIPPIS: Thank you, your Honor.
- 18 | CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 19 BY MR. DeFILIPPIS:
- 20 Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Howard.
- 21 A. Good afternoon.
- 22 | Q. You are an investigator, correct?
- 23 A. That's right.
- 24 | Q. Would you say you consider yourself a thorough
- 25 | investigator, try to be?

- 1 A. I try to be, yeah.
- 2 Q. I want to talk a little bit about the video that we looked
- 3 at, the Jimmy Carter book.
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 | Q. That's something that you identified as possibly relevant
- 6 during your investigation, is that right?
- 7 A. I identified it as being associated with one of the
- 8 devices, yes.
- 9 Q. So, in looking at that video, I guess stepping back, one
- 10 | thing an investigator does is try to determine the source of
- 11 particular files or videos, is that right?
- 12 A. Sure, if there's information available.
- 13 | Q. Did you say that this came from a -- what sort of drive was
- 14 | it?
- 15 A. It was a SD card.
- 16 | Q. Okay. What is that, just basically?
- 17 A. Well, generally speaking, it maintains files related to a
- 18 device. In this case I believe a digital camera.
- 19 Q. One of the things you can do as an investigator is
- 20 determine when a particular file was created, correct?
- 21 A. Occasionally, yeah.
- 22 | Q. You do that by looking at what's called the metadata?
- 23 A. You can, yes.
- 24 | Q. You can determine, for example, when a photograph was
- 25 | taken, is that right?

- 1 A. Occasionally, yes, if the metadata is available in the
- 2 file.
- 3 Q. Or when a video is filmed, is that what metadata helps you
- 4 do?
- 5 A. Sure, yeah.
- 6 Q. And in the case of this Jimmy Carter video, is that a step
- 7 | you took here?
- 8 A. Yes, it is a step I took, yeah.
- 9 Q. Is it correct that that video was filmed on February 13,
- 10 | 2008, is that right?
- 11 A. Truthfully, I don't know if it was filmed on that date, but
- 12 | there is a date, a 2008 date related to that file, yes.
- 13 | Q. And that was the date on which that video was filmed; was
- 14 | that the conclusion you drew?
- 15 A. No. That was not my conclusion.
- 16 Q. Did you draw any conclusion in that regard?
- 17 | A. I did. One of the books in the video was published in
- 18 | 2010. So, to me, that indicated that data related to that
- 19 | image was incorrect.
- 20 | Q. So, your investigation was able to draw no conclusions
- 21 || whatsoever about when that video was made?
- 22 A. That's right.
- 23 Q. It could have been any time, you have no idea?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 | Q. In terms of who took that video, your investigation drew no

- 1 | conclusions in that regard either, right?
- 2 A. None whatsoever.
- 3 | Q. Or who the book belonged to, you didn't know that?
- 4 A. Not from the video, no.
- 5 Q. In terms of what anyone might have intended to do with that
- 6 video, if it were the defendant's video, you didn't know
- 7 | whether he was going to post it online, right?
- 8 A. No, definitely not.
- 9 | Q. You didn't know if he was going to condemn Jimmy Carter as
- 10 a Zionist on Facebook?
- 11 | A. No.
- 12 | Q. You didn't know if he was going to say he hated Jimmy
- 13 | Carter?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 | Q. You didn't know what it was?
- 16 | A. No.
- 17 | Q. Okay. We looked at some American Express records from
- 18 | October of -- was it 2014?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. Some of those records were October 6 through 8 of 2014, do
- 21 | you recall those?
- 22 | A. Yes, I do.
- 23 | Q. Are you aware that was a Monday through Wednesday in 2014,
- 24 | is that right?
- 25 A. I was not aware of that but --

2

3

4

- Q. Okay. It was about, what, nine years of American Express records that the Defenders got in response to the subpoena you served?
 - A. I don't know the answer to that.
- 5 Q. But it was several years. It was a thick stack, right?
- 6 A. It was more than one year, yes.
- Q. You didn't find any other evidence in those records of trips to New York other than what you discussed in your
- 9 | testimony, did you?
- 10 A. I really couldn't recall right now, no.
- 11 | Q. Okay.
- 12 | MR. DeFILIPPIS: Thank you, your Honor.
- 13 | THE COURT: Any redirect?
- MS. MIRON: Just a moment, your Honor. Nothing from
- 15 the defense. Thank you.
- THE COURT: Ms. Howard, you may step down.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 18 (Witness excused)
- 19 THE COURT: Does the defense have another witness?
- 20 MS. MIRON: Can we approach sidebar briefly?
- 21 THE COURT: Sure.
- 22 (Continued on next page)
- 23
- 24
- 25

1	(At the sidebar).
2	MS. MIRON: While Mr. Quigley is reviewing, these are
3	additional Facebook communications that have been ruled
4	admissible by the Court during motions in limine, a couple of
5	profile pictures that I understand the government does not have
6	objections to, Samy's profile and Attiya's profile and two
7	Surespot records that were discussed during a stipulation, and
8	then we plan to rest.
9	MS. TEKEEI: We're taking a look at them right now.
10	We received them previously. We're reviewing the stack
11	Ms. Miron provided.
12	THE COURT: Very well. The representation is made
13	I've already ruled on their admissibility?
14	MS. MIRON: Correct. On the Facebook records, yes.
15	THE COURT: Okay. So if they come in, you'll publish
16	them to the jury?
17	MS. MIRON: I don't think we're going to publish them.
18	We want them in, in case we want to make arguments about them.
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	(Continued on next page)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

(In open court)

MS. MIRON: The defense would ask that the following exhibits be received in evidence. 100-CC-T, 110-AA, 113-BB,

122-KK, 401, 202 and 203.

MR. QUIGLEY: We have no objection.

THE COURT: Very well. Those exhibits will be received.

(Defendant's Exhibit 100-CC-T, 110-AA, 113-BB, 122-KK, 401, 202, 203 received in evidence)

MS. MIRON: And the defense rests.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, the defense has rested.

Mr. Quigley, is the government putting on a rebuttal case?

MR. QUIGLEY: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, that concludes the taking of evidence in this case. We are now going to move towards closing argument. However, that's not going to begin until tomorrow morning. So we're going to break now.

Please be in the jury room no later than 9:20 tomorrow morning so we can get started promptly at 9:30. We will begin with the government's closing argument, then the defense, and then the government will have a chance to give a rebuttal summation.

So again, do not discuss the case, do not read any

1	media about the case, and do not do any research on your own.
2	We'll see you tomorrow morning bright and early. Good night.
3	(Jury excused)
4	THE COURT: Okay. Shall we have our charge conference
5	now or do people want a break?
6	MR. HABIB: We're ready to proceed.
7	MS. SHROFF: I just need one minute to let my expert
8	go if I may. Mr. Habib can start.
9	MS. TEKEEI: Your Honor, because there is really no
10	need for all three of us to be here for the charge conference,
11	with the Court's permission, may I be excused?
12	THE COURT: Absolutely. And the same is true for
13	anyone at the defense table except for Mr. El Gammal.
14	If we're all ready, we'll begin with the First
15	Amendment instruction. I provided a proposed instruction in
16	what I distributed Friday. The government objected. The
17	Federal Defenders were supportive of that instruction.
18	I had revisited and I provided to counsel this morning
19	a revised instruction touching upon Mr. El Gammal's First
20	Amendment rights.
21	So let me turn to the government, do you object to the
22	instruction as revised?
23	MR. DeFILIPPIS: No, your Honor. We think it looks
24	like a good instruction to us.

THE COURT: And Mr. Habib?

Charge conference

1	MR. HABIB: For purposes of our appellate record we'll
2	maintain our preference for the initial instruction, but won't
3	elaborate on that point here.
4	THE COURT: Very well.
5	MR. HABIB: May I though make one?
6	THE COURT: Absolutely. By the way, let me just make
7	sure I emphasize this. Like I said, I give the instruction to
8	the jury. So any change that you have, whether it be a
9	typographical error or grammatical error, no pride of
10	authorship. To a point. Just let me know that, okay?
11	MR. HABIB: Yes. So along those lines, small
12	technical point. In the second paragraph, the sentence in the
13	middle that begins "Thus while defendant is not on trial,"
14	right now it reads "is not on trial for having extremist
15	religious or political views, and must not allow any evidence
16	to be held such views"
17	Perhaps I'm being overly meticulous, but I want to
18	avoid the suggestion to the jury that the Court is endorsing
19	that proposition. So I would propose crossing out "having
20	extremist" and replacing it with "his."
21	So "Thus, while defendant is not on trial for his
22	religious or political views," and then at the end of that line
23	striking out the word "such" and then on the next line after
24	"views or beliefs" inserting "that you may find extreme."

So the sentence would read "Thus, while defendant is

24

25

	H103GAM4 Charge conference
1	not on trial for his religious or political views, and you must
2	not allow any evidence that he held views or beliefs that you
3	may find extreme"
4	THE COURT: Any comment?
5	MR. DeFILIPPIS: That's fine with us, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Those changes will be made. So that takes
7	care of that one.
8	The next one that was raised by the government's
9	letter was the conscious avoidance instruction.
10	MR. DeFILIPPIS: Yes.
11	THE COURT: And quite frankly, that was me not seeing
12	the conscious avoidance argument there, but tell me what it is.
13	MR. DeFILIPPIS: Your Honor, just to start briefly,
14	the Circuit has held in other cases that the government need
15	not be forced to choose between a conscious avoidance theory
16	and a straight knowledge theory. United States v. Ferguson,
17	676 F.3d at 278.
18	A conscious avoidance instruction is appropriate here
19	we think, your Honor, precisely because the facts of the case
20	lend itself to it and the arguments made by the defense have
21	lent themself to it.
22	In their very opening statement, the defense argued

In their very opening statement, the defense argued that neither Attiya Aboualala nor the defendant knew what, quote, Samy El-Goarany was up to.

And so, very much the strain of the defense case has

Charge conference

been that even if there were these signals that Samy El-Goarany was going to ISIS, there were not enough such signals or there were not signals that the defendant himself internalized amounting to knowledge.

We think it is very much the case that the defense will try to argue knowledge. And in the cases cited in our letter, your Honor, we point to those that say where knowledge is at issue and a central issue, that is where conscious avoidance instruction is appropriate.

We pointed to several factual issues in this case, involving coded language, involving the defense argument that Mr. El-Goarany engaged in secrecy and lies, all of those things make this a case where a conscious avoidance instruction is entirely appropriate.

MR. HABIB: Thank you. Your Honor, we would object to the giving of a conscious avoidance instruction.

To respond to the government's points, we agree that we've put knowledge in issue. But of course as the Court is aware, a defense of lack of knowledge is not by itself sufficient to justify the giving of a conscious avoidance charge. If it were, such a charge would be given in virtually every case.

What's also necessary, the Circuit has said, is that there be some evidence that the defendant took deliberate steps to blind himself to what should have been obvious. And the

Charge conference

Circuit has been quite clear, and for example, in *United States*v. Cuti cited on page four of our submission, a conscious

avoidance charge is "inappropriate when the evidence is such
that either the defendant knows, that is has actual knowledge,
or he does not know." So it is an either/or.

In an either/or situation, which is this case, the government says defendant and Samy had had a detailed plan, discussed at great length in a series of meetings and communications that Samy would travel to ISIS. We say no, there was no such plan formed between them. That's an either/or. There is no step that Mr. El Gammal took where he refused to learn facts that should have put him on notice of what Samy's intentions were. There was no evidence, as there might be in a drug courier case, he did not open the suitcase that he had been asked to transport. Or a case Ms. Miron recently tried that a defendant did not look in the closet where the contraband was hidden even though he lived in the house. He did not bury his head in the sand, so to speak.

This is an either/or case and I think the Court's first instinct is correct.

MR. DeFILIPPIS: Just to I think crystalize a bit more what the government is getting at. Mr. El Gammal was, the evidence has shown, there were strong evidence he was certainly aware of ISIS and what they did. He was aware, a very acute observer of the world situation as it related to ISIS. That's

Charge conference

clear from the Facebook communications.

What I am sure the defense will put at issue in their closing, as they did in opening, is the degree to which he understood that Samy El-Goarany was going to go to ISIS. And I expect that there very well could be an argument that he knew Samy El-Goarany was going to Turkey but not what he was going to do in that final step. Or that Samy El-Goarany's coded communications may have given him an inkling that he was going to Syria, but not for what purpose.

And in response to that kind of argument, the government is perfectly entitled to argue that Mr. El Gammal could not simply shield his eyes from what it is that he thought Samy was going to do in the final leg of his journey or shield his eyes from what Samy meant when he talked about the company, when he knew full well and when the inferences all supported the fact he was going to ISIS.

But a reasonable juror could well conclude that

Mr. Gammal had all of the tools at his disposal to conclude

that Samy El-Goarany wanted to go to ISIS and was intent on

doing that, but that he just avoided learning certain

confirmatory details that would make it an absolute certainty.

And I think, your Honor, that is the classic conscious

avoidance case.

MR. QUIGLEY: If I may just quickly.

THE COURT: Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. QUIGLEY: On the Cuti case that Mr. Habib cited, I'm reading from the slip opinion. But, the Second Circuit said "this purported lack of knowledge defense, despite the defendant's deep involvement in transactions that effectuated the fraud, all but invited the conscious avoidance charge. same evidentiary facts that supported the government's theory of actual knowledge also raise the inference that he was subjectively aware of a high probability of the existence of illegal conduct, and thus properly serve as a factual predicate for the conscious avoidance charge."

Finally, the government did not have to choose between an actual knowledge theory or a conscious avoidance theory as cited in the Ferguson case we earlier cited. So obviously Cuti was a fraud case. This is not. But, by analogy, we have the defendant deeply involved in the travel of Samy El-Goarany to Syria and to Turkey, numerous messages over Facebook, clear evidence he was kept regularly updated on Samy El-Goarany's travel plans as evidenced by the communications between the defendant and Attiya discussing Samy El-Goarany's cancellation of his travel plans in November. So he was, like the defendant in Cuti, deeply involved in the transaction in that where knowledge is at issue, it is a sufficient factual predicate for a conscious avoidance charge.

MR. HABIB: I would also point out that in the Cuti case, there is the following appears in the slip opinion. "In

Charge conference

response, defendant argued both that the evidence was lacking that he knew of the fraud, and that the facts of which he was aware were insufficient to alert him to a high probability of the fraud."

We are simply arguing no knowledge period. And I think this makes it an either/or situation in which the Circuit has said the conscious avoidance charge is not appropriate. And I still have not heard from the government what actions akin to refusing to look inside the suitcase, refusing to inspect the suspicious bag in the closet, refusing to inquire Mr. El Gammal is alleged to have undertaken that would have represented what the Circuit said is necessary, which is a deliberate effort by the defendant to bury his hand in the sand, to blind himself.

This is just a straight up he knew or he didn't. I don't think it's -- I don't think the evidence supports the argument that he took any steps to avoid confirming what he should have known.

MR. DeFILIPPIS: Your Honor, in this context of this case law, the defense again doesn't get to choose which theory the government will rely on. The government's entitled to rely on either.

In terms of concrete steps that the defendant might have taken, your Honor, this is a classic case in the sense that there was a connect in Turkey, someone the defendant put

Charge conference

Samy El-Goarany in touch with. And someone who he, the government may argue, avoided getting the precise details, just because he did not want to know what he effectively already knew, which is that the defendant was on his way to ISIS. He handed off responsibility to someone else to get him there, but it's certainly within the realm of colorable arguments that he avoided details about that final leg of his trip in order to maintain some element of plausible deniability.

MR. HABIB: Your Honor, with respect to the final leg of the trip, the conversations have been placed in evidence. With respect to the government, I do not observe a single comment from Mr. El Gammal in which he asks Samy, for example, not to tell him something. Right. To withhold information from him. For example, when Samy says I'm in touch with someone inside the company, conscious avoidance would be appropriate if the response was "I don't want to hear about it."

MR. DeFILIPPIS: He did delete thousands of messages.

MR. HABIB: That's consciousness of guilt.

MR. QUIGLEY: He deleted those very specific messages in February or January 2015, which is certainly evidence of consciousness of guilt, but it is also evidence he wanted to avoid learning information about where Samy El-Goarany was headed, what he was doing when he said I'm in contact with someone from the company, directly inside the company, and he

1 can get me there in three to four days.

MR. HABIB: I'm not sure how deleting a message you've already read is evidence that -- he can't unknow what he read.

It's consciousness of guilt, and they're free to argue that, but it is not avoiding the contents.

THE COURT: I tend to look at these jury instruction issues largely from the perspective of the jury. Mr. Habib, I'll ask you this. A lot of the defense case both in your direct case and through cross-examination have tended to suggest an alternate rationale for Mr. Samy El-Goarany to travel to Syria.

I guess what's to prevent the defense from saying, well, he didn't know and he couldn't have known because there were so many other aspects going on or so many other activities going on in which Mr. El-Goarany had an interest that need not have been to join ISIS.

MR. HABIB: May we have a moment, your Honor?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. HABIB: Your Honor, may we have a brief ex parte?

MR. DeFILIPPIS: At the conclusion of the trial we can't imagine any reason why, other than to simply strategically hide information from the government, why that would be appropriate.

MR. HABIB: We plan to discuss the contents of our summation with the Court.

```
Charge conference
      H103GAM4
               MR. DeFILIPPIS: We're happy to discuss the potential
1
2
      contents of ours in open court, as should they be.
3
               THE COURT: They're not required to. I'll talk to
 4
      them.
5
               (Page 1717 sealed)
6
               (Continued on next page)
 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(In open court)

THE COURT: I think it is a very close question on the conscious avoidance request. As I indicated, I initially didn't think of it. But it is certainly the case that the evidence has come in can give rise to a question in the minds of the jurors concerning what Mr. El Gammal may have known, and for that reason, and because of the case law that the government presented, I think it would be appropriate to give the conscious avoidance instruction, having taken into consideration also the arguments or the information provided by the defense to the Court ex parte.

However, having so concluded, I have looked at the Sands conscious avoidance instruction and will give that one, in lieu of the one proposed by the government.

MR. HABIB: Two points, your Honor. One, the Circuit case law is -- so well, let me ask this. With respect to which count is the Court going to apply the conscious avoidance instruction?

THE COURT: Generally.

I would say then the conscious avoidance MR. HABIB: instruction I think must be tailored to reflect that conscious avoidance can only prove knowledge, not intent. And so for example, we do not think that the conscious avoidance charge would be -- and that limitation would apply to the attempt theory on Count One, the aiding and abetting theory on Count

Charge conference

One, the conspiracy theory on -- all of Count Two, all of Count Three, and all of Count Four.

So, I would just ask for some clarification in each of those instructions, however the Court chooses to do it, whether the Court plans to give a global instruction or a count-by-count instruction, that a defendant cannot consciously avoid forming — that is, a defendant can consciously avoid — a defendant can through conscious avoidance form knowledge but he cannot through conscious avoidance form intent. So, the jury should be instructed that it can consider conscious avoidance only with respect to establishing knowledge, not, for example, the specific intent to commit a crime that is the subject of an attempt. The specific intent to aid and abet a crime, the specific intent to further the unlawful objectives of the conspiracy.

The second point is, I would ask the Court to rule to give a conscious avoidance instruction without prejudice to a reapplication at the close of summations, and at that point the Court may reassess.

THE COURT: Very well.

MR. DeFILIPPIS: We agree with the law as stated by Mr. Habib. It only goes to knowledge and not to intent. If the defense wants to propose a revision to the Sands instruction.

THE COURT: Why don't do you that. Send some proposed

Charge conference

	H103GAM4 Charge conference
1	language to me and the government, and we'll try to get this
2	back out later this evening.
3	MR. HABIB: Sure. Does the Court plan to give a
4	global conscious avoidance charge?
5	THE COURT: I think the way I do it or I tend to do it
6	is where knowledge is first defined, I'll do like a general
7	definition of knowledge. And then in subsequent with respect
8	to subsequent elements say, you know, it has to be done
9	knowingly as I've already defined that term for you. That's
10	likely what I will do.
11	MR. HABIB: So as I'm okay. That's fine.
12	THE COURT: Very well. The next one raised by the
13	government concerns accomplice testimony, and they have
14	proposed some language that the defense apparently does not
15	object to. Am I correct about that?
16	MR. HABIB: Not to the government's proposal, no. We
17	had another request with respect to that charge, but no
18	objection.
19	THE COURT: Let's get to that since we're talking
20	about it.
21	MR. HABIB: On page 10 of our submission we had two
22	requests. One was to I'm looking at now page 30 of the
23	Court's proposed charge. In the third paragraph, the sentence

THE COURT: Page 30?

that begins in fact.

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Charge conference

MR. HABIB: Page 30 of the Court's proposed charge. Third paragraph. The paragraph that begins "experience will tell vou."

> THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HABIB: The sentence that begins "In fact, in federal courts," we would propose deleting that sentence. The sentence reads "In fact, in federal courts, the law is that the testimony of a cooperating witness in itself may be enough for a conviction if the jury believes it proves quilt beyond a reasonable doubt."

That proposition may be true in the abstract, but I don't think it has application to this case. I think as it is included really for illustrative purposes, it simply encourages juror confusion on this point and I don't think it is necessary.

THE COURT: Any objection to taking out that sentence? MR. DeFILIPPIS: Your Honor, again, in our experience this has been given fairly routinely in our trials, but I don't think we strenuously object.

THE COURT: That sentence will be taken out.

MR. HABIB: Thank you. The second request is we're requesting the Sand instruction 7-9 concerning the motivations of accomplice or in this case cooperating witnesses and how the jury should scrutinize their testimony.

In addition, pursuant to United States v. Vaughn, 430

Charge conference

F.3d 518, (2d. Cir. 2005), the Circuit has said it's prudent for a district judge to advise the jury of not just the motivations of a cooperating witness in general, which may be to lie, may be to tell the truth as the witness's particular circumstances dictate, but specifically that the jury should be instructed to scrutinize cooperating witness testimony, and that a district judge call the jury's attention to the fact that a cooperating witness has a powerful motive to curry favor with the government.

So, we think the Sand charge is appropriate and should be given. But some balancing language in the charge suggesting or at least advising the jury of the motive for a cooperating witness to testify falsely to curry favor with the government is necessary.

THE COURT: Any objection to including that language from --

MR. DeFILIPPIS: We thought the Court's instruction was quite balanced and advised of competing motivations on both sides. We thought that the Sand instruction sort of leans too far to caution by saying it should be received by you with suspicion. We thought the Court's instruction was more balanced.

THE COURT: I like mine too, so I am going to deny that request. How did you want to take your other --

MR. HABIB: I don't know if the government has any

25

Charge conference

line-by-line comments or if the government's comments are 1 2 limited to the letter. 3 MR. DeFILIPPIS: We do have some line-by-line, not a 4 huge amount, your Honor, so we can address those whenever. Maybe we should go page by page. 5 MR. HABIB: 6 Let's go page by page. THE COURT: 7 MR. HABIB: I don't have a lot beyond what's in the 8 letter. Just some typos. 9 THE COURT: Anything on pages two and three? 10 MR. HABIB: No. 11 MR. DeFILIPPIS: Not from the government. We don't 12 feel strongly, we thought perhaps since I think both parties 13 have referred to ISIS as ISIS, we might change ISIL to ISIS for 14 the purposes of the charge, but I don't think we feel strongly. 15 MR. HABIB: No view on that, your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. We referred to it as ISIS 16 17 throughout, correct? 18 MR. DeFILIPPIS: I think so, your Honor. 19 MR. QUIGLEY: Not to disagree with my colleague, your 20 Honor, but the indictment I think refers to it as ISIL. So 21 maybe the first time we mention it we would say ISIL also known 22 as ISIS. I think that's on page five. 23 THE COURT: Okay. I'm happy to do that. I don't

think that's going to confuse anybody on the jury. But I will do that.

Charge conference

MR. HABIB: Our next objection would be on page four and five, the reasonable doubt charge. We're just asking for the Sand charge. Pursuant to Second Circuit case law that really discourages alternative formulations of the reasonable doubt charge. As the Court is no doubt aware, there is a plethora of cases regarding the propriety of reasonable doubt charges and the structural error, we think the Sand instruction is the safest course. We think the charge as written is potentially unbalanced and dilutes the government's burden of proof.

THE COURT: Any view?

MR. DeFILIPPIS: Your Honor, again in our collective experience, this is the one that we're accustomed to in this district. We think it is quite balanced. And I think our view is this one is appropriate.

THE COURT: This is the one that I always give. Not that I've had that many, or any of us had that many criminal trials around here in the last couple of years. But I don't think it's much different from Sand, so I'm happy to give the Sand instruction.

MR. HABIB: Thank you. Our next comment was on page eight.

THE COURT: Anything before page eight?

MR. QUIGLEY: If I may on the reasonable doubt, I don't have the Sand instruction in front of me, but based on my

25

Mr. DeFilippis?

	into do interesse de la contraction de la contra
1	recollection, I think the one change we would make to bring it
2	in line with the Court's charge is where it says I believe that
3	Sand instruction says if you have no if you have no
4	reasonable doubt, then you may convict the defendant.
5	I would ask that the language be modified to reflect
6	the Court's original charge that the jury has no reasonable
7	doubt, it is their duty to convict the defendant.
8	THE COURT: The Sand charge, and it is the last
9	sentence of that charge reads as follows: "On the other hand,
10	if, after fair and impartial consideration of all the evidence,
11	you are satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt of the defendant's
12	guilt with respect to a particular charge against him, you
13	should find the defendant guilty of that charge."
14	MR. QUIGLEY: Your Honor, we would ask that should be
15	changed to "must" to balance out the language in the sentence
16	before that. Which says "You must find the defendant not
17	guilty if you have reasonable doubt."
18	MR. HABIB: We're not going to argue nullification
19	obviously, Judge, but I don't think "must" is a correct
20	statement of the law.
21	THE COURT: I think it relays the obligation of the
22	jurors. So I'll leave it as is.
23	Anything before page eight, Mr. Quigley or

MR. QUIGLEY: No, your Honor.

H103GAM4

Charge conference

THE COURT: Mr. Habib? MR. HABIB: On page eight, Count One, first element, we think given the way that the proof has come in, for purposes of clarity, and this applies to all of the counts that incorporate this definition, rather than material support of resources, it should simply be "personnel." I don't think there has been an allegation that any other form of material support was provided. (Continued on next page)

Charge Conference

THE COURT: Well, I think what I want to do there, because I take your point that it mentions a lot of stuff that is not necessarily relevant.

MR. HABIB: Truly, Judge, this is just in the interest of clarity.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. DEFILIPPIS: Your Honor, I think the government would prefer to keep it as is. The party, the government has referenced that there are various ways one can provide support. I think that is a contextual point that the jury is entitled to know that the law prohibits various kinds of support, that personnel is one of them, it is not a personnel statute, it is a support statute and, again, there is no harm and certainly it is a more comprehensive view of the law to give the jury the full picture.

THE COURT: I think my thought was to have a slightly more complete definition up front and then do it in summary fashion to subsequent counts. So, that page I will leave it as is and I think I took out a lot of other definitions that were included in the statute, so just to keep training and personnel, I believe I took that part of the statute out.

MR. HABIB: Well, I guess that brings me to my second point which is the -- we are asking, pursuant to, again, any time the term -- it doesn't have to be repeated but the term personnel should be defined for the jury pursuant to 2339(b)(h)

Charge Conference

to specify that the statute permits conviction only if the personnel is provided under the direction and control of ISIS.

MR. DEFILIPPIS: Your Honor, on this the proposed instruction that defense has asked for, I think would, unfortunately, just adapting Judge Garaufis' instruction to this case would get the law exactly wrong and backwards.

I can hand up for your Honor the provision that defense is referring to which is 2339(b)(h).

THE COURT: Please do. I didn't bring my statutes.

MR. DEFILIPPIS: And your Honor, I am just turning to Judge Garaufis' instruction.

So, your Honor, what defense has proposed the Court say is: The defendant cannot be convicted for a violation of this statute in connection with X, Y, and Z if you find that he is knowingly attempted to provide one or more individuals which may include himself, to work under ISIS' direction or control.

So, that sentence may be fine.

However, the defendant cannot be convicted if he worked independently of ISIS to advance its goals and objectives.

Now, in the Pugh case, that was accurate because the defendant there was providing himself as personnel to ISIS and it is a requirement that the personnel, the individual being provided as personnel, intend to work under ISIS' direction and control.

H1o5gam5

Charge Conference

Here, to instruct the jury that the defendant cannot be convicted if he worked independently of ISIS to advance its goals and objectives, that statement would be wrong. There is no requirement that Mr. El-Gammal need work at ISIS' direction and control. In fact, the jury can and should convict him if they find that he supported Samy El- Goarany's efforts even if he did it absent ISIS' direction and control. And it includes in that the conspiracy. And subsection H, your Honor, says that that person has knowingly provided, attempted to provide, or conspired to provide a foreign terrorist organization with one or more individuals, who may include himself, to work under that organization's direction or control. So, it is the one being provided who must work under their control.

THE COURT: I will include that language but not the last sentence.

MR. HABIB: Then two things, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. HABIB: Now looking at page 7 of our submission, the proposed charge from Pugh, right now it reads:

The defendant can be convicted for a violation of this statute in connection with providing, attempting to provide... personnel. After the word "personnel" I would ask that you include the word "only," that is, can be convicted... only if you find that he has knowingly attempted to provide, blah, blah, to work under ISIS' direction or control.

Charge Conference

I'm sorry. Why don't you -- I don't know 1 THE COURT: 2 where you are. 3 MR. HABIB: I'm sorry. 4 I'm on page 7 of our submission. 5 THE COURT: Yes. 6 MR. HABIB: Paragraph B. 7 THE COURT: Yes. 8 MR. HABIB: After the citation to Pugh there is a 9 quote which contained our proposed charge. 10 THE COURT: Yes. 11 MR. HABIB: If you count down one, two, three -- four 12 lines after the word personnel. 13 THE COURT: Yes. 14 I would propose to insert the word "only." MR. HABIB: 15 THE COURT: That's fine. 16 MR. HABIB: Okay. 17 And then I would also ask, after direction or control, 18 that you include the rest of the statutory definition, the last sentence of 2339(b)(h): Individuals who act entirely 19 20 independently of the terrorist organization to advance its 21 goals or objectives shall not be considered to be working under 22 the foreign terrorist organization's direction and control; 23 which I think is taking the government's point that the 24 individual must be working under the foreign terrorist

organization's control is Samy, this language is applicable to

Charge Conference

1 Samy.

MR. DEFILIPPIS: Your Honor, I think the sentence, while it may not be technically inaccurate, I think that sentence sitting on its own referring to individuals generally would give the jury a misimpression that that's a requirement as to the defendant so I don't think we think it is necessary. Direction and control will be clear in the instruction and that that applies to the personnel being provided. I don't think anyone is arguing here that Samy, once he got to ISIS, was acting independently.

THE COURT: I'm not going to use that language. I mean, maybe if I used Samy El- Goarany's name.

MR. HABIB: That's fine.

THE COURT: I'm not saying that I will, but maybe.

MR. HABIB: Then, if the Court wants to instead of individuals who act entirely independently, you can use Samy's name instead of individuals. If you find that Samy acted independently of the foreign terrorist organization and he is not considered to be working under its direction or control.

MR. DEFILIPPIS: Your Honor, I think it is just confusing the matter for the jury. It is really not an issue here whether Samy was acting independently once he got to ISIS and so I think it is going to cause confusion.

THE COURT: I agree. I'm not going to include that.

Charge Conference

1 MS. SHROFF: Wait, your Honor. Can we just have a 2 second? 3 THE COURT: Sure. 4 (Counsel conferring) 5 MR. HABIB: Your Honor, on that point we do want to 6 clarify that the statute requires the knowing provision of 7 personnel, that is that Mr. El-Gammal must have known at the time that he engaged in the conduct charged that Samy would 8 9 work under ISIL's direction or control and that's why we 10 believe the second part of the statutory language is essential. 11 We agree that the evidence, as it has come in, 12 supports and we certainly don't intend to dispute that once he 13 was in Syria Samy was under ISIL's direction or control. The 14 substantive issue of concern to us is when Mr. El-Gammal 15 engaged in the acts charged did he intend that Samy would work 16 under ISIL's direction or control. That's why we feel that the 17 additional language is necessary. As we have said in our 18 papers, we think this is an element and we think the jury has to make a factual finding with respect to the limitations 19 20 imposed by 2339(b)(h). So, we would ask for the substance of 21 the entire statutory subsection. 22 THE COURT: Let me come up with some language. 23 MR. HABIB: Okay. 24

On pages 9 and 10, when the Court is defining terrorist activity, we think that numbers 1 and 5 can be

deleted because there is no evidence of either in this case. 1 So, number 1 is hijacking or sabotage of an aircraft; and 2 3 number 5 is use of any chemical, biological or nuclear weapons I don't think there is evidence that either of 4 or device. 5 those things occurred in this case. 6 MR. DEFILIPPIS: Your Honor, I think it is fine. 7 There was a defense exhibit today referencing a sabotaging of an aircraft. 8 9 THE COURT: I will take out 1 and 5. 10 MR. DEFILIPPIS: That's fine. 11 THE COURT: There was? 12 MR. HABIB: It was not of sabotage but military strike 13 that took --14 MR. DEFILIPPIS: There was an ISIS blowing up a civilian plane, I think. 15 THE COURT: Was I here? 16 17 MR. DEFILIPPIS: It was Mr. Attiva Aboualala's Facebook communications about a downed jet. 18 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 MR. HABIB: Which was admitted not for its truth, your 21 Honor, right? 22 MR. DEFILIPPIS: Fair enough. 23 THE COURT: I was wondering what that was. 24 Next? 25 MR. HABIB: My next comment would be on page 13.

H1o5gam5

1	THE COURT: Yes, sir.
2	MR. HABIB: On 13, the aiding and abetting charge, we
3	have no objection to what's in the charge. We think the charge
4	should actually include a substantive definition of aiding and
5	abetting beyond the statutory language which just appears to
6	repeat the terms and we would request the instruction that we
7	proposed in docket no. 75, request no. 6 which we derived from
8	the Supreme Court's recent aiding and abetting decision in
9	Rosemond and from Sand 11.2.
10	I can hand up our proposal to the Court.
11	THE COURT: I have it. What number is it?
12	MR. HABIB: If you have our request to charge in front
13	of you?
14	THE COURT: I do.
15	MR. HABIB: Request no. 6.
16	THE COURT: Okay. What language from here?
17	MR. HABIB: The two bullet points that appear on page
18	10, perhaps with the prefatory sentence: In order to
19	convict
20	MR. QUIGLEY: Your Honor, we have no objection to the
21	charge reflecting the Rosemond decision. We are trying to see
22	if we have any objections to the specific language here in the
23	defendant's proposal.
24	MS. SHROFF: Your Honor, may I just interrupt for one
25	second? May I ask the Court to excuse Mr. El-Gammal so I can

23

24

25

Charge Conference

1 speak to him in the back? Would that be permissible? 2 MR. HABIB: We will waive his presence for purposes of 3 this conference. 4 MS. SHROFF: Thank you. 5 THE COURT: But, Mr. El-Gammal, you understand you 6 have every right to be here, correct? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Correct. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 MS. SHROFF: Thank you, your Honor. 10 MR. QUIGLEY: Your Honor, I apologize for this, but we 11 would like a little more time to look into this. Perhaps we 12 can confer with defense. We are open to -- we understand it is 13 our office's policy after Rosemond that it must -- the aiding 14 and abetting charge must include similar language to this. We just want to make sure we are on the right page. 15 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MR. HABIB: Whatever the Court wants to do. 18 guys want to give me language later, we can come back to the Court with it. 19 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 MR. HABIB: Okay.

Next was on page 15, just a typo at the bottom of the page, last sub paragraph: You have heard testimony about trial during ISIL, the conspiracy charged in "Count One"... should be "Count Two."

H1o5gam5	Charge	Conference

1 THE COURT: Is that right? 2 MR. QUIGLEY: It is, your Honor; yes. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 MR. HABIB: Pages 16 to 19, Count Two, first element. 5 Acknowledging the Court's ruling on the definition of 6 personnel. We are just asking for the -- we make the same 7 request that we made with respect to Count One with respect to personnel in Count Two. I appreciate the Court's ruling. 8 9 THE COURT: I'm sorry. And where is that? 10 MR. HABIB: So, Count Two, first element, existence of 11 conspiracy so this would be on page 19. Object of conspiracy. 12 Count Two of the indictment, and this is on the top of page 19, 13 Count Two of the indictment charges conspiracy with one object 14 that is to provide material support or resources to a foreign 15 terrorist organization. So, two requests. We request to place material support or resources personnel, that would take care 16 17 of it, and then incorporate by reference our request with respect to Count One for a particular definition of personnel. 18 19 THE COURT: What about to provide material support or 20 resources in the form of personnel? 21 MR. HABIB: That's fine. 22 MR. DEFILIPPIS: That's fine with the government, your 23 Honor. 24 As that term is defined, was previously THE COURT: 25 defined for you.

Charge Conference

1 MR. HABIB: Turning back to page 17, last line. 2 THE COURT: Yes. MR. HABIB: I think the word "to" has been omitted. 3 4 To find a conspiracy existed it is enough to find that two or 5 more people... 6 THE COURT: Correct. Okav. 7 MR. HABIB: Page 19. Page 19, Count Two, second element. As we requested in our submission, we are requesting 8 9 some clarifying language with respect to the necessary mental 10 state. We have included proposed language on pages 8 and 9 of 11 our submission. We just want to make clear that -- there are just a few lines and, again, maybe we are being persnickety but 12 13 there are a few lines in the suggestion that suggest a relaxed 14 mental state and we want the jury to understand that the 15 necessary mental state here is Mr. El-Gammal must have joined the conspiracy with knowledge that its unlawful objective was 16 17 to provide material support in the form of personnel. 18 THE COURT: I'm sorry, Mr. Habib. Let me stop you. 19 Where are you? 20 MR. HABIB: I'm sorry. 21 Actually, I should have made this more simpler. 22 Court included basically the language we are asking for on page 23 28 with respect to Count Four, so if you look at page 28 --24 THE COURT: Yes. 25 MR. HABIB: -- under the heading Count Four, first

1	and second elements?
2	THE COURT: Yes.
3	MR. HABIB: The second that begins: And with respect
4	to the second element, the government must prove
5	THE COURT: Yes.
6	MR. HABIB: We ask that that sentence also be
7	reproduced in here with respect to Count Two.
8	THE COURT: That sentence?
9	MR. HABIB: The sentence: And with respect to the
10	second element, the government must prove that Mr. El-Gammal
11	knowingly and willfully became a member of that charged
12	conspiracy during the applicable time period knowing that its
13	goal was to receive military-type training from ISIL. So, I
14	guess instead of foresee military-type training from ISIL, it
15	would say provide personnel to ISIL and by his action helping
16	to achieve that goal.
17	THE COURT: Where?
18	MR. HABIB: I think you could put that on page 21, the
19	first paragraph, last sentence. You could either add it at the
20	end of that paragraph or you could replace the last sentence of
21	that paragraph with what we have proposed.
22	THE COURT: The sentence that begins what is
23	necessary?
24	MR. HABIB: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. I will make that change.

```
Charge Conference
```

1 MR. HABIB: Thank you. 2 On page 22. 3 THE COURT: Yes. 4 MR. HABIB: Count Three, elements. 5 THE COURT: Okay. 6 MR. HABIB: Again, in an abundance of caution, we are 7 concerned that the jury may infer that it is enough that Samy did these things. We would just ask for a fifth element that 8 9 says the defendant aided and abetted the person's receipt of 10 military-type training because there is no -- that's the only 11 basis for liability. 12 MR. QUIGLEY: That's fine with the government, your 13 Honor. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 MR. HABIB: Page 23, at the bottom, it is again just a typo. It says: The second element is that the defendant 16 17 provided material support or resources to a, and I think it 18 should just be that a person received military-type training from a --19 20 THE COURT: The second is that the --21 MR. HABIB: That a person received military-type 22 training from a foreign terrorist organization. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Is that it? 24 Aiding and abetting we will work out with MR. HABIB: 25 the government.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Charge Conference

On page 29, particular investigative techniques charge. We are just asking for an inclusion of some Sand language. So, on page 29(I)(1), after the first sentence which ends were not used by the government, we would ask for the Sand language from sand 4.4 be included.

THE COURT: Which is?

MR. HABIB: You may consider these facts in deciding whether the government has met its burden of proof.

MR. QUIGLEY: Judge, we would --

THE COURT: Let him finish.

MR. HABIB: That's all.

MR. QUIGLEY: I think we would object to that and only because, I mean this is -- I am looking at our proposed charge on the particular investigative techniques issue, this is already, I think, a very balanced version of that charge. mean, it doesn't include language which has been, I have seen in a number of other trials, for example, law enforcement techniques so the government is not on trial and police department techniques are not your concern. That's typically included in that charge. It is not here. We think it is already a fairly balanced charge on this issue.

THE COURT: I agree. I'm not going to include that language from Sand.

MR. QUIGLEY: And just one typo in that paragraph if I may, Judge.

And I think I just have one more, on page

24

25

47, G.

MR. HABIB:

Closing comments.

```
THE COURT:
1
                          Yes.
 2
               MR. HABIB:
                          Second sentence.
 3
               THE COURT: Yes.
 4
               MR. HABIB: Fourth line of the paragraph: The crimes
 5
      with which the "defendants" are charged. It should just be the
      "defendant" is charged. And on the next line, I think the
6
 7
      words "you are considering" can be deleted for the same reason.
               THE COURT: Yes.
8
9
               MR. HABIB: That's all.
10
               THE COURT: Okav.
11
               Government?
12
               MR. QUIGLEY: We have nothing else, your Honor.
13
               MR. DEFILIPPIS: Sorry. Just a few things, your
14
              It won't take long.
      Honor.
15
               On page 28.
               THE COURT: Yes.
16
17
               MR. DEFILIPPIS: The section titled Count Four, first
18
      and second elements, the second sentence: To remind you, with
      respect to the first element, the government must prove beyond
19
20
      a reasonable doubt that two or more persons entered an
21
      agreement -- it currently reads to receive military-type
22
      training from ISIL.
23
               THE COURT: Yes.
24
               MR. DEFILIPPIS: We would propose: "An agreement for
25
      at least one person to receive military-type training"so it
```

```
doesn't create the impression that the co-conspirators must be
1
      the ones receiving the training.
 2
 3
               THE COURT: Two or more persons entered into an
      agreement where at least one person.
 4
 5
               MR. DEFILIPPIS: Yes; or you could even say for a
6
     person to receive.
 7
               THE COURT: Okay. I will make that change.
               MR. DEFILIPPIS: Okay.
 8
9
               Your Honor, I assume the Court will leave in and out
10
      the various sections about the defendant's testimony so I won't
11
      go over those.
12
               Finally, on page 32, your Honor -- the section on use
13
      of recordings.
               THE COURT: I want to make sure.
14
               MR. DEFILIPPIS:
                               Sorry.
15
               THE COURT: Page 33, the defendant's testimony.
16
17
               MR. DEFILIPPIS:
                               Right.
18
               THE COURT: That comes out?
19
               MR. DEFILIPPIS: Yes, that would come out.
20
               THE COURT: Okay. Then what?
21
               MR. DEFILIPPIS: On page 32, use of recordings.
22
               THE COURT: Yes.
23
               MR. DEFILIPPIS: The second sentence says: Whether
24
      you approve or disapprove of the recording or interception of
25
      those conversations may not enter into your deliberations.
```

1	THE COURT: Yes.
2	MR. DEFILIPPIS: Because we can either strike that
3	sentence or just put in a sentence that says these recordings
4	were not made by the government, the idea being interceptions
5	are really not at issue here. All the recordings in the case,
6	unless I am missing anything, were not made by the government,
7	so perhaps we can strike that second sentence.
8	THE COURT: I think we can do that. Okay.
9	So, it will now read: The government has offered
10	evidence in the form of audio and video recordings. I instruct
11	you that these recordings were made in a lawful manner.
12	MR. DEFILIPPIS: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Next.
14	MR. DEFILIPPIS: On page 39, because the defendant did
15	not testify, the if applicable section will come out.
16	THE COURT: Page 39. That last paragraph before
17	Section E it comes out?
18	MR. DEFILIPPIS: Right.
19	THE COURT: Okay. So that does it.
20	MR. DEFILIPPIS: Yes, your Honor.
21	THE COURT: So, I am expecting some language from all,
22	you are expecting some language from me. Let's try to do this
23	by no later it is now quarter after 3:00 4:30, so that we
24	can turn around another version and get it back to you.

MR. DEFILIPPIS: Yes, your Honor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Hobgamb Charge Conference

THE COURT: Then you get back to us right away because I need to, like I said, I'm going to provide this to the jury so it needs to be put to bed tonight. Okay?

MR. DEFILIPPIS: Yes, your Honor.

MR. QUIGLEY: Your Honor, I have one other issue.

I think it was Attiya's resume which I think was Defendant's Exhibit 400 was admitted subject to connection and we had objected on the basis -- the reason it was admitted was to show its effect on the listener, Mr. El-Gammal. I don't think there was any evidence that the version of the resume that's in evidence was the version that was actually sent to Mr. El-Gammal so I don't think that connection has been made.

It is not something we need to address this second but I just raise it now.

THE COURT: Okay. So, the issue is whether Attiya Aboualala's resume is in evidence, whether the defense has made the necessary connection to admit it into evidence.

MR. QUIGLEY: Correct, your Honor; yes.

MR. HABIB: In the interest of time I will need to consult with my colleagues and with Ms. Howard, our investigator.

> THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HABIB: So, the things that we are, just to recap the bidding the government is going to propose an aiding and abetting charge, we, the defense, are going to propose some

1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION	
2	Examination of: Page	
3	ANDREW MARCH	
4	Direct By Ms. Shroff	
5	Cross By Mr. Quigley	
6	Redirect By Ms. Shroff	
7	SARAH HOWARD	
8	Direct By Ms. Mirón	
9	Cross By Mr. DeFilippis	
10	DEFENDANT EXHIBITS	
11	Exhibit No. Received	
12	124-AA	
13	113-DD, 113-CC and 113-00	
14	804	
15	801	
16	402-A	
17	122-CC-T	
18	100-BB-T	
19	122-BB-T	
20	123-AA-T	
21	812	
22	602	
23	10-A	
24	808-A	
25	100-FF	

```
100-CC-T, 110-AA, 113-BB, 122-KK, 401, 202, 20305
 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```